



State of New Mexico
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT
 Hazardous & Radioactive Materials Bureau
 2044 Galisteo
 P.O. Box 26110
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502
 (505) 827-1557
 Fax (505) 827-1544



GARY E. JOHNSON
 GOVERNOR

MARK E. WEIDLER
 SECRETARY

EDGAR T. THORNTON, III
 DEPUTY SECRETARY

Fax Cover Sheet

Red HAND FFCO/96

Date Oct 4, 1996

To: Jody Plum
 Company: _____
 Phone: _____
 Fax: 665-4872

From: JANICE Archuleta
 Company Hazardous & Radioactive Materials
 Phone: (505)- 827-1557
 Fax (505)-827-1544



Pages including this cover page: 5

Comments: I thought that the best way to address your latest request was to fax you the draft letter. I'll send it ^{officially} as soon as I can.



1797

72

DRAFT

October 4, 1996

H. L. Plum
STP Project Manager
Office of Environments and Projects
Albuquerque Operations Office
Los Alamos Area Office
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Micheline Devaurs
STP Project Manager
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, NM 87445

RE: Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) TA-50-1 Wastewater Treatment Sludge
Reclassification

Dear Mr. Plum and Ms. Devaurs:

New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) received a letter from the Department of Energy (DOE) and University of California at Los Alamos National Laboratory (UC/LANL) on January 12, 1996 which stated that 1228 of a total of 1288 drums of sludge currently stored as Low-Level Mixed Waste were recharacterized, determined to be non-hazardous, and should be classified as Low-Level Waste. The sludges were considered to be, and were listed as mixed (radioactive and hazardous) wastes or "covered wastes" in the *FFCO Site Treatment Plan Compliance Plan Volume (STP/CPV), Exhibit A*, submitted for LANL as defined by the Federal Facility Compliance Order (FFCO) that NMED issued to DOE and UC (Respondents) in October 4, 1995. The drums of sludge are listed in the *STP/CPV* under **Section 3.3 Mixed Waste Requiring Further Characterization or for Which Technology Assessment Has Not Been Done as dewatered treatment sludge (MWIR waste ID [identification]: LA-W928)**. The request from DOE and UC at LANL is within the scope of the FFCO. Under **Section V.B. of the FFCO, Other Matters Covered in this Order**, it is stated "Respondents anticipate that as they characterize, sort, and survey mixed waste currently in storage at LANL, they will determine that certain waste previously identified as mixed waste is actually hazardous waste without a radioactive component or radioactive waste without a hazardous component. In those cases where the waste is determined to be a radioactive waste without a hazardous component, Respondents shall provide to NMED all information required for deleted waste under Section IX.C (Deletion of Waste)."

The January 12, 1996 letter from DOE and UC/LANL to NMED, mentioned above, and subsequent letters and meetings on this subject have satisfied the requirements of Section IX.C. In addition to satisfying the requirements of Section IX.C., the letters from LANL supplied much

DRAFT

H. L. Plum
M. E. Devaurs
October 4, 1996
page 4

standards. The submittal for the identification records by DOE and UC/LANL would make the records for the dewatered sludges complete. NMED requests that the drum identification submittal be provided within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions about this letter or the FFCO, please call me at (505) 827-1558.

Sincerely,

Janice Archuleta,
FFCO Project Manager

ja

cc: Benito J. Garcia, Chief
Susan McMichael, OGC
Coby Muckelroy, Program Manager Inspection/Enforcement Section
Barbara Hoditschek, RCRA Permits Management Section (RPMS), Program Manager
Stu Dinwiddie, Supervisor, DOE Team, RPMS

DRAFT

H. L. Plum
M. E. Devaurs
October 4, 1996
page 3

reclassification

DOE and UC/LANL, provided data as requested by HRMB and in addition those data which DOE and UC/LANL believed essential to the ~~(deletion)~~ request. In some instances, HRMB reviewed a representative subset of the data available on the sludges. In other situations, a complete set of data was not available for each batch of sludge because all sample analyses were not required by regulations at the time. HRMB reviewed all analytical data that was accessible. HRMB evaluated the sludge analytical data for metal components, volatile organics, semi-volatile organics, and hazardous characteristics. All of the data reviewed from 1228 of the 1288 drums did indicate that the dewatered sludge did **not** contain Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulated wastes. (Sixty of the drums in this treatment category were still considered to be classified as mixed waste.)

Reclassification of the drums of sludge is covered within Section V.B. of the FFCO and it is stated in Section I.X. that waste addressed pursuant to Section V.B. is excepted from the requirements of the revision process. Although this is true, NMED does have the option to require a revision should they feel that the subject warrants public comment. Due to the significance of the amount of waste proposed to be reclassified, NMED believes that a revision is proper. A revision process will allow the public the opportunity to review, ask questions and make comments on the determination of 1228 drums of dewatered sludge that would no longer be determined to be mixed waste and classified only as radioactive waste. The period for public comment required by the revision process is thirty (30) days.

This revision, initiated by NMED would read as follows: In the first table listed in Section 3.3, labeled Treatability Groups, that line item labeled "dewatered treatment sludge, MWIR waste ID : LA-W928" be reduced from "1288 number of items" (drums) to **sixty (60) number of items** and to reduce the net volume in cubic meters accordingly. (This volume would be provided by DOE and UC/LANL).

NMED requires that DOE and UC/LANL submit to NMED the final disposition methodologies and a unique number or sequence for identification purposes for each drum that would be considered to be radioactive waste only (no longer mixed). Specific identity of each drum of dewatered sludges that has been recharacterized to be low-level radioactive waste would ensure that none of the 60 barrels still considered mixed waste would be disposed in violation of RCRA

H. L. Plum
 M. E. Devaurs
 October 4, 1996
 page 2

more information about how the sludge was generated, including the process in the Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility, Technical Area 50, Building 1 (TA-50-1). The letters also contained many lists or tables of data collected from the sludge batches. The sludges were generated and sampled by batches which were then processed and containerized at the same time. This method produced a well-mixed, and representative sample of the contents of each batch sampled. The letters also included influent data, explanations of the data, and answers to questions that the personnel from the Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau (HRMB) had concerning the sludge. A list of the letters and their attachments are included in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Correspondence from LANL with the subject of the TA-50-1 Sludges

Date of Correspondence from LANL	List of Attachments
January 12, 1996	A. Excerpt from LANL Chemical and Mixed Waste. Database Listing 1288 drums of TA-50-1 Sludge. B. Summaries of Analytical Results, Target Organic Compounds Detected in TA-50-1 Influent. C. Summaries of Analytical Results, Toxic Metals detected in TA-50-1 Sludge. D. Excerpts from LANL Administrative Requirements (Ars) 10-1 and 10-3. <i>Big K</i>
April 1, 1996	A. Sludge Drums - Generation, Sampling, and Storage. B. Analytical Data for 20 Sludge Samples. C. Analytical Methods, QA/QC Procedures for Sludge Samples. D. R.M. Parsons Report, <i>Study for Radioactive Wastewater Treatment Sludges at the Los Alamos National Laboratory.</i>
August 14, 1996	A. Table A-1: Available Volatile and Semivolatile Organics Data for TA-50-1 Influent and Sludge. Table A-2: Organic Compounds Detected in TA-50-1 Sludge. Table A-3: Toxic Organic Compounds listed in 20 NMAC 4.1.201 at 40 CFR 261.3(a)(2)(iv)(A) and (B) [never found in the sludges]. B. Summaries of Analytical Results, Target Organic Compounds Detected in TA-50-1 Influent. C. Available Total Toxic Organics Data for TA-50-1 Effluent. D. Examples of Volatile and Semivolatile Organics Analyzed in TA-50-1 Sludge.