

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS 27th FIGHTER WING (ACC)
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

COLB 6 APR 1994

William M. Guth, Brig Gen, USAF Commander 100 S DL Ingram Blvd Suite 100 Cannon AFB NM 88103-5214

Ms. Anna Walker New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau 525 Camino De Los Marquez Santa Fe NM 87502

Dear Ms. Walker

Attached is the 1992/1993 Biennial Hazardous Waste Report for Cannon Air Force Base. Questions concerning this report may be directed to Ms. Vera Wood at (505) 784-4639.

Sincerely

Brigadier General, USAF

Commander

Attachment: EPA FM 8700-13A/B

cc: HQ ACC/CEV w/o Atch AFRCE-CR w/o Atch

AND PARTY OF THE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS 49TH FIGHTER WING (ACC) HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

1 / MAR 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR New Mexico Environment Department

Hazardous & Radioactive Materials Bureau

Attn: Ms Anna Walker 525 Camino de los Marquez

P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, NM 87502

FROM: 49 CES/CEV

550 Tabosa Ave

Holloman AFB, MM 88330-8458

SUBJECT: 1993 Biennial Report

1. Attached please find the 1993 Biennial Hazardous Waste Report.

2. If you have any questions regarding this matter, contact Mr Billy Claxton at 475-3931.

Deputy Base Civil Engineer

Attachment: Biennial Report

Global Power for America

OMB#: 2050-0024 Expires 8/31/96

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
SITE NAME: 27 FU/CC	PROTECTION AGENCY
Cannon AEB, NM 88103-5214	1993 Hazardous Waste Report
EPA ID NO: (N 1M 17) (5 17 12 14 12 14 14 15 14)	FORM IDENTIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION
INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 9 of the 1993 Haz	ardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.
Sec. I Site name and location address. Complete A through H. Check the box Information. Instruction page 10.	in items A, C, E, F, G, and H if same as lebel; if different, enter corrections. If label is absent, enter
A. EPA ID No.	B. County
Same as label XIXor → Literal	Curry
C. Site/company name Same as label ^{XO} or →	D. Has the site name associated with this EPA 1D changed since 1991? \Box 1 Yes $\overline{\chi}$ 2 No
E. Street name and number. If not applicable, enter industrial park, building name, or oth Same as label \square or $-\!$	her physical location description.
F. City, town, village, etc. Same as label [©] or →	G. State H. Zip Code Same as label L. L. J. L. L. J L. L. J L.
Sec. II Meiling address of site. Instruction page 10.	
A. Is the mailing address the same as the location address? 1 Yes (SKIP TO 2 No (GO TO BE	·
3. Number and street name of mailing address	
C. City, town, village, etc.	D. State E. Zip Code
Sec. III Name, title, and telephone number of the person who should be contacted	if questions arise regarding this report. Instruction page 10.
A. Please print: Last Name First name M.I. Oshita Bruce G.	B. Title Chief, Environ- Chief, Environ- Chief, Environ- C. Telephone (5 10 15 17 18 14 1 - L 21 71 31 91
	mental Flight Extension LI_I_I
qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to to	ere prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that reaction and Recovery Act for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and
A. Please print: Last Name First name M.J.	B. Title
A. Please print: Last Name First name M.I. Guth William M. C. Signature f) f	B. Title Commander, 27th Fighter Wing D. Date of signature

Page 1 of <u>/0</u>6

Awertique 4/9/9/

19837 Page 15. 19837 Page 14. 19837 Page 15. 19837 Pag	Sec.V - Generator Status EPA ID NO. 1 N 1 N 1 7 1 5 1 7 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 4 1 5 1												
0.2 SUS SKP in SEC. VI	Instruction page	truction page 10. Page 12.											
A. Storago subject to RCRA permitting requirements Page 13. Rec.Wil - Weste Minimization Activity during 1992 or 1983 A. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activity during 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>source reduction</u> activity S. Did this site spand a <u>recycling</u> activity during 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activity during 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activity during 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activities in 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activities in 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activities in 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activities in 1992 or 18937 Page 15. S. Did this site begin or expand a <u>recycling</u> activities in 1992 or 18937 Page 15. D. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit the site's ability to initiate new or additional endection does not appear to be teachically feasible or the control of the production processes S. Did this site recycling activities during 1992 or 19937 Page 15. S. Searce reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be teachically feasible or teaching requirements S. Did this site's specific production processes S. Did this site or recycling activities during 1992 or 19937 Page 15. D. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit the site's ability to initiate new or additional energy or expand to the permitting requirements S. Did this production processes inhibit ahipments or i	□ 2 SQG □ 3 CESQG —			□ 2 Out of busi □ 3 Only exclud	ness ed or delisted waste	or delisted waste © 6 Waste minimization activity Or delisted waste XX7 Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW)							
A. Storage subject to RCRA permitting requirements Page 13. Sec.VII - Weste Minimization Activity during 1992 or 1983 A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity 1993 Page 15. A. Did this sits begin or expend a <u>source reduction</u> activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 16 A. D. D. Did sylves activity during 1992 or 1893 A. Did this site systematically investigate apportunity for sylves activities in 1992 or 1993 Page 15 A. D. D. D. Did sylves activities information activities activities in 1992 or 1993 Page 15 A. D.	Sec.VI - On-Site	Waste Ma	nagement Status	Oblan	ed INGO. 4	1 km	VERC	ı Ü	Joad 6/9/94 ser				
A. Did this site begin or expand a source reduction activity during 1892 or 18937 Page 15. 1 Yes	A. Storage subject to RCRA permitting requirements Page 13. B. Treatment, disposal, or recycling subject to RCRA permitting C. RCRA-exempt treatment, disposal, or rec												
during 1992 or 1993? Page 14. 1993? Page 15. 1993? Page 15. 1993 Page 16. 1993 Page 16. 1993 Page 16. 1993 Page 17. 1993 Page 17. 1993 Page 18. 1994 Page 18. 199	Sec.VII - Waste	Minimizati	on Activity durin	g 1992 or 1983									
□ 2 No □ 2 No □ D. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit this site's ability to initiate new or additional source reduction activities in 1992 or 1893? Page 15 (CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM) Yes No □ 1 □ 2 b. Lack of technical information on source reduction equipment or implement new source reduction practices □ 1 □ 2 b. Lack of technical information on source reduction techniques applicable to the specific production processes □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction is net economically fassible: cost savings in waste management or preduction will not recover the capital investment □ 1 □ 2 c. Concern that product quality may decline as a result of source reduction □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction proviously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be technically feasible □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction proviously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be seasible due to permitting requirements □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Source reduction proviously implemented or x □ 1 □ 2 g. Technical kinitations of production processes inhibit shipments or implement new recycling practice □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Recycling is not economically feasible: cost savings □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Recycling is not economically feasible: cost savings □ 1 □ 1 □ 2 c. Requirements to manifest wastes inhibit shipments of recycling does not appear to be fea	during 1992 or 1				1993? Page 15.	expand a <u>r</u>	acycling activit	for <u>source reduction or recycling</u> duri Page 15. EX1 Yes					
Yes No	□ 2 No				□ 2 No								
				r limit this site's	ability to initiate new or	additional ;	source reduction	<u>on</u> activit	ties in 1992 or 19937 Page 15				
E. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit the site's ability to initiate new or additional en-site or off-site recycling activities during 1992 or 1993? Page 15. (CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM) Yes No 1	型1 02 201 02 201 02 201 02 21 202 01 X02 01 X02	b. Lack of technical information on source reduction techniques applicable to the specific production processes c. Source reduction is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management or production will not recover the capital investment c. Source reduction is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management or production will not recover the capital investment c. Concern that product quality may decline as a result of source reduction c. Technical limitations of the production processes c. Technical l											
CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM Yes	□ 1 X□ 2	•	Other (SPECIF)	COMMENTS IN	BOX BELOW)								
implement new recycling practice X				r limit the site's	ability to initiate new or	additional (en-site or off-si	te <u>recyc</u>	ling activities during 1992 or 1993? Page 15.				
Lack of technical information on recycling techniques applicable to this site's specific production process 1	<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u>	.	•		recycling equipment or	<u>Yes</u> X ^[] 1	<u>No</u> □ 2	g.		bit shipments off-			
in waste management will not recover the capital	X0 _. 1 02	b.	Lack of technical	information on r			□ 2		Technical limitations of production processes inhi Permitting burdens inhibit recycling	bit on-site recycling			
recycling recycling to the proviously implemented additional recycling does not appear to be economically feasible appear to be economically feasible off-site for recycling to the proviously implemented additional recycling does not appear to be feasible to permitting requirements			Recycling is not of in waste manage investment	economically feas ment will not rec	ible: cost savings over the capital	DX1	O 2	k.	Unable to identify a market for recycled material Recycling previously implemented - additional rec				
off-site for recycling 1 2 n. Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be feasible due to permitting requirements			recycling			1	XD 2	m.	Recycling previously implemented - additional rec	ycling does not			
and the same to th	•		off-site for recyc	ing		0 1	X _{1 2}	n.	Recycling previously implemented - additional rec				
recycling XP 1				F. VIWIOIO HIMBR	and manne all are in	X [□] 1	□ 2	0.					

Comments: 0. Cannon received equipment that cannot be used until the electrical equipment on base is upgraded (e.g., part cleaners requiring 240 volt outlets, base maxmium is 208vo