

151

ES/ER/TM-96/R2

**Toxicological Benchmarks
for Screening Potential
Contaminants of Concern
for Effects on Aquatic Biota:
1996 Revision**

This document has been approved by the
K-25 Site Technical Information Office
for release to the public. Date: _____



14418

RECEIVED BY: EIV-EM
OCT 13 1998
Die

Los Alamos Environmental Restoration
Records Processing Facility



ER Record I.D.# 59838

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION
Records Processing Facility
ER Records Index Form

ER ID NO. 59838 Date Received: 10/13/98 Processor: DIC Page Count: 151

Privileged: (Y/N) N Record Category: P Record Package No: 186

FileFolder: LANL ECORISK DATABASE/REFERENCES CITED/RECORDS
PACKAGE #186 ADDITION SUBMITTAL #2/TOXICITY

Correction: (Y/N) N Corrected No. 0 Corrected By Number: 0

Administrative Record: (Y/N) Y

Refilmed: (Y/N) N Old ER ID Number: 0 New ER ID Number: 0

Miscellaneous Comments:

REFERENCE CITED FOR LANL ECORISK DATABASE

10/13/98 10:00:00

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from 423-576-8401 (fax 423-576-2865).

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

**Toxicological Benchmarks
for Screening Potential
Contaminants of Concern
for Effects on Aquatic Biota:
1996 Revision**

G. W. Suter II
C. L. Tsao

Date Issued—June 1996

Prepared by
Risk Assessment Program
Health Sciences Research Division
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Management
under budget and reporting code EW 20

LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
managing the
Environmental Management Activities at the
Oak Ridge K-25 Site Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

G. W. Suter II is a member of the Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. C. L. Tsao is affiliated with the School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

PREFACE

The purpose of this report is to present and analyze alternate toxicological benchmarks for screening chemicals for aquatic ecological effects. This work was performed under Work Breakdown Structure 1.4.12.2.3.04.05.04 (Activity Data Sheet 8304, "Technical Integration—Risk Assessment"). Publication of this document meets a milestone for the Environmental Restoration (ER) Risk Assessment Program. Since the prior edition of this report (Suter and Mabrey 1994), both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response and EPA Region IV have developed sets of screening benchmarks for water. This report includes those values and updates the other benchmarks that were presented in the last edition.

CONTENTS

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS	iii
PREFACE	v
TABLES	ix
ACRONYMS	xi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. METHODS FOR DERIVING BENCHMARKS	1
2.1 TYPES OF BENCHMARKS	1
2.2 WATER QUALITY CRITERIA	2
2.3 TIER II VALUES	13
2.4 LOWEST CHRONIC VALUES	14
2.5 ESTIMATED LOWEST CHRONIC VALUES	14
2.6 TEST EC20s	14
2.7 ESTIMATED TEST EC20s	15
2.8 SENSITIVE SPECIES TEST EC20s	15
2.9 POPULATION EC25s	15
2.10 ECOTOX THRESHOLDS	16
2.11 REGION IV SCREENING VALUES	16
2.12 BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS	16
3. CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC INFORMATION	22
3.1 INORGANICS	23
3.2 ORGANICS	27
4. APPLICATION OF BENCHMARKS	34
5. REFERENCES	35
Appendix A DATA USED FOR TIER II CALCULATIONS	A-1
Appendix B METHODS FOR DERIVATION OF TIER II VALUES	B-1
Appendix C TABLE SHOWING CONCENTRATIONS ESTIMATED TO CAUSE A 20% REDUCTION IN THE RECRUIT ABUNDANCE OF LARGEMOUTH BASS, WITH UPPER AND LOWER 95% CONFIDENCE BOUNDS	C-1

4-330 • 010503 • 000 • 17

TABLES

1	Summary of conventional benchmarks for priority contaminants in fresh water	3
2	Summary of alternative benchmarks for priority contaminants in fresh water based on levels of chronic effects	9
3	Summary of OSWER threshold values for aquatic life (EPA 1996) and Region IV screening values for freshwater surface water	17
A.1	Data and calculated results for derivation of Tier II values	A-3
B.1	Factors for estimation of the Tier II values	B-6
C.1	Concentrations estimated to cause a 20% reduction in the recruit abundance of largemouth bass, with upper and lower 95% confidence bounds	C-3

ACRONYMS

ACRs	acute chronic ratios
ARARs	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CV	Chronic Value
EC50	median effective concentration
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ETs	Ecotox Thresholds
FACR	Final Acute-Chronic Ratio
FAV	Final Acute Value
FCV	final chronic value
GLWQI	Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative
GMAV	genus mean acute value
LC50	median lethal concentration
LOEC	Lowest Observed Effect Co
MATC	Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration
NAWQC	National Ambient Water Quality Criteria
NOEC	No Observed Effect Concentration
OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
RI	Remedial Investigation
SACR	secondary acute chronic ratio
SAV	Secondary Acute Values
SCV	Secondary Chronic Value
SS	sensitive species
SVs	screening values

1. INTRODUCTION

An important early step in the assessment of ecological risks posed by a contaminated site is the screening of contaminants. In many cases, concentrations in water will be reported for more than 100 chemicals, most of which will be reported as undetected at some defined limit of detection. The assessor must decide which of the detected chemicals constitute an ecological hazard and which of the undetected chemicals may pose a hazard at concentrations below the reported detection limits. This screening is done by comparing the reported concentrations to toxicological benchmarks. If concentrations of a chemical exceed its benchmark for a particular medium, then it is worthy of further measurement and assessment. If not, it can be ignored (assuming that the analytical data are adequate).

In practice, a series of benchmarks of differing conservatism may be used. Exceedance of an upper screening benchmark would suggest a severe hazard and a need for urgent action. Nonexceedance of all lower screening benchmarks would suggest no hazard. Exceedance of an increasing number of benchmarks would constitute increasing evidence of the need for measurement and assessment. In addition to providing a better indication of the magnitude of the hazard, the use of multiple benchmarks provides information about the nature of the hazard which can be used in development of the conceptual model and in planning the Remedial Investigation (RI). For example, is the chemical at concentrations that are toxic to only daphnids, to daphnids and fish, to fish and aquatic plants, etc.? Are they at concentrations that have been demonstrated to be toxic or do they exceed only benchmarks that include conservative factors?

The purpose of this report is to present and analyze alternate toxicological benchmarks for screening chemicals for aquatic ecological effects. Since the prior edition of this report (Suter and Mabrey 1994), both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) and EPA Region IV have developed sets of screening benchmarks for water. This report includes those values and updates the other benchmarks that were presented in the last edition.

This compilation is limited to chemicals that have been detected on the Oak Ridge Reservation and to benchmarks derived from studies of toxic effects on fresh water organisms. The list of chemicals detected on the Oak Ridge Reservation includes 45 metals and 105 industrial chemicals. Only four pesticides occur on the list, and those are persistent and wide-spread (chlordane, DDT, heptachlor, and lindane).

2. METHODS FOR DERIVING BENCHMARKS

2.1 TYPES OF BENCHMARKS

The simplest screening benchmarks are toxicity test endpoints. A test endpoint is a statistically derived numeric summary of the results of a toxicity test. Test endpoints can be calculated in two ways. First, a level of effect can be estimated by fitting a function such as the probit or logit to the concentration-response data to derive a concentration-response model. Then by inverse regression, a concentration can be estimated that causes a particular level of effect such as the median lethal concentration (LC50). Second, hypothesis testing statistics can be used to determine whether each of the tested concentrations caused an effect that was statistically significantly different from the controls. The lowest concentration causing such an effect is termed the Lowest Observed Effect Concentration

(LOEC); the highest concentration for which there were no such effects is termed the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC). The geometric mean of the LOEC and NOEC is termed the Chronic Value (CV) and was formerly termed the Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration (MATC).

Toxicity tests are conventionally divided into acute and chronic tests. Standard acute aquatic toxicity tests are 48 or 96 hours in duration and use juvenile or adult organisms; the test endpoints are the median lethal concentration (LC50) or median effective concentration (EC50) for death or some equivalent effect (e.g., immobilization). Standard chronic tests include all or most of the lifecycle of the test organisms, and they include observations of growth, deformities, and reproductive success as well as lethality. The standard endpoint for chronic tests is the CV.

Another important distinction is between response-specific and integrative endpoints. Conventionally, NOECs and LOECs are calculated for each response parameter, and the results for the most statistically sensitive parameter are reported. Because effects on populations and ecosystems are a result of the integrated effects of the toxicant on all life stages, it is more sensible to integrate the responses in the test when calculating the test endpoint. Integrative endpoints may be simple arithmetic combinations of effects such as the proportional mortality across all tested life stages or population parameters derived from simple models such as the intrinsic rate of natural increase, r .

Benchmarks may be combinations of multiple test endpoints. An example is the chronic NAWQC, which are derived from at least eight LC50s and three CVs.

Finally, benchmarks may be derived by using mathematical models to simulate an assessment endpoint, a specific environmental characteristic that is valued and is at risk due to the contamination or disturbance that is being assessed (Suter 1989). For example, in this study we present concentrations estimated to correspond to a 20% reduction in recruit abundance for largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) because production of fish, particularly game fish, is an assessment endpoint for Oak Ridge Reservation ecological risk assessments (Suter et al. 1992).

Conventional aquatic benchmarks, which are based on regulatory criteria or standard test endpoints used to derive criteria, are listed in Table 1. Unconventional aquatic benchmarks, which are based on levels of effects on integrative endpoints, are listed in Table 2.

2.2 WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

The National Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) are applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs); therefore, they provide the basis for the screening benchmarks for contaminants in water. The acute NAWQC are calculated by the EPA as half the Final Acute Value (FAV), which is the fifth percentile of the distribution of 48- to 96-hour LC50 values or equivalent median effective concentration (EC50) values for each criterion chemical (Stephan et al. 1985). The acute NAWQC are intended to correspond to concentrations that would cause less than 50% mortality in 5% of exposed populations in a brief exposure. They may be used as a reasonable upper screening benchmark because waste site assessments are concerned with sublethal effects and largely with continuous exposures, rather than the lethal effects and episodic exposures to which the acute NAWQC are applied. The chronic NAWQC are the FAVs divided by the Final Acute-Chronic Ratio (FACR), which is the geometric mean of quotients of at least three LC50/CV

Table 1. Summary of conventional benchmarks for priority contaminants in fresh water (all values in micrograms per liter)

Element	SAMQ Criteria		Tier II Values		Lowest Chronic Value for:				
	Acute	Chronic	Secondary Acute Value	Secondary Chronic Value	Fish	Daphnids	Non-Daphnid Invertebrates	Aquatic Plants	All Organisms
Aluminum	750	87			3,288	1,900		400	400
Arsenic	pH and temperature dependent				1.7	630		2,400	1.7
Arsenopy			180	30	1,600	5,400		610	610
Arsenic III	350	190			2,982	9141		2,320	9141
Arsenic V			66	31	892	4450		48	48
Barium			110	40					
Beryllium			35	0.66	957	33		100,000	53
Boron			30	16		8,830			8,830
Calcium	39+	11+			1.7	0.15		2	0.15
Calcium						116,000			116,000
Chromium III	1,700+	210+			68.63	<41		397	<41
Chromium VI	16	31			71.18	6,132		2	2
Cobalt			1500	23	290	5.1			5.1
Copper	18+	12+			3.8	0.23	6,066	1	0.23
Cyanide	22	5.2			7.8		18.33	30	7.8
Iron		1,000			1,300	158			158
Lead	82+	32+			18.88	12.26	25.46	500	12.26
Lithium			260	14					
Magnesium						82,000			82,000
Manganese			2,300	120	1780	<1,100			<1,100
Mercury, inorganic or total	2.4			1.30	<0.23	0.96		5	<0.23
Mercury, methyl			0.009	0.0028	0.52	<0.04		0.8-1.0	<0.04
Molybdenum			16,000	370		550			880

Table 1. (continued)

Chemical	NAWQ Criteria		Tier II Values		Lowest Chronic Value for:				
	Acute	Chronic	Secondary Acute Value	Secondary Chronic Value	Fish	Daphnids	Non-Daphnid Invertebrates	Aquatic Plants	All Organisms
Nickel	1,400+	160+			<35	<5	128.4	5	<5
Potassium						53,000			53,000
Selenium	20	5			88.32	91.65		100	88.32
Silver	4.1+			0.36	0.12	2.6		30	0.12
Sodium						689,000			689,000
Strychnine			15,000	1,500		42,000			42,000
Thallium			110	12	57	130		100	57
Tin			2,700	73		350			350
Uranium			46	2.6	*142				*142
Vanadium			280	20	80	1,900			80
Zinc	120+	110+			36.41	45.73	>5,243	30	30
Zirconium			310	17	*548				*548
Organics									
Acephenanthrene	80*	23*			74	*6,646	227	520	74
Acetone			28,000	1,500	*507,649	1,560			*507,649
Anthracene			13	0.73	*0.09	<2.1			*0.09
Benzene			2,300	130		>98,000		525,000	525,000
Benzidine			70	3.9	*134				*134
Benz(o)anthracene			0.49	0.027		*0.65			*0.65
Benz(o)pyrene			0.24	0.014		*0.30			*0.30
Benzic acid			740	42	*12,976				*12,976
Benzyl alcohol			150	8.6	*589				*589
BHC (lindane)	2	0.08			146	145	33	500	33

Table 1. (continued)

Chemical	NAWQ Criteria			Tier II Values			Lowest Chronic Value for:						
	Acute	Chronic	Secondary Acute Value	Secondary Chronic Value	Fish	Daphnids	Non-Daphnid Invertebrates	Aquatic Plants	All Organisms				
BHC (other)			39	2.2						95			95
Bisphenyl				14'									
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			27	3.0		912							912
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether				1.5'									
Butyl phenyl phthalate				19'									
2-Butene			240,000	14,000	282,170	1,394,927							282,170
Carbon disulfide			17	0.92	9,538	244							244
Carbon tetrachloride			180	9.8	1,970	5,580							1,970
Chlordane	2.4	0.17			16	16	1.09						1.09
Chlorobenzene			1,100	64	1,203	15,042			224,000				1,203
Chloroform			490	28	1,240	4,483							1,240
DDD p,p'			0.19	0.011	1.69								1.69
DDT	1.1			0.013'	0.73'	0.016			0.3				0.3
Decane			880	49		7,874							7,874
Di-n-butyl phthalate			190	35	717'	697							697
Diazinon			0.17	0.043'									
Dibenzofuran			66	3.7		1,003							1,003
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			260'	14'									
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			630'	71'									
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			180'	15'									
1,1-Dichloroethane			830	47	14,680								14,680
1,2-Dichloroethane			8,800	910	41,364	15,200							15,200
1,1-Dichloroethene			450	25	>2,800	4,720			>798,000				>2,800

Table 1. (continued)

Chemical	NAWQ Criteria		Tier II Values		Lowest Chronic Value for:				
	Acute	Chronic	Secondary Acute Value	Secondary Chronic Value	Fish	Daphnids	Non-Daphnid Invertebrates	Aquatic Plants	All Organisms
1,2-Dichloroethene			1,100	590	*9,538				*9,538
1,3-Dichloropropene			0.99	0.055	244	*805		4,950	244
Dieldrin	0.18*	0.062*							
Diethyl phthalate			1,800	210				85,600	85,600
Di-n-octyl phthalate					3,822	708			708
Endosulfan, all isomers				0.051*					
Endrin	0.095*	0.061*							
Ethyl benzene			130	7.3	>440	*12,922		>438,000	>440
Fluoranthene	33.6*	6.16*			30	15		54,100	15
Fluorene			70*	3.9*					
Heptachlor			0.125*	0.0069*	1.26	*3.18		267	1.25
Hexachloroethane			210*	12*					
Hexane			10	0.58	*65,712				*65,712
2-Hexanone			1,800	99	*32,783				*32,783
Methoxychlor				0.019*					
1-Methylnaphthalene			37	2.1	*526				*526
4-Methyl-2-pentanone			2,200	170	77,400				77,400
2-Methylphenol			230	13	*489	*1,316			*489
Methylene chloride			26,000	2,200	108,000	*42,667			*42,667
Naphthalene			190	12	620	*1,163		33,050	620
4-Nitrophenol			1,200	300	*481	7,100		4190	*481
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine			3,800	210	*332	*1,042			*332
2-Octanone			150	8.3					

Table 1. (continued)

Chemical	NAI/Q Criteria		Tier III Values		Lowest Chronic Value for:				
	Acute	Chronic	Secondary Acute Value	Secondary Chronic Value	Fish	Daphnia	Non-Daphnia Invertebrates	Aquatic Plants	AM Organisms
PCBs total	20								
Aroclor 1221			5.0	0.14*	0.2	2.1	0.8	0.144	0.1
Aroclor 1202			10	0.58	*124			--	*124
Aroclor 1242			1.2	0.053	9.00		4.9	309	4.9
Aroclor 1248			1.4	0.081				--	
Aroclor 1254			0.60	0.033		2.9		0.1	0.1
Aroclor 1260			1,700	94	<4.3			--	2.3
Polychlorinated			8.4	0.47					
1-Pentad			2,000	110	*50,433				*50,433
Hexadecane	30'	63'				290			200
Phenol	3,600'	110'			<200	*2,005		20,000	<200
2-Propand			130	7.5	*590				*590
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			2,109	610	2,490	9,900		136,000	2,490
Tetrachloroethane			830	98	840	750		>\$16,000	750
Tetrachloroethane			4,400'	240'					
Toluene			120	9.8	*1,369	*25,229		245,000	*1,369
Trichloroethane			2,300'	330'					
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			700'	110'					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			200	11	*3,493			>669,000	*3,493
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			5,200	1,200	9,400	18,400			9,400
Trichloroethane			440	47	11,100	*7,257			*7,257
Vinyl acetate			280	16	*810				*810
Xylene			230	13	*62,308				*62,308
m-Xylene			37'	1.8'					

Table 1. (continued)

Notes:

- + Hardness dependent criterion normalized to 100 mg/L
- * Numbers preceded by * are estimates. Methods of estimation are described in the text.
- The chronic NAWQC for chlordane (0.0043 µg/L) and mercury (0.012 µg/L) are based on the final residue values. FCVs are used as benchmarks to protect aquatic life.
- The chronic NAWQC for DDT (0.001 µg/L), total PCBs (0.014 µg/L), and heptachlor (0.0038 µg/L) are based on the final residue values; for benchmarks to protect aquatic life, we use SCVs.
- The CV for DDT in Järvinen et al. 1977 of 0.9 µg/L is the arithmetic mean of the NOEC and LOEC. We used the geometric mean which is 0.73.
- For fish (non-burial) pitlake lowest CV, the geometric mean of the measured concentrations for the NOEC and LOEC rather than the nominal concentrations used by the authors (McCarthy and Whitmore 1985) was used herein.
- These numbers are Final Acute Values and Final Chronic Values calculated by the EPA for use in the derivation of sediment quality criteria (EPA 1993b).
- † Values calculated for OSWER (1996).
- Values calculated by the Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative (EPA 1993d).
- SAV was calculated by the Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative because some data used to derive FAV were questionable (EPA 1992).
- ‡ These values are draft FAV and FCV values (EPA 1988b).

Table 2. Summary of alternative benchmarks for priority contaminants in fresh water based on levels of chronic effects (all values in micrograms per liter)

Chemical	Lowest Test EC ₂₀ for:		Sensitive Species Test EC ₂₀	Population EC ₂₀
	Fish	Daphnids		
Aluminum	4,700	540	75	
Antimony	2,310	1,900		79
Arsenic III	2,130	633	55	1,995
Arsenic V	1,500	>932		185
Barium				
Beryllium	*148	3.8		21
Boron		7,000		
Cadmium	1.8	0.75	0.013*	4.3
Calcium				
Chromium III	89		8.44	126
Chromium VI	51	0.5	0.266	316
Cobalt	810	<4.4		3.98
Copper	5	0.205	0.26	8.6
Cyanide	5.3		1.17	11
Fluorine	*5,336	3,706		1,080
Iron		16		
Lead	22		0.35	71
Magnesium				
Manganese	1,270	<1,100		112
Mercury, inorganic	0.87	0.87	0.18	0.32
Mercury, methyl	<0.03	0.87		0.28
Molybdenum		360		
Nickel	62	45	11*	215
Potassium				
Selenium	40	25	2.60	
Silver	0.20	<0.56	0.14*	0.32
Sodium				
Strontium				
Thallium	81	64		67

10
Table 2. (continued)

Chemical	Lowest Test EC ₂₀ for:		Sensitive Species Test EC ₂₀	Population EC ₂₀
	Fish	Daphnids		
Tin				
Uranium	*455			27
Vanadium	41	430		32
Zinc	47		21	80
Zirconium	*2,396			251
Organics				
Acenaphthene	<197			
Acetone	*161,867			23,714
Anthracene	*0.35	>8.2		
Benzene	21			229
Benzidene	*158			68
Benzo(n)anthracene				
Benzo(n)pyrene	>2.99			
Benzoic acid	*7,409			1,259
Benzyl alcohol	*550			375
BHC (lindane)	<1.1	11	0.11	
BHC (other)				
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	>54	<3		50
2-Butanone	*98,772			17,783
Carbon disulfide	*5719			1,000
Carbon tetrachloride	65			224
Chlordane	<0.25	12.1	0.50	0.71
Chlorobenzene	1,002			165
Chloroethane				
Chloroform	8,400			562
DDD p,p'	*3.99			0.61
DDT	0.35		0.008	
Decane				

16-10-1980 • 105-001-100 • 110

11
Table 2. (continued)

Chemical	Lowest Test EC ₂₀ for:		Sensitive Species Test EC ₂₀	Population EC ₂₀
	Fish	Daphnids		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	270	500		251
Dibenzofuran				
1,1-Dichloroethane	*8,219			1,585
1,2-Dichloroethane	29,000	<11,000		1,259
1,1-Dichloroethene				447
1,2-Dichloroethenes	*5,719			
1,3-Dichloropropene	*350			40
Diethyl phthalate				1,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	<100	310		1,995
Ethyl benzene				398
Fluoranthene				32
Heptachlor	0.86		0.004	0.1
Hexane	*28,995			
2-Hexanone	*16,155			1,259
1-Methylnaphthalene	*500			31.62
4-Methyl-2-pentanone				1,585
2-Methylphenol	*470			74
Methylene chloride	410			1,259
Naphthalene	450	>600		1,000
4-Nitrophenols	*464	5,000		60
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	*339			40
3-Octanone	*3571			
PCBs total	0.4	1.2		0.63
Aroclor® 1221	*80			10
Aroclor® 1232	*148			16
Aroclor® 1242	<.9			1.58
Aroclor® 1248	0.4	2.5		1.26
Aroclor® 1254	0.52	1.2		0.63
Aroclor® 1260	2.1			316
1-Pentanol	*15,200			3,548

1995 • 001-000-0000 • 01-12

12
Table 2. (continued)

Chemical	Lowest Test EC ₂₀ for:		Sensitive Species Test EC ₂₀	Population EC ₂₀
	Fish	Daphnids		
Phenanthrene		110		
Phenol	<30			4,467
2-Propanol	*35,381			3,162
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,400	<420		1,585
Tetrachloroethene	500	510		50
Toluene	<6			200
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	*2,457	1,300		251
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	14,800	13,000		15,849
Trichloroethene	5758			232
Vinyl acetate	*718			108
Xylene	2680			

Notes:

- * Numbers preceded by * are estimates. Methods of estimation are described in the text.
- * Study LC50's were used rather than species mean LC50's so water hardness would correspond to EC20 values.

ratios from tests of different families of aquatic organisms (Stephan et al. 1985). It is intended to prevent significant toxic effects in chronic exposures and is used in this assessment as one possible lower screening benchmark. The NAWQC are listed in Table 1.

NAWQC for several metals are functions of water hardness; the criteria are lower for lower hardness levels. The criteria for 100 mg/L hardness as reported by the EPA are presented in this report. That hardness is near the lower end of the range of hardness values reported for the Oak Ridge Reservation, so it is moderately conservative. For sites with different water hardnesses, site-specific criteria should be calculated. The formulas for hardness correction are listed in the discussions of individual chemicals.

Many readers will note that the EPA's compilations of NAWQC contain values for many chemicals that have no NAWQC listed herein (EPA 1986b); the EPA lists lowest CVs for those chemicals for which there is not enough data to calculate a criterion but for which there is at least one CV. Lowest CVs are treated as a separate category of benchmarks in this compilation.

Some chronic NAWQC are based on protection of humans or other piscivorous organisms rather than protection of aquatic organisms. Those criteria are not included herein because screening for risks to wildlife or humans is performed by other methods. However, if sufficient data were available to calculate a final chronic value (FCV) for those chemicals, then the FCV are presented in place of the chronic NAWQC in Table 1, and its derivation is noted.

For particular chemicals, the lower screening benchmark could be lower than the chronic NAWQC for any one of the following reasons. First, the chronic NAWQC are based on a threshold for statistical significance rather than biological significance. In some chronic tests, because of highly variable results, the statistical threshold corresponds to greater than 50% effect on a response

NAWQC • 100-000-100 • 2-1-82

parameter (Stephan and Rogers 1985, Suter et al. 1987). Second, not all important responses are included in the subchronic toxicity tests that are used to calculate many chronic NAWQC. In particular, effects on fecundity, which is the most sensitive response parameter on average in fish toxicity tests (Suter et al. 1987), are often not included. Third, the chronic NAWQC are based on the most statistically sensitive of the measured response parameters in each chronic or subchronic test. Therefore, cumulative effects over the lifecycle of fish and invertebrates are not considered. Fourth, the NAWQC are set at a level that protects "most species most of the time." Finally, many of the NAWQC have not been revised since 1980 so they do not incorporate recent data that are included in the calculation of other benchmarks. These concerns are supported by the recent finding that nickel concentrations (on the Oak Ridge Reservation) that are below chronic NAWQC are nonetheless toxic to daphnids (Kszos et al. 1992).

2.3 TIER II VALUES

If NAWQC were not available for a chemical, the Tier II method described in the EPA's *Proposed Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System* was applied (EPA 1993a). Tier II values were developed so that aquatic benchmarks could be established with fewer data than are required for the NAWQC. The Tier II values are concentrations that would be expected to be higher than NAWQC in no more than 20% of cases. Tier II values calculated by the EPA are listed in Table 1, and the sources are cited. Other Tier II values are derived as described in the following text.

The Tier II values equivalent to the FAV and FCV are the Secondary Acute Values (SAVs) and Secondary Chronic Values (SCVs), respectively. The sources of data for the Tier II values are listed in Appendix A, and the procedure and factors used to calculate the SAVs and SCVs are in Appendix B. The methods described herein differ from EPA's (1993a) in one respect. The Great Lakes SAVs require an LC50 for a daphnid, but that requirement would severely restrict the number of benchmarks that could be calculated. The EPA has provided factors for calculating SAVs when no daphnid LC50s are available, and these factors are used herein (Stephan 1991).

Some of the SAVs and SCVs presented in this report differ from those presented in the prior edition (Suter and Mabrey 1994) for three reasons. First, in the previous report we included all data that occurred in EPA water quality criteria documents. However, much of the data included in criteria documents issued prior to 1985 are no longer considered acceptable by the EPA. Second, some data from the EPA's AQUIRE data set were used by Suter and Mabrey (1994) that appeared to be acceptable based on the information provided in the data base and the EPA's rating of the data. It has become clear that much of that data would not be acceptable to the EPA for calculating criteria. Therefore, we obtained all original publications and independently reviewed them against the criteria in Stephan et al. (1985). Those criteria are summarized in Appendix B. Finally, some new data have been found and incorporated.

Only high quality standard data are used in this document if such values are available for a chemical. That is, if even one test that meets the criteria in Stephan et al. (1985) was found, all nonconforming tests were excluded. However, when no such values are available, nonstandard or lower quality test results which were judged by the authors to be reliable were used. Values derived using data that did not meet the Stephan et al. (1985) criteria are noted.

ANNO • INFORMATION • NCI

2.4 LOWEST CHRONIC VALUES

The lowest chronic values for fish and invertebrates reported in the literature are potential lower benchmarks. Chronic values are used to calculate the chronic NAWQC, but the lowest chronic value may be lower than the chronic NAWQC. Because of the short generation time of algae and the relative lack of standard chronic tests for aquatic plants, EPA guidelines are followed in using any algal test of at least 96-hour duration and any biologically meaningful response for the plant values.

2.5 ESTIMATED LOWEST CHRONIC VALUES

Estimated lowest chronic values for fish and invertebrates are another set of potential lower benchmarks. Estimated chronic values were extrapolated from 96-hour LC50s using equations from Suter et al. (1987) and Suter (1993). The equations are as follows where LC50 equals the lowest species mean 96-hour LC50 for fish and 48-hour EC50 for daphnids, and CV equals the estimated chronic value for that taxon. The 95% prediction interval at the mean is log CV \pm the PI value (95% prediction intervals contain 95% of observations versus 95% confidence intervals which contain the mean with 95% confidence).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fish CV for a metallic contaminant:} & & (1) \\ \log \text{ CV} &= 0.73 \log \text{ LC50} - 0.70 \\ \text{PI} &= 1.2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fish CV for a nonmetallic contaminant:} & & (2) \\ \log \text{ CV} &= 1.07 \log \text{ LC50} - 1.51 \\ \text{PI} &= 1.5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Daphnid CV for a metallic contaminant:} & & (3) \\ \log \text{ CV} &= 0.96 \log \text{ LC50} - 1.08 \\ \text{PI} &= 1.56 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Daphnid CV for a nonmetallic contaminant:} & & (4) \\ \log \text{ CV} &= 1.11 \log \text{ LC50} - 1.30 \\ \text{PI} &= 1.35 \end{aligned}$$

2.6 TEST EC20s

Another potential lower benchmark is the test EC20 for fish, which is defined as the highest tested concentration causing less than 20% reduction in (1) the weight of young fish per initial female fish in a lifecycle or partial life-cycle test or (2) the weight of young per egg in an early life-stage test. A similar potential lower benchmark is the test EC20 for daphnids, which is the highest tested concentration causing less than 20% reduction in the product of growth, fecundity, and survivorship in a chronic test with a daphnid species. (Daphnids include members of the genera *Daphnia*, *Ceriodaphnia*, and *Simocephalus*.) These benchmarks are intended to be indices of population production. They are equivalent to chronic values in that they are simply a summary of the results of chronic toxicity tests, and in most cases the same test supplied the lowest chronic value and the lowest test EC20. However, the test EC20s are based on a level of biological effect rather than a level of statistical significance, and they integrate all of the stages of the toxicity test rather than treating each response independently. The 20% figure was chosen as approximately the mean level of effect on individual response parameters observed

at CVs and as a minimum detectable difference in population characteristics in the field (Suter et al., 1987, 1992). These values are listed in Table 2.

2.7 ESTIMATED TEST EC20s

The estimated test EC20 is another potential benchmark. The estimated values were extrapolated from 96-hour LC50 values using equations from Suter (1992). The equation for the lowest fish test EC20 is as follows where LC50 equals the lowest species mean 96-hour LC50 for fish, and the EC25 for weight of juveniles per egg is used as an estimate of the test EC20 value. (The difference between 20% and 25% effect is trivial given the uncertainties in these estimates and the steepness of the concentration-response curves.) The log-scaled 95% prediction interval at the mean is $\log EC25 \pm$ the PI value:

$$\begin{aligned} \log EC25 &= 0.90 \log LC50 - 0.86 & (5) \\ PI &= 1.6 \end{aligned}$$

These values are listed in Table 2 for those chemicals that have no empirical test EC20.

2.8 SENSITIVE SPECIES TEST EC20s

The sixth potential benchmark is the EC20, adjusted to approximate the fifth percentile of the species sensitivity distribution. It is calculated in the same way as the chronic NAWQC except that the test EC20s are used in place of CVs, and salt water species were not included. The FAV for each of the criterion chemicals was divided by the geometric mean of ratios of LC50s to EC20s. These benchmarks are referred to as sensitive species (SS) test EC20s, and are listed in Table 2.

2.9 POPULATION EC25s

The last potential benchmark is an estimate of the continuous concentration that would cause a 20% reduction in the recruit abundance of largemouth bass. The method used was described by Burnthouse et al. (1990) and is briefly summarized herein. The recruit abundance estimates are generated by a matrix model of a reservoir largemouth bass population (Bartell 1990). The fecundity, hatching success, larval survival, and post-larval survival of the model population are each decremented by a value generated from statistical extrapolation models. For each life stage for which a concentration-response relationship could be calculated, that relationship was adjusted for the relative sensitivity of the test species and the bass. For those life stages with no concentration-response relationship, the relationship was estimated using life stage to life stage extrapolation models, and the taxonomic adjustment was made. However, if the authors of the study reported that life stage was unaffected, the decrement for that life stage was set to zero. If no chronic test data were available, extrapolations from LC50s to chronic responses of each life stage were performed. Uncertainties in all of these extrapolations were propagated through the models to generate estimates of uncertainty. For each chemical, each available freshwater fish chronic test was used to parameterize a model run. If no chronic test data were available, each available freshwater fish LC50 was used to parameterize a model run. The results are presented in Appendix C. The geometric mean of all population EC25 estimates for each chemical is reported in Table 2.

-FBI - 00410501 - 0123

2.10 ECOTOX THRESHOLDS

The EPA's OSWER has published Ecotox Thresholds (ETs) which are intended to be used for screening contaminants at Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) sites (OSWER 1996); these values are available for 20 metals and 47 organics in fresh water and for 10 metals and 7 organics in marine waters. The fresh water values are presented in Table 3. Their derivation is briefly explained in the following text.

In general, chronic NAWQC values are preferred as aqueous ETs. However, as with the benchmarks in Table 1, criteria that are based on fish consumption rather than aquatic toxic effects (DDT, heptachlor, and toxaphene) are not used. Tier II values are presented in their place. For diazinon, the FCV calculated by the Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative (GLWQI) was used as a criterion value (EPA 1992).

OSWER recommends the use of dissolved concentrations of metals. Therefore, the method described in Prothro (1993) is used to correct for dissolved phase concentrations, which causes some of the metals criteria values used as ETs to differ slightly from the criteria listed in Table 1 or in the Region IV values.

SCVs are used when NAWQCs are not available. Four of these SCVs are from the GLWQI (EPA 1992), 34 are from the prior edition of this document (Suter and Mabrey 1994), and 18 were calculated by OSWER (1996). Three chemicals with OSWER-derived SCVs (endosulfan, methoxychlor, and malathion) had NAWQCs, but the criteria were judged to be old and unreliable. Tier II values were not derived if no daphnia acute values were available.

2.11 REGION IV SCREENING VALUES

EPA Region IV has published acute and chronic ecological screening values (SVs) for fresh surface water (Waste Management Division 1995); they are presented in Table 3. The acute SVs consist of acute NAWQCs or, for chemicals with no acute NAWQC, of lowest acute LC50 or EC50 values divided by 10. The chronic SVs consist of chronic NAWQCs or, for chemicals with no chronic NAWQC, of lowest CVs divided by 10. If there were no CVs, the acute SV is divided by 10 to obtain the chronic SV. These divisions by 10 serve the same purpose as the models used to calculate Tier II values, but without the scientific or statistical basis and without using the full available data set. For some chemicals, the SVs are based on effects on fish eaters or irrigated plants rather than aquatic life. Region IV acknowledges that other values have greater ecological relevance (Waste Management Division 1995). As explained previously, there are separate benchmarks to address effects on plants and wildlife and an entirely separate set of risk assessment methods to protect humans who eat fish. Finally, the hardness dependent criteria are adjusted to 50 mg/L which is unrealistically low for the Oak Ridge Reservation and most other sites.

2.12 BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Background water concentrations should be used as a check for these benchmarks. That is, because some of these benchmarks are quite conservative and because the measured concentrations in ambient water may include forms that are not bioavailable, benchmark concentrations may be lower than background water concentrations. If the background concentrations are valid and represent a noncontaminated state and if exposed site does not contain forms of the chemicals that are more

bioavailable or toxic than the forms at background sites, then screening benchmarks lower than the background concentration should not be used.

Table 3. Summary of OSWER threshold values for aquatic life (EPA 1996) and Region IV screening values for freshwater surface water (Region IV 1995) (All values are µg/L)

Chemical	OSWER Values		Region IV Values ^d	
	NAWQC or FCV ¹	Tier II ²	Acute Screening Values	Chronic Screening Values
Metals				
Aluminum			750	87
Antimony			1300 (2s)	160 (2s)
Arsenic III	190		360	190
Arsenic V		8.1 *		
Barium		3.9 *		
Beryllium		5.1 *	16 (6s)	053 (1s)
Boron			--	750 ^d
Cadmium	1.0 h		1.79 h	0.66 h
Chromium III	180 h		984.32 h	117.32 h
Chromium VI	10		16	11
Cobalt		3.0 *		
Copper	11 h		9.22 h	6.54 h
Iron	1000		--	1000
Lead	2.5 h		33.78	1.32
Manganese		80 *		
Mercury			2.40	0.0123
Mercury, inorganic	1.3			
Mercury, methyl		0.003 *		
Molybdenum		240 *		
Nickel	160 h		789.00 h	87.71 h
Selenium	5.0		20.00	5.00
Silver			1.23 h	0.012 (1s)
Thallium			140.00(3s)	4.00 (2s)

1996 • 050-00-110 • 113

18
Table 3. (continued)

Chemical	OSWER Values		Region IV Values ²	
	NAWQC or FCV ¹	Tier II ²	Acute Screening Values	Chronic Screening Values
Vanadium		19 *		
Zinc	100 h		65.04 h	58.91 h
Organic Compounds				
Acenaphthene	23 S		170 (2s)	17
Acrolein			6.8 (3s)	2.1 (1s)
Acrylonitrile			755 (4s)	75.5
Aldrin			3	0.3
Benzene		46 *	530 (7s)	53
Benzidine			250 (4s)	25
Benzo(a)pyrene		0.014 *		
<i>a</i> -BHC			--	500*
<i>b</i> -BHC			--	5000*
<i>g</i> -BHC (Lindane)	0.08		2	0.08
Biphenyl		14 #		
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether			23800 (1s)	2380
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		32 *	1110 (2s)	<0.3 (2s)
Bromoform			2930 (2s)	293
4-Bromophenylphenyl ether		1.5 #		
4-Bromophenylphenyl phthalate			36 (2s)	12.2 (1s)
Butylbenzyl phthalate		19 #	330 (4s)	22 (2s)
Carbon tetrachloride			3520 (3s)	352
Chlordane			2.4	0.0043 ³
Chlorobenzene		130 *	1950 (5s)	195
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether			35400 (1s)	3540
Chloroform			2890 (3s)	289
2-Chlorophenol			438 (5s)	43.8
Chlorpyrifos			0.083	0.041

113 • 000000 • 010

19
Table 3. (continued)

Chemical	OSWER Values		Region IV Values ²	
	NAWQC or FCV ¹	Tier II ³	Acute Screening Values	Chronic Screening Values
4,4'-DDT		0.013 *	1.1	0.001
4,4'-DDE			105 (1s)	10.5
4,4'-DDD			0.064 (8s)	0.0064
Demeton			--	0.1
Diazinon	0.043 F			
Dibenzofuran		20 *		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		14 #	158 (4s)	15.8 (3s)
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		71 #	502 (3s)	50.2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		15 #	112 (5s)	11.2
1,1-Dichloroethane		47 *		
1,2-Dichloroethane			11800 (3s)	2000 (1s)
1,1-Dichloroethylene			3030 (3s)	303
2,4-Dichlorophenol			202 (3s)	36.5 (1s)
1,2-Dichloropropane			5250 (3s)	525
Dichloropropylene (cis and trans)			606 (2s)	24.4 (1s)
Dieldrin	0.062 S		2.5	0.0019 ⁴
Diethyl phthalate		220 *	5210 (2s)	521
2,4-Dimethylphenol			212 (3s)	21.2
Dimethyl phthalate			3300 (2s)	330
Di-n-butyl phthalate		33 *	94 (6s)	9.4
2,4-Dinitrophenol			62 (3s)	6.2
2,4-Dinitrotoluene			3100 (2s)	310
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)			0.1	0.00001 ⁵
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine			27 (2s)	2.7
Endosulfan, mixed isomers		0.051 #		
Endosulfan, alpha		0.051 #	0.22	0.056
Endosulfan, beta		0.051 #	0.22	0.056

KING • WASHINGTON • 6107

20
Table 3. (continued)

Chemical	OSWER Values		Region IV Values ²	
	NAWQC or FCV ¹	Tier II ²	Acute Screening Values	Chronic Screening Values
Endrin	0.061 S		0.18	0.0023 ³
Ethylbenzene		290 *	4530 (5s)	453
Fluoranthene	8.1 S		398 (2s)	39.8
Fluorene		3.9 #		
Guthion			--	0.01
Heptachlor		0.0069 +	0.52	0.0038 ⁴
Heptachlor epoxide			0.52	0.0038 ⁴
Hexachlorobutadiene			9 (5s)	0.93 (1s)
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene			0.7 (4s)	0.07
Hexachloroethane		12 #	98 (5s)	9.8
Isophorone			11700 (2s)	1170
Lindane (see g-BHC)				
Malathion		0.097	--	0.01
Methoxychlor		0.019 #	--	0.03
Methyl bromide			1100 (1s)	110
Methyl chloride			55000 (1s)	5500
3-Methyl-4-chlorophenol (p-Chloro-m-cresol)			3 (1s)	0.3
2-Methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol (4,6- Dinitro-o-cresol)			23 (4s)	2.3
Methylene chloride			19300 (3s)	1930
Mirex			--	0.001
Naphthalene		24 *	230 (4s)	62 (1s)
Nitrobenzene			2700 (2s)	270
2-Nitrophenol			--	3500
4-Nitrophenol			828 (3s)	82.8
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine			585 (2s)	58.5
Parathion			0.065	0.013

21
Table 3. (continued)

Chemical	OSWER Values		Region IV Values ²	
	NAWQC or FCV ¹	Tier II ²	Acute Screening Values	Chronic Screening Values
PCB (total polychlorinated biphenyls)		0.19 *		
PCB-1242			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1254			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1221			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1232			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1248			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1260			0.2 (7s)	0.014
PCB-1016			0.2 (7s)	0.014
Pentachlorobenzene		0.47 #	250	50
Pentachlorophenol	13 pH		20 pH	13 pH
Phenol			1020 (16s)	256 (1s)
Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons				
Phenanthrene	6.3 S			
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene			250	50
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		420 *	932 (3s)	240 (1s)
Tetrachloroethylene		120 *	528 (5s)	84 (1s)
Tetrachloromethane		240 #		
Toluene		130 *	1750 (5s)	175
Toxaphene		0.011 #	0.73	0.00025
1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene			13500 (1s)	1350
Tribromomethane		320 #		
Tributyltin			--	0.026
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		110 #	150 (4s)	44.9 (1s)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		62 *	5280 (2s)	528
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			3600 (3s)	940 (1s)
Trichloroethylene		350 *		

AP-10 - 101000-00 - 0100

3.1 INORGANICS

Aluminum. There are NAWQC for aluminum. The toxicity of aluminum has been shown to vary widely with water hardness and pH (Ingersoll et al., 1990a 1990b; Woodward et al., 1989; Sadler and Lynam, 1988; and Cleveland et al. 1986; and others). The benchmarks were calculated using only tests in circumneutral water. Lowest chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from 28-day embryo-larval tests with *Pimephales promelas*. Kimball (n.d.) presented a CV of 5800 µg/L, however, after further analysis of Kimball's data, the EPA (1988a) offered another value of 3288 µg/L as the CV for aluminum. Lowest chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from McCauley et al. (1986). The EPA (1988a) gives a 4-day test EC50 for *Selenastrum capricornutum* which is used as the plant chronic value.

Ammonia. The test EC20 value for fish is from an embryo-larval test with fathead minnow s (Thurston et al. 1986). The chronic value for fish is from an early life stage test with pink salmon , *Oncorhynchus gorbuscha* (Rice and Bailey 1980). The chronic value for daphnids is from EPA (1985a). Chronic values were determined using *Daphnia magna* in life-cycle tests. EPA (1985a) provided the chronic value for aquatic plants, in which *Chlorella vulgaris* experienced growth inhibition (EC50). The NAWQC for ammonia are functions of temperature (T) and pH. The acute NAWQC for ammonia is 0.52/FT/FPH/2, and the chronic NAWQC for ammonia is 0.80/FT/FPH/Ratio, where:

$$FT = \frac{10^{(0.0320-TCAP)}; TCAP \leq T \leq 30}{10^{(0.0320-T)}; 0 \leq T \leq TCAP}$$

$$FPH = \frac{1; 8 \leq pH \leq 9}{1 + 10^{7.4-pH}; 6.5 \leq pH \leq 8} \\ 1.25$$

$$\text{Ratio} = 16; 7.7 \leq pH \leq 9 \\ = (24) \frac{10^{7.7-pH}}{1 + 10^{7.4-pH}}; 6.5 \leq pH \leq 7.7$$

- TCAP = 20° C for acute criteria and 15° C for chronic criteria when Salmonids or other sensitive cold water species are present
 = 25° C for acute criteria and 20° C for chronic criteria when Salmonids and other sensitive coldwater species are absent

These criteria are presented in greater detail in EPA (1985a and 1986b).

Antimony. Chronic and test EC20 values for antimony are from Kimball (n.d.). The chronic tests of *Pimephales promelas* were embryo-larval, and 28-day life-cycle tests were used for *Daphnia magna*. The EPA (1978) gives a 4-day EC50 for chlorophyll A inhibition in *Selenastrum capricornutum* which is used as the plant value. The SAV and SCV listed in this report are draft FAV and FCV values (EPA 1988b).

Arsenic III. NAWQC are listed for arsenic III. The lowest chronic values for fish and daphnids are given by Call et al. (1983) and Lima et al. (1984). Early life stage tests were used on *Pimephales promelas* and life-cycle tests were used on *Daphnia magna*. Cowell (1965) provides the lowest chronic value for the algae *Spirogyra*, *Cladophora*, and *Zygnema* which is a concentration that produced a

100% kill in 2 weeks. The test EC20 value is derived from Lima et al. (1984) for fish and from Call et al. (1983) and Lima et al. (1984) for daphnids.

Arsenic V. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from an early life stage test with *Pimephales promelas* (DeFoe 1982), and the test EC20 for daphnids is from Spehar et al. (1980). The estimated chronic value for daphnids was calculated with a *Daphnia magna* LC50 from EPA (1985b) using Equation (3). Vocke (1980) provides the plant value from a 14-day EC50 test with *Scenedesmus obliquus*. The SAV and SCV listed in this report are lower than the acute and chronic LOEL value s listed in the Water Quality Criteria Summary (EPA 1986b).

Barium. The chronic value for daphnids is from a 21-day test on *Daphnia magna* by Biesinger and Christensen (1972) which resulted in 16% reproductive impairment.

Beryllium. The chronic and test EC20 values for *Daphnia magna* are from a life-cycle test in Kimball (n.d.). Karlander and Krauss (1972) provide the plant value for *Chlorella vannieli*, a 10 to 20% reduction in autotrophic growth rates. The estimated chronic and test EC20 values for fish were derived using data for *Pimephales promelas* from EPA (1980f) in Equations (1) and (5). The derived SAV and SCV listed in this report are lower than the lowest CV listed in the Water Quality Criteria Summary (EPA 1986b) and the acute and chronic LOEL values listed in the Water Quality Criteria Summary (EPA 1986b).

Boron. The EC20 value for daphnids was based on a 21-day test on *Daphnia magna* by Gerisch (1984). A 21-day test of *Daphnia magna* by Lewis and Valentine (1981) provided the lowest daphnid chronic value.

Cadmium. The NAWQC for cadmium are functions of water hardness. The equations for these are $e^{(0.7852[\ln(\text{hardness})]-3.490)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(1.128[\ln(\text{hardness})]-3.828)}$ for the acute value (EPA 1986b). The lowest chronic value for fish is from Sauter et al. (1976) and Chapman et al. (n.d.) for daphnids. Early life stage tests were performed on brook trout, and life-cycle tests were performed on *Daphnia magna*. The test EC20 value is from Carlson et al. (1982) for fish and Elnabarawy et al. (1986) for daphnids. The value for aquatic plants is from Conway (1977). A relatively low cadmium concentration reduced the population growth rate of *Asterionella formosa* by an order of magnitude.

Calcium. The chronic value for daphnids is a concentration causing a 16% reduction in reproduction of *Daphnia magna* exposed to $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Biesinger and Christensen 1972). Because the highly conservative secondary values were below commonly occurring ambient concentrations of this macronutrient, they were judged to be inappropriate and are not presented.

Chromium III. The NAWQC for chromium III are functions of water hardness. The equations are $e^{(0.8190[\ln(\text{hardness})]-1.5161)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(0.8190[\ln(\text{hardness})]-3.688)}$ for the acute value. The lowest chronic value for fish is from an early life stage test by Stevens and Chapman (1984) on rainbow trout. Chapman et al. (n.d.) provide a chronic value from a life-cycle test of *Daphnia magna*. The plant value for chromium III is from a 4-day chronic test in which there was a 50% inhibition of growth of *Selenastrum capricornutum* (EPA 1985c). Stevens and Chapman (1984) also provided data for the test EC20 value for fish.

Chromium VI. There are NAWQC for chromium VI. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Sauter et al. (1976). An early life stage test produced the chronic value for rainbow trout. For daphnids, a life-cycle chronic test was run by Mount (1982) on *Daphnia magna*, and the test EC20 is from Elnabarawy et al. (1986). *Microcystis aeruginosa*, used for the aquatic plant value, showed incipient inhibition in tests reported by the EPA (1985c).

Cobalt. The chronic and test EC20 values for cobalt are from Kimball (n.d.). *Daphnia magna* were used in 28-day life-cycle tests, and *Pimephales promelas* were used in embryo-larval tests.

Copper. The NAWQC for copper are functions of water hardness. The equations are $e^{(0.8343(\ln(\text{hardness}))-1.465)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(0.9422(\ln(\text{hardness}))-1.464)}$ for the acute value. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from an early life stage test with brook trout by Sauter et al. (1976). The daphnid chronic value is from Chapman (n.d.). The test EC20 value for daphnids is derived from Dave (1984a). A 21-day test LC50 on *Daphnia magna* provided the chronic value for daphnids. Arthur and Leonard (1970) provided a chronic value through 6-week tests on the amphipod, *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*. Steeman-Nielsen and Wiium-Anderson (1970) provide a plant value based on a lag in growth of the alga, *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*.

Cyanide. There are NAWQC for cyanide. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish were both from a brook trout life-cycle test by Koestel et al. (1977). Oseid and Smith (1979) provide full life-cycle test on *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*, an amphipod. The alga, *Scenedesmus quadricauda*, showed incipient inhibition in chronic tests by the EPA (1985c).

Iron. The NAWQC for iron is based on a field study at a site receiving acid mine drainage and is not consistent with the current method for deriving criteria. The lowest chronic value for daphnids (158 µg/L) is a threshold for reproductive effects from a 21-day test of FeCl₂ with *Daphnia magna* (Dave 1984c). It is considerably lower than the 4380 µg/L concentration causing 16% reproductive decrement in another test of FeCl₂ with *D. magna* (Biesinger and Christensen 1972). Dave (1984c) argued that his result was more applicable to a situation in which "an acidic iron-containing waste water is discharged into a lake or a river" where it is neutralized, but Biesinger and Christensen's (1972) result "is probably more close to the steady-state situation in natural freshwater without any point source of iron." The lowest chronic value for fish is a concentration that caused 100% larval mortality in an embryo-larval test with rainbow trout exposed to dissolved iron salts (Amelung 1981).

Lead. The NAWQC for lead are functions of water hardness. The equations are $e^{(1.273(\ln(\text{hardness}))-1.705)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(1.273(\ln(\text{hardness}))-1.460)}$ for the acute value. The lowest chronic value for fish was provided by an early life stage test on rainbow trout by Davies et al. (1976). *Daphnia magna* were used in 21-day tests to determine lowest chronic toxicity by Chapman et al. (manuscript). Borgmann et al. (1978) provided a chronic value for a life-cycle test on *Lymnaea palustris*, a snail. *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Scenedesmus quadricauda*, and *Selenastrum capricornutum* experienced 53%, 35%, and 52% growth inhibition, respectively, at the plant chronic value (EPA 1985f). The test EC20 value for fish is from Sauter et al. (1976). The acute-EC20 ratio from which the SS test EC20 was calculated had to be obtained using a species mean acute value for *Salmo gairdneri* (EPA 1985f) since no acute value was reported by Sauter et al. (1976).

Magnesium. The chronic value for daphnids is a concentration causing a 16% reduction in reproduction of *Daphnia magna* exposed to MgCl₂·6H₂O (Biesinger and Christensen 1972). Because the highly conservative secondary values were below commonly occurring ambient concentrations of this nutrient element, they were judged to be inappropriate and are not presented.

Manganese. All chronic and test EC20 values for manganese are from Kimball (n.d.). The fish chronic value is from a 28-day early life-stage test with *Pimephales promelas*.

Mercury, inorganic, or total. Mercury has NAWQC. However, the chronic criterion for mercury is based on the final residue value derived from a methyl mercury bioconcentration factor. To protect aquatic life, the secondary values were derived from the EPA's (1985f) final acute and chronic values.

133 • 000000 • 0000

The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Call et al. (1983), and those for daphnids are from Biesinger et al. (1982). The chronic tests for fish were run on *Pimephales promelas* throughout their embryo-larval stage. *Daphnia magna* were used in flow through life-cycle tests. The plant value is for incipient inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* in an 8-day test (EPA 1985f). The acute-EC20 ratio used to calculate the SS test EC₂₀ value had to be derived using a species mean acute value (EPA 1985g) since no acute value was reported in Biesinger et al. (1982).

Mercury, methyl. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from McKim et al. (1976). Brook trout were used in three generation life-cycle tests. The test EC20 value for daphnids is from Biesinger et al. (1982). The alga, *Chlorella vulgaris*, was used in 15-day EC50 (growth) tests by Rai et al. (1981) to determine chronic toxicity values for aquatic plants.

Molybdenum. The chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from Kimball (n.d.). *Daphnia magna* were used in a 28-day life-cycle test to determine the chronic value.

Nickel. The NAWQC for nickel are functions of water hardness. The equation for these are $e^{(0.0004(\text{hardness})+1.1645)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(0.0066(\text{hardness})+1.3612)}$ for the acute value. However, nickel concentrations of 10 µg/L in Oak Ridge Reservation stream water (considerably below the chronic NAWQC for nickel but similar to the lowest of the alternate benchmarks) reduced 7-day *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survivorship to 60% (Kszos et al. 1992). The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Nebeker et al. (1985). The chronic value for fish was determined through an early life stage test on rainbow trout. For daphnids, the chronic value was from Lazareva (1985) and the test EC20 was from Münzinger (1990). *Daphnia magna* were used in a life-cycle test to determine the chronic value. The caddisfly, *Chloronia magnifica*, was used in life-cycle tests by Nebeker et al. (1984) to determine the chronic value. The plant chronic toxicity values were provided by the EPA (1986a) for *Microcystis aeruginosa*, which showed incipient inhibition.

Potassium. The chronic value for daphnids is a concentration causing a 16% reduction in reproduction of *Daphnia magna* exposed to KCl (Biesinger and Christensen 1972). Because the highly conservative secondary values were below commonly occurring ambient concentrations of this macronutrient, they were judged to be inappropriate and are not presented.

Selenium. NAWQC are listed for selenium. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Goettl and Davies (1976). Their tests were during the early life stage of rainbow trout. The chronic value for daphnids is from Kimball (n.d.), and the test EC20 is from Johnston (1987). These tests were run for 28 days on *Daphnia magna*. The green alga, *Scenedesmus obliquus*, exhibited reduced growth in the 14-day chronic toxicity tests (Voelke et al. 1980). The acute-EC20 ratio used in calculation of the SS EC20 value had to be derived using a species mean acute value for *Daphnia magna* (EPA 1987a) because no acute value was reported by Johnston.

Silver. The acute NAWQC for silver, which is a function of water hardness, is given by the equation $e^{(1.721(\text{hardness})-6.52)}$. The SCV was estimated from the FAV and acute-chronic ratios for three species. Although questions about two of these ratios prompted the EPA to refrain from calculating a final chronic value, we judged them to be better than the default value. The lowest chronic value for fish is based on an early life stage test on rainbow trout by Davies et al. (1978). The lowest chronic value for daphnids and the test EC20 for fish are from Nebeker et al. (1983). The daphnid CV is from a test with *Daphnia magna*. The test EC20 for daphnids is from Elnabarawy et al. (1986). The plant value is for growth inhibition in *Chlorella vulgaris* (EPA 1980y).

Sodium. The chronic value for daphnids is a concentration causing a 16% reduction in reproduction of *Daphnia magna* exposed to NaCl (Biesinger and Christensen 1972). Because the highly conservative secondary values were below commonly occurring ambient concentrations of this micronutrient, they were judged to be inappropriate and are not presented.

Strontium. The chronic value for daphnids is from 21-day tests on *Daphnia magna* by Biesinger and Christensen (1972) which resulted in 16% reproductive impairment.

Thallium. Chronic and test EC20 values are from Kimball (n.d.). Embryo-larval tests were run on *Pimephales promelas*, and 28-day chronic tests were run on *Daphnia magna*. The aquatic plant value is a 4-day EC50 which reduced the cell numbers of the alga, *Selenastrum capricornutum* (EPA 1978).

Tin. The chronic value is from Biesinger and Christensen (1972). It caused 16% reproductive impairment in *Daphnia magna* in 21 days.

Uranium. The chronic value for fish is an estimate based on a fathead minnow LC50 from Cushman et al. (1977) used in Equation (1). The test EC20 is an estimate based on the same data; however, Equation (5) was used.

Vanadium. The lowest chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Holdway and Sprague (1979) and for daphnids from Kimball (n.d.).

Zinc. The NAWQC for zinc are functions of water hardness. The equations are $e^{(0.0473(\ln(\text{hardness})) + 0.7614)}$ for the chronic value and $e^{(0.0473(\ln(\text{hardness})) + 0.8604)}$ for the acute value. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Spehar (1976), and the chronic value for daphnids is from Chapman et al. (n.d.). Life-cycle tests were run on *Jordanella floridae* and *Daphnia magna*. Nebeker et al. (1984) provided chronic values from life-cycle tests on the caddisfly, *Clistoronia magnifica*. Bartlett et al. (1974) ran 7-day tests on *Selenastrum capricornutum*. These aquatic plants showed incipient inhibition of growth.

Zirconium. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on an LC50 for *Pimephales promelas* from Cushman et al. (1977). These values were calculated using Equations (1) and (5).

3.2 ORGANICS

Acenaphthene. Although the full data requirements are not met for acenaphthene, the EPA has presented final acute and chronic values for derivation of sediment quality criteria which are presented in the criteria columns (EPA 1993b). The fish chronic value is from an early life-stage test with *Pimephales promelas*, and the non-daphnid chronic value is from a life-cycle test with a midge *Paratanytarsus sp.* (EPA 1993b). The plant value is from EPA (1978), *Selenastrum capricornutum* were used in 96-hour EC50 (50% reduction in cell numbers).

Acetone. The test EC20 value for fish is an estimate based on an LC50 for rainbow trout. The chronic value for *Daphnia magna* is a 28-day life-cycle test from LeBlanc and Surprenant (1983).

C:\N\004\004\004\004

Anthracene. The chronic value for daphnids (*Daphnia magna*) was estimated using an EC50 from Holst and Giesy (1989). The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are an estimate based on an LC50 for bluegill from Oris and Giesy (1985). Calculations were performed using Equations (2), (4), and (5).

Benzene. The lowest chronic value for daphnids is given by EPA (1978). *Daphnia magna* were used in life-cycle tests. The lowest chronic value for aquatic plants is given by Kauss and Hutchinson (1975), which was a 48-hour test EC50 on *Chlorella vulgaris*. The chronic value for fish is an estimate based on data for the rainbow trout from EPA (1980d) and Equation (2). The test EC20 value for fish is derived from Black and Birge (1982). The reader should note that Black and Birge conducted a series of screening tests for a large number of chemicals on several freshwater organisms. Larval fish survival was recorded to only 4 days post-hatch, and LOECs and NOECs were not determined. These tests, then, did not generate standard chronic values and are not equivalent to the other chronic tests cited in this report. The test EC20 values based on tests by Black and Birge may be high relative to those from conventional chronic tests.

Benzidene. The chronic and EC20 value for fish are an estimate based on data for red shiner from EPA (1980c). Calculations were performed using Equations (2) and (5).

Benzo(a)anthracene. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from Trucco et al. (1983) used in Equation (4).

Benzo(a)pyrene. The test EC20 for fish is derived from Hannah et al. (1982). The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from Trucco et al. (1985) used in Equation (4).

Benzoic Acid. The chronic value for fish is an estimate based on data for the mosquitofish from AQUIRE used in Equation (2). The estimated test EC20 for fish is based on the same data, but Equation (5) was used.

Benzyl Alcohol. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for bluegill from Dawson et al. (1977). The calculations were performed using Equations (2) and (5).

BHC (lindane). There are NAWQC for lindane. The chronic values for daphnids, fish, and non-daphnid invertebrates are all from Macek et al. (1976a). The test EC20 values for daphnids and fish are also from Macek et al. (1976a). The chronic values were derived from life-cycle tests run on *Pimephales promelas*, *Daphnia magna*, and the midge *Chironimus tentans*. The chronic value for aquatic plants is from Krishnakumari (1977); *Scenedesmus acutus* exhibited 20% growth inhibition in 5 days. The acute-EC20 ratio from which the SS EC20 was calculated was derived using a species mean acute value for *Salvelinus fontinalis* (EPA 1980s) since no acute data were reported by Macek et al. (1976a).

BHC (other). The chronic value for daphnids was estimated using a *Daphnia magna* EC50 from AQUIRE in Equation (4).

Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from a rainbow trout early life-stage test (Mehrlie and Mayer 1976). A much lower value was reported in the previous edition of this report, but the results of that study are now believed to be incorrect (Knowles et al. 1987). The new value is supported by a CV of 912 µg/L from Adams and Heidolph (1985). That study is used in the derivation of the SCV because, unlike the Knowles et al. (1987) study, it has an accompanying acute value (48-hr EC50). No test EC20 for daphnids was calculated because insufficient detail was presented by Adams and Heidolph (1985) and Knowles et al. (1987).

APR 1988

2-Butanone. The chronic values for fish and daphnids are estimates based on data from Veith et al. (1983) and Randall and Knopp (1980), respectively. Equation (4) was applied to the data for *Daphnia magna*, and Equation (2) was applied to the data for *Pimephales promelas*. The test EC20 value for fish is also an estimate using Equation (5) and an LC50 from Veith et al. (1983).

Carbon disulfide. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for mosquitofish from AQUIRE using equations (2) and (5). The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate for *Daphnia magna* using data from Van Leeuwen (1985) in Equation (4).

Carbon tetrachloride. The chronic value for fish is a rainbow trout embryo-larval LC50 (Black and Birge 1982); therefore, it may be too high. However, it is lower than values presented by Kimball et al. (n.d.) and EPA (1980h) for fathead minnows. The same test was used to derive the test EC20 for fish (see the comments on benzene). The chronic value for daphnids is from a 7-day reproduction test with *Daphnia magna* (Kimball et al. n.d.). None of the subchronic tests could be used in the calculation of the SCV.

Chlordane. The chronic NAWQC for chlordane is based on the final residue value. For a criterion to protect aquatic life rather than its use, the FCV is reported. The lowest chronic and test EC20 values are derived from *Daphnia magna*, bluegill, and *Chironomus tentans* life-cycle tests (Cardwell et al. 1977).

Chlorobenzene. The chronic values for fish and daphnids are estimates based on data for bluegill and *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980j). The values were calculated using Equations (2) and (4). The plant value is a 96-hour EC50 for cell number with *Selenastrum capricornutum* (EPA 1980j).

Chloroform. The test EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982). (Refer to the section on benzene). The chronic value is a 27-day LC50 for rainbow trout (embryo-larval) from EPA (1980i). The EPA (1986b) gives this value as a lowest observed effect value in lieu of a NAWQC. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980i) and calculated from Equation (4).

DDD. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for largemouth bass from Mayer and Ellersieck (1986) and are calculated using Equations (2) and (5).

DDT. The acute NAWQC for DDT is used. The chronic NAWQC, however, is not used because it is based on the final residue value. To protect aquatic life, an SCV is presented. The test EC20 value for fish is derived from Jarvinen et al. (1977). The fish chronic value is from a *Pimephales promelas* life-cycle test (EPA 1980m). The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia pulex* from EPA (1980m) and calculated with Equation (4). The aquatic plant chronic value is from Sodergreen (1968). *Chlorella vulgaris* was affected in growth and morphology.

Decane. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from LeBlanc (1980) used in Equation (4).

Di-n-butyl phthalate. All chronic and test EC20 values are from McCarthy and Whitmore (1985). The chronic value for daphnids is based on the geometric means of the observed concentration of fresh solutions and aged solutions. *Daphnia magna* were used in life-cycle tests, and *Pimephales promelas* were used in early life stage tests.

1985 • 001100-01E • 01M

Dibenzofuran. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from LeBlanc (1980) and used in Equation (4).

1,1-Dichloroethane. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on an LC50 for guppy from Koneman (1981) and calculated using Equations (2) and (5).

1,2-Dichloroethane. The chronic value for fish is from Ahmad et al. (1984). Early life stage tests were conducted on *Pimephales promelas*. The test EC20 value for fish is from Benoit et al. (1982). The chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from *Daphnia magna* 28-day life-cycle tests (Richter et al. 1983).

1,1-Dichloroethene. The chronic values for fish and aquatic plants are from EPA (1978). *Pimephales promelas* were used in embryo-larval tests. The alga, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, was used in a 96-hour EC50 where it exhibited loss of chlorophyll A and cell numbers. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980n) used in Equation (4).

1,2-Dichloroethene. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for bluegill from EPA (1980n). These values were derived using Equations (2) and (5).

1,3-Dichloropropene. The test EC20 for fish was estimated using an LC50 for bluegill from EPA (1980o) in Equation (5). The chronic values for fish and aquatic plants are from EPA (1978). *Pimephales promelas* were used in an embryo-larval test, and *Selenastrum capricornutum* were used in a 96-hour EC50. The alga showed chlorophyll A and cell loss. The chronic value for daphnids was estimated using an EC50 for *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980o) in Equation (4).

Diethyl phthalate. The plant value is a 96-hour EC50 for *Selenastrum capricornutum* (EPA 1978).

Di-n-octyl phthalate. All chronic and test EC20 values are from McCarthy and Whitmore (1985). Chronic values were based on *Pimephales promelas* in early life stage tests and *Daphnia magna* in life-cycle tests. There are no Tier II values for di-n-octyl phthalate because LC50s were not available.

Ethyl benzene. The chronic value for aquatic plants is from EPA (1978). *Selenastrum capricornutum* displayed chlorophyll A inhibition in 96-hour EC50. The chronic value for daphnids was estimated using an EC50 for *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980p) in Equation (4).

Fluoranthene. Although the full data requirements are not met for fluoranthene, the EPA (1993c) has derived an FAV and FCV as a part of the derivation of sediment quality criteria which are presented in Table 1. The fish CV is from an early life-stage test with *Pimephales promelas*, and the daphnid CV is from a life-cycle test with *Daphnia magna* EPA (1993c).

Heptachlor. The acute NAWQC for heptachlor is used. Because the chronic NAWQC is based on the final residue value, an SCV is reported herein. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Macek et al. (1976b). *Pimephales promelas* were used in life-cycle tests to determine the chronic value for fish. The SS test EC20 value was calculated using an acute-EC20 ratio that was derived from a species mean acute value for *Pimephales promelas* (EPA 1980r) because no acute data are available from Macek et al. (1976b). The chronic value for aquatic plants is from EPA (1980r). Growth inhibition was exhibited by *Selenastrum capricornutum* in 96-hour EC50. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia pulex* from EPA (1980r) using Equation (4).

AN-10 • 100-00100 • 1985

Hexane. The chronic value and test EC20 value for fish are estimates based on LC50s for golden orfe from AQUIRE and calculated using Equations (2) and (5).

2-Hexanone. The chronic value and test EC20 value are estimates based on an LC50 for *Pimephales promelas* from Geiger et al. (1986) and calculated using Equations (2) and (5).

1-Methylnaphthalene. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for *Pimephales promelas* from Mattson (1976). The values were calculated with Equations (2) and (5).

4-Methyl-2-pentanone. The chronic value for fish is from Call et al. (1985). *Pimephales promelas* embryos, larva, and juveniles were exposed for 31 to 33 days.

2-Methylphenol. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from Adema (1978) and Canton and Adema (1978). The value was calculated using Equation (4). The chronic and test EC20 values for fish were estimated using an LC50 for rainbow trout from DeGraeve et al. (1980) in Equations (2) and (5).

Methylene chloride. The chronic value for fish is from Dill et al. (1987). *Pimephales promelas* were used in 32-day embryo-larval tests. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from LeBlanc (1980) used in Equation (4). The test EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982). (Refer to the section on benzene concerning data from this source.)

Naphthalene. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from DeGraeve et al. (1982), and the test EC20 value for daphnids is from Geiger and Buikema (1982). *Pimephales promelas* were used in embryo-larval tests to determine chronic toxicity. The chronic value for aquatic plants is from EPA (1980t). The alga, *Chlorella vulgaris*, exhibited inhibited cell numbers in 48-hour EC50. The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia magna* from EPA (1980t) used in Equation (4).

4-Nitrophenol. The chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from Francis et al. (1986). The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for bluegill from Buccafusco et al. (1981) and used with Equations (2) and (5). The EPA (1978) is the source for the chronic value for aquatic plants. *Selenastrum capricornutum* exhibited chlorophyll A reduction in 96-hour EC50.

N-nitrosodiphenylamine. The source for the estimated fish and daphnid chronic values are Buccafusco et al. (1981) and LeBlanc (1980), respectively. Equation (2) was used to calculate the estimated fish (bluegill) value, and Equation (4) was used for the estimated daphnid (*Daphnia magna*) value. The test EC20 value for fish is also an estimate. Buccafusco et al. (1981) provided the LC50 for bluegill used with Equation (5) to estimate the EC20.

PCBs: Total. There are NAWQC for PCBs, but the chronic criterion is based on the final residue value. Since that value is intended to protect the use of aquatic life, an SCV is calculated to protect the aquatic life itself. The fish lowest chronic value and test EC20 are from a full life-cycle test of fathead minnows by DeFoe (1978). The lowest chronic value and test EC20 for daphnids are from a 2-week continuous flow test with *Daphnia magna* (Nebeker and Puglisi 1974). The lowest chronic value for non-daphnid invertebrates is from a 3-week LC50 for *Tanytarsus dissimilis* by Nedeker and Puglisi (1974). The lowest plant value is for reduction in carbon fixation by *Scenedesmus quadricaudata* in a 24-hour test (Laird 1973).

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1221. The chronic and test EC20 fish values are estimates based on data for cutthroat trout by Stalling and Mayer (1972). Equations (2) and (5) were used to determine the EC20 value for fish. The chronic value for aquatic plants is a 48-hour LC50 for *Euglena gracilis* (Ewald et al. 1976).

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1232. The chronic and test EC20 fish values are estimates based on data for cutthroat trout by Stalling and Mayer (1972) and AQUIRE. The geometric mean was derived from these two values and then placed into Equations (2) and (5).

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1242. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from Nebeker et al. (1974). *Pimephales promelas* were used in full life-cycle tests. The chronic values for non-daphnid invertebrates are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus* were exposed to PCBs for 2 months in a continuous-flow system. The chronic value for aquatic plants is a 24-hour test in which *Scenedesmus obtusiusculus* showed growth inhibition (Larsson and Tillberg 1975).

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1248. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from DeFoe et al. (1978), and the chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). The chronic values for fish were full life-cycle tests carried out on *Pimephales promelas*. The chronic value for daphnids was determined through 3-week exposures that created a 16% reproductive impairment in *Daphnia magna*. The chronic value for a non-daphnid invertebrate is from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus* was exposed for 2 months.

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1254. The chronic value for fish is from a brook trout life-cycle test (Mauck et al. 1978), and the test EC20 value is from a fathead minnow life-cycle test (Nebeker et al. 1974). The chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). *Daphnia magna* were exposed for 2 weeks in a continuous-flow environment. The lowest chronic value for nondaphnid invertebrates is from a 3-week LC50 for *Tanytarsis dissimilis* by Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). The lowest plant value is for reduction in carbon fixation by *Scenedesmus quadricaudata* in a 24-hour test (Laird 1973).

PCBs: Aroclor[®] 1260. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from DeFoe et al. (1978). The chronic value is ambiguous because significant effects occurred at the lowest concentration tested in a 30-day fathead minnow larval test at the lowest concentrations tested (1.3 µg/L) but not in a 240-day lifecycle at the highest concentration tested (2.1 µg/L).

1-Pentanol. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for rainbow trout from AQUIRE and calculated using Equations (2) and (5).

Phenanthrene. The chronic and test EC20 values for daphnids are from Geiger and Buikema (1982). The chronic value was determined using *Daphnia pulex* in full life-cycle tests.

Phenol. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are from fathead minnow embryo-larval tests (DeGraeve et al. 1980). The chronic value for daphnids is an estimate based on data for *Daphnia longispina* from EPA (1980v) and calculated using Equation (4). The chronic value for aquatic plants is from Reynolds (1975). *Selenastrum capricornutum* exhibited 60% reduction in cell numbers and 12% growth inhibition.

2-Propanol. The chronic and test EC20 values for fish are estimates based on data for *Pimephales promelas* from AQUIRE and Veith et al. (1983). The geometric mean of these LC50s was used in Equations (2) and (4).

AQUIRE • INFORMATION • 1983

4. APPLICATION OF BENCHMARKS

Use of these aquatic screening benchmarks requires that the assessor choose which benchmarks to employ and which water concentrations to apply them to. The choice of benchmarks depends on the interpretation of the benchmarks, their regulatory standing, and their degree of conservatism.

Each of the alternative benchmarks has a different interpretation. Exceedances of NAWQC create a regulatory imperative for action under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) because they are ARARS. Exceedance of a Tier II value implies a greater than 20% chance that the NAWQC, if their value were known, would be exceeded. Exceedance of a CV indicates that the field concentration is greater than a concentration dividing statistically insignificant from significant effects in a chronic toxicity test. Exceedance of a test EC20 indicates that biologically significant effects levels were exceeded in a chronic toxicity test. Exceedance of the SS test EC20 indicates that a biologically significant effect level may be exceeded in a sensitive species. Exceedance of a population EC20 indicates that a significant reduction in a largemouth bass population could occur. Therefore, exceedance of either the acute or chronic NAWQC indicates a need for action. Exceedance of an SCV implies a low risk. Exceedance of any of the other benchmarks indicates a risk of real effects that should lead to additional data collection and assessment. However, these inferences all depend on comparison of the benchmarks to appropriate water concentrations.

Contaminant screening is not a regulatory process, but managers at some sites prefer to use only values that have regulatory standing. The NAWQC are clearly regulatory values in that they are ARARS and have been adopted by Tennessee and most other states as water quality standards. Lowest chronic values (the last column in Table 1) have been presented by the EPA in place of NAWQC (EPA 1986b), but they are not criteria. They merely indicate that the EPA believes toxic effects may occur at that concentration. The Tier II values (SAV and SCV) are proposed by the EPA as values that could be used for regulatory enforcement in the Great Lakes (EPA 1993a). They are more conceptually consistent with the NAWQC than lowest chronic values and may come to have the same standing as NAWQC, but currently they are only proposed by the EPA.

OSWER's Ecotox Thresholds and Region IV's screening values (or values proposed by other regions) are alternative benchmark sets derived by the EPA. Both are based on NAWQC values; however, Region IV uses values adjusted to 50 ppm hardness which is unrealistically conservative for most sites, while OSWER adjusts to dissolved-phase concentrations which are not available for most screening assessments. In addition, Region IV uses NAWQCs based on fish marketability which is not relevant to protecting aquatic life. Therefore, the standard EPA Office of Water NAWQC values and FCVs in Table 1 will be more useful in most cases. When NAWQCs are not available, the ETs correspond to SCVs, but some of the SCVs have been superseded by values presented in Table 1 of this document. When NAWQCs are not available, the SVs are based on divisions of lowest toxic values by 10 or 100 which is equivalent to derivation of SAVs and SCVs but is not as scientifically defensible. Therefore, for the Oak Ridge Reservation and many other sites, the NAWQCs and Tier II values listed in Table 1 are generally preferable to either the ETs or SVs.

As discussed in the introduction, the chronic benchmarks are to be used as lower screening benchmarks. The acute NAWQC and SAVs are to be used as upper screening benchmarks. However, because of their conservatism, exceedance of the SAV cannot be taken to indicate that severe effects are likely to be occurring. If an SAV is exceeded, the assessor should examine the acute values used to generate the Tier II values (Appendix A) and judge whether in fact severe effects are likely.

All of these benchmarks are based on toxicity tests conducted in the laboratory. Therefore, they should be compared to water concentrations that are as equivalent as possible to concentrations in test water which is nearly all dissolved. The EPA Office of Water has decided that for metals the appropriate comparison is to concentrations in 0.1 to 0.45 μm filtered ambient water (HECD 1992, Prothro 1993). Acid soluble or even total recoverable concentrations, rather than dissolved concentrations, are often reported because they are required for human health risk assessments. In addition, Region IV and most other EPA regional offices require use of acid soluble concentrations in ecological risk assessments for the sake of conservatism. However, acid soluble concentrations of metals typically include 30 to 95% particle bound material (HECD 1992). Therefore, acid soluble concentrations should be used for aquatic ecological risk assessments to satisfy the regional regulators, but dissolved concentrations should also be used if possible for a realistic screening of the chemicals and to make realistic estimates of risk.

The NAWQC for hardness dependent metals are based on a hardness of 100 mg/L, which is appropriately conservative for ambient waters on the Oak Ridge Reservation. If these benchmarks are applied to a site with hard or soft water, the NAWQC for those metals should be recalculated as recommended by the EPA.

5. REFERENCES

- Adams, W. J. and B. B. Heidolph. 1985. Short-cut chronic toxicity estimates using *Daphnia magna*. pp. 87-102. in R. D. Cardwell, R. Purdy, and R. C. Bahner (eds.), *Aquatic Toxicity and Hazard Assessment*, Seventh Symposium. ASTM, Philadelphia, PA.
- Adams, W. J., G. R. Biddinger, K. A. Robillard. 1995. A summary of the acute toxicity of 14 phthalate esters to representative aquatic organisms. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 14:1569-1574.
- Adelman, I. R., L. L. Smith, and G. D. Siesennop. 1976. Chronic toxicity of Guthion to the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas* Rafinesque). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 15:726-733.
- Adema, D. M. M. 1978. *Daphnia magna* as a test animal in acute and chronic toxicity tests. *Hydrobiologia*, 59:125-134.
- Adema, D. M. M. and I. G. J. Vink. 1981. A comparative study of the toxicity of 1,1,2-trichloroethane, dieldrin, pentachlorophenol and 3,4 dichloroaniline for marine and fresh water organisms. *Chemosphere*. 10:533-554.
- Adema, D. M. M., J. H. Canton, W. Slooff, and A. O. Hanstveit. 1981. *Research for a useful combination of test methods to determine the aquatic toxicity of environmentally dangerous chemicals*. Rep. No. CL81/100, Natl. Inst. Public Health Environ. Hyg., the Netherlands.
- Ahmad, N. et al. 1984. *Aquatic toxicity tests to characterize the hazard of volatile organic chemicals in water: A toxicity data summary—Parts I and II*. EPA-600/3-84-009. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Alexander, H. C., W. M. McCarthy, and E. A. Bartlett. 1978. Toxicity of perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and methylene chloride to fathead minnows. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 20:344-352.

- Allison, D. T. and R. O. Hermanutz. 1977. *Toxicity of diazinon to brook trout and fathead minnows*. EPA-600/3-77-060, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Amelung, M. 1981. Auswirkungen gelöster Eisenverbindungen auf die Ei- und Larvalentwicklung von *Salmo gairdneri* (Richardson). *Arch. Fisch Wiss.* 32:77-87.
- Anderson, D. R. and E. B. Lusty. 1980. *Acute toxicity and bioaccumulation of chloroform to four species of freshwater fish*. PNL-3046, NUREG/CR-0893, Report No. CR-0893, U.S. Nuclear Reg. Comm., Washington, D. C.
- Arthur, J. W. and E. N. Leonard. 1970. Effects of copper on *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*, *Physa integra*, and *Campeloma decisum* in soft water. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada*. 27:1277-1283.
- Arthur, J. W. and J. G. Eaton. 1970. Effects of copper on *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus* and the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Canada*. 28:1841-1845.
- Bailey, H. C., D. H. W. Liu, and H. A. Javitz. 1985. Time/toxicity relationships in short-term static, dynamic, and plug-flow bioassays. in R. C. Bahner and D. J. Hansen (eds.), *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment*, Eighth Symposium, ASTM STP 891, Philadelphia, PA.
- Barnhouse, L. W., G. W. Suter II, and A. E. Rosen. 1990. Risks of toxic contaminants to exploited fish populations: influence of life history, data uncertainty and exploitation intensity. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 9:297-311.
- Bartell, S. M. 1990. Ecosystem context for estimating stress-induced reductions in fish populations, pp. 167-182, in *American Fisheries Society Special Symposium 8*. Bethesda, MD.
- Bartlett, L. and F. W. Rabe. 1974. Effects of copper, zinc, and cadmium on *Selenastrum capricornutum*. *Water Res.* 8:179-185.
- Benoit, D. A. 1975. Chronic effects of copper on survival, growth, and reproduction of the bluegill l (*Lepomis macrochirus*). *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 104:353-358.
- Benoit, D. A., and G. W. Holcombe. 1978. Toxic effects of zinc on fathead minnows *Pimephales promelas* in soft water. *J. Fish Biol.* 13:701-708.
- Benoit, D. A., F. A. Puglisi, and D. L. Olson. 1982. A fathead minnow *Pimephales promelas* early life stage toxicity test method evaluation and exposure to four organic chemicals. *Environ. Pollut. Ser. A Ecol. Biol.* 28:189-197.
- Biesinger, K. E., L. E. Anderson, and J. G. Eaton. 1982. Chronic effects of inorganic and organic mercury on *Daphnia magna*: toxicity, accumulation, and loss. *Arch. Environm. Contam. Toxicol.* 11:769-774.
- Biesinger, K. E. and G. M. Christensen. 1972. Effects of various metals on survival, growth, reproduction, and metabolism of *Daphnia magna*. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada*. 29:1691-1700.
- Birge, W. J., J. A. Black, and A. G. Westerman. 1978. *Effects of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds and proposed PCB-replacement products on embryo-larval stages of fish and amphibians*. Res. Rep. No. 118, University of Kentucky, Water Resour. Res. Inst., Lexington, KY.

1990-10-03 09:00 AM

- Cardwell, R. D., D. G. Foreman, T. R. Payne, and D. J. Wilbur. 1976. *Acute toxicity of selected toxicants to six species of fish*. EPA-600/3-76-008, U.S. EPA, Duluth, MN.
- Cardwell, R. D., D. G. Foreman, T. R. Payne, and D. J. Wilbur. 1977. *Acute and chronic toxicity of chlordane to fish and invertebrates*, EPA-600/3-77-019. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Carlson, A. R. and P. A. Kosian. 1987. Toxicity of chlorinated benzenes to fathead minnow s (*Pimephales promelas*). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 16:129-135.
- Carlson, A. R. et al. 1982. *Cadmium and endrin toxicity to fish in waters containing mineral fibers*, EPA-600/3-82-053, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Chandler, J. H. Jr., and L. L. Marking. 1979. Toxicity of fishery chemicals to the Asiatic clam, *Corbicula manilensis*. *Prog. Fish-Cult.* 41:148-151.
- Chapman, G. A., S. Ota, and F. Recht. n.d. *Effects of water hardness on the toxicity of metals to Daphnia magna*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Cleveland, L., E. E. Little, S. J. Hamilton, D. R. Buckler, and J. B. Hunn. 1986. Interactive toxicity of aluminum and acidity to early life stages of brook trout. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 115:610-620.
- Conway, H. L. 1977. Sorption of arsenic and cadmium and their effects on growth, micronutrient utilization, and photosynthetic pigment composition of *Asterionella formosa*. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 35:26-294.
- Couture, P., C. Blaise, D. Cluis, and C. Bastien. 1989. Zirconium toxicity assessment using bacteria, algae and fish assays. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 47:87-100.
- Cowell, B. C. 1965. The effects of sodium arsenite and silicic acid on the plankton populations in farm ponds. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 94:371-377.
- Cowgill, U. M., I. T. Takahashi, and S. L. Applegath. 1985. A comparison of the effect of four benchmark chemicals on *Daphnia magna* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia affinis* tested at two different temperatures. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 4:415-422.
- Cowgill, U. M. and D. P. Milazzo. 1991. The sensitivity of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Daphnia magna* to seven chemicals utilizing the three-brood test. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 20:211-217.
- Cushman, R. M., S. G. Hildebrand, R. H. Strand, and R. M. Anderson. 1977. *The toxicity of 35 trace elements in coal to freshwater biota: a data base with automated retrieval capabilities*, ORNL/TM-5793. Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- Dave, G. 1984a. Effects of copper on growth, reproduction, survival and haemoglobin in *Daphnia magna*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 78C:439-443.
- Dave, G. 1984b. Effects of fluoride on growth, reproduction, and survival in *Daphnia magna*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 78C:425-431.

- Dave, G. 1984c. Effects of waterborne iron on growth, reproduction, survival and haemoglobin in *Daphnia magna*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 78C:433-438.
- Davies, P. H., J. P. Goettl, Jr., and J. R. Sinley. 1978. Toxicity of silver to rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Water Res.* 12:113-117.
- Davies, P. H., J. P. Goettl, Jr., J. R. Sinley, and Smith. 1976. Acute and chronic toxicity of lead to rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*, in hard and soft water. *Water Res.* 10:199-206.
- Dawson, G. W., A. L. Jennings, D. Drozdowski, and E. Rider. 1977. The Acute toxicity of 47 Industrial chemicals to fresh and saltwater fishes. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 1:303-318.
- DeFoe, D. L., G. D. Veith, and R. L. Carlson. 1978. Effects of Aroclor 1248 and 1260 on the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 7:997-1002.
- DeFoe, D. L. 1982. *Arsenic (V) Test Results*. U.S. EPA, Duluth, MN (Memo to R. L. Spehar, U.S. EPA, Duluth, MN).
- DeGraeve, G. M., D. L. Geiger, J. S. Meyer, and H. L. Bergman. 1980. Acute and embryo-larval toxicity of phenolic compounds to aquatic biota. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 9:557-568.
- DeGraeve, G. M., R. G. Elder, D. C. Woods, and H. L. Bergman. 1982. Effects of naphthalene and benzene on fathead minnows and rainbow trout. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 11:487-490.
- Devlin, E. W., J. D. Brammer, and R. L. Puyear. 1982. Acute toxicity of toluene to three age groups of fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 29:12-17.
- Dill, D. C., W. M. McCarthy, H. C. Alexander, and E. A. Bartlett. 1980. *Toxicity of 1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene chloride) to aquatic organisms*. Ecol. Res. Ser., EPA-600/3-80-057, Environ. Res. Lab., U.S. EPA, Duluth, MN.
- Dill, D. C., P. G. Murphy, and M. A. Mayes. 1987. Toxicity of methylene chloride to life stages of the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* Rafinesque. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 39:869-876.
- Ding, S. R. 1980. Acute Toxicities of vanadium, nickel, and cobalt to several species of aquatic organisms. *Environ. Qual.* 1:17-21.
- Dominguez, S. E. and G. A. Chapman. 1984. Effect of pentachlorophenol on the growth and mortality of embryonic and juvenile steelhead trout. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 13:739-743.
- Dwyer, W. P., F. L. Mayer, J. L. Allen, and D. R. Buckler. 1978. Chronic and simulated use-pattern exposures of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) to 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol (TFM). *Investigations in Fish Control*, 84:1-6.
- Eaton, J. G. 1974. Chronic cadmium toxicity to the bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus* Rafinesque). *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 103:729-735.
- Elnabarawy, M. T., A. N. Welter, and R. R. Robideau. 1986. Relative sensitivity of three daphniid species to selected organic and inorganic chemicals. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 5:393-398.

1984 • 1985 • 1986 • 1987 • 1988 • 1989 • 1990 • 1991 • 1992 • 1993 • 1994 • 1995 • 1996 • 1997 • 1998 • 1999 • 2000 • 2001 • 2002 • 2003 • 2004 • 2005 • 2006 • 2007 • 2008 • 2009 • 2010 • 2011 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014 • 2015 • 2016 • 2017 • 2018 • 2019 • 2020 • 2021 • 2022 • 2023 • 2024 • 2025

- EPA. (n.d.). AQUIRE: Aquatic Information Retrieval Toxicity Data Base. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.
- EPA. 1978. *In-depth studies on health and environmental impacts of selected water pollutants*. Contract No. 68-01-4646, U. S. EPA, Duluth, MN (also known as C. E. Stephan's Table of Data).
- EPA. 1980a. *Ambient water quality criteria for acenaphthene*. EPA 440/5-80-015. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980b. *Ambient water quality criteria for antimony*. EPA 440/5-80-020. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980c. *Ambient water quality criteria for benzidene*. EPA 440/5-80-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980d. *Ambient water quality criteria for benzene*. EPA 440/5-80-018. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980e. *Ambient water quality criteria for benzidene*. EPA 440/5-80-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980f. *Ambient water quality criteria for beryllium*. EPA 440/5-80-024. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980g. *Ambient water quality criteria for cadmium*. EPA 440/5-80-025. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980h. *Ambient water quality criteria for carbon tetrachloride*. EPA 440/5-80-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980i. *Ambient water quality criteria for chlordane*. EPA 440/5-80-027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980j. *Ambient water quality criteria for chlorinated benzenes*. EPA 440/5-80-028. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980k. *Ambient water quality criteria for chlorinated ethanes*. EPA 440/5-80-029. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980l. *Ambient water quality criteria for chloroform*. EPA 440/5-80-033. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980m. *Ambient water quality criteria for DDT*. EPA 440/5-80-038. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980n. *Ambient water quality criteria for dichloroethylenes*. EPA 440/5-80-041. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980o. *Ambient water quality criteria for dichloropropane and dichloropropene*. EPA 440/5-80-043. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- EPA. 1980p. *Ambient water quality criteria for ethylbenzene*. EPA 440/5-80-048. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980q. *Ambient water quality criteria for fluoranthene*. EPA 440/5-80-049. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980r. *Ambient water quality criteria for heptachlor*. EPA 440/5-80-052. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980s. *Ambient water quality criteria for hexachlorocyclohexane*. EPA 440/5-80-054. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980t. *Ambient water quality criteria for naphthalene*. EPA 440/5-80-059. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980u. *Ambient water quality criteria for nitrophenols*. EPA 440/5-80-063. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980v. *Ambient water quality criteria for phenol*. EPA 440/5-80-066. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980w. *Ambient water quality criteria for phthalate esters*. EPA 440/5-80-067. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980x. *Ambient water quality criteria for polychlorinated biphenyls*. EPA 440/5-80-068. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980y. *Ambient water quality criteria for silver*. EPA 440/5-80-071. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980z. *Ambient water quality criteria for beryllium*. NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.
- EPA. 1980aa. *Ambient water quality criteria for tetrachloroethylene*. EPA 440/5-80-073. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980bb. *Ambient water quality criteria for thallium*. EPA 440/5-80-074. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980cc. *Ambient water quality criteria for toluene*. EPA 440/5-80-075. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980dd. *Ambient water quality criteria for trichloroethylene*. EPA 440/5-80-077. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1980ee. *Ambient water quality criteria for nitrosamines*. EPA 440/5-80-064. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1984a. *Ambient water quality criteria for cadmium - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-032. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- EPA. 1985a. *Ambient water quality criteria for ammonia - 1984*. EPA 440/5-85-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985b. *Ambient water quality criteria for arsenic - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-033. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985c. *Ambient water quality criteria for chromium - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-029. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985d. *Ambient water quality criteria for copper - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-031. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985e. *Ambient water quality criteria for cyanide - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-030. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985f. *Ambient water quality criteria for lead - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1985g. *Ambient water quality criteria for mercury - 1984*. EPA 440/5-84-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1986a. *Ambient water quality criteria for nickel - 1986*. EPA 440/5-86-004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1986b. *Quality criteria for water*. EPA 440/5-86-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1987a. *Ambient water quality criteria for selenium, 1987*. EPA 440/5-87-006. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1987b. *Ambient water quality criteria for zinc, 1987*. EPA 440/5-87-003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1988a. *Ambient water quality criteria for aluminum - 1988*. EPA 440/5-86-008. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1988b. *Ambient water quality criteria for antimony(III)*. Draft. August 30th, 1988. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1992. *Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative Tier II water quality values for protection of aquatic life in ambient water. Support documents*. November 23, 1992.
- EPA. 1993a. *Water quality guidance for the Great Lakes System and correction; Proposed rules*. *Federal Register*, 58(72):20802-21047.
- EPA. 1993b. *Sediment quality criteria for the protection of benthic organisms - acenaphthene*. EPA-822-R-93-013. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA. 1993c. *Sediment quality criteria for the protection of benthic organisms - fluoranthene*. EPA-822-R-93-012. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- EPA. 1993d. *Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative Criteria documents for the protection of aquatic life in ambient water (February 1993 Draft)*. PB93-154656. National Technical Information Service. Springfield, VA.
- Erben, R. and Z. Pisl. 1993. Acute toxicity for some evaporating aromatic hydrocarbons for freshwater snails and crustaceans. *Int. Rev. Gesamten Hydrobiol.* 78:161-167.
- Ernst, W. R. and E. T. Garside. 1987. Lethal effects of vanadium to two life stages of brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*. *Can. J. Zool.* 65:628-634.
- Ewald, W. G., J. E. French, and M. A. Champ. 1976. Toxicity of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) to *Euglena gracilis*: Cell Population Growth, Carbon Fixation, Chlorophyll Level, Oxygen Consumption, and Protein and Nucleic Acid Synthesis. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 16:71-80.
- Ewell, W. S., J. W. Gorsuch, R. O. Kringle, K. A. Robillard, and R. C. Spiegel. 1986. Simultaneous evaluation of the acute effects of chemicals on seven aquatic species. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 5:831-840.
- Fargasova, A. 1994. Toxicity of metals on *Daphnia magna* and *Tubifex tubifex*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 27:210-213.
- Finlayson, B. J., and K. M. Verruc. 1985. Toxicities of butoxyethanol ester and propylene glycol butyl ether ester formulations of 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) to juvenile salmonids. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 14:153-160.
- Francis, P. C., D. W. Grothe, and J. C. Scheuring. 1986. Chronic toxicity of 4-nitrophenol to *Daphnia magna* Straus under static-renewal and flow-through conditions. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 36:730-737.
- Geiger, J. G. and A. I. Buikema, Jr. 1982. Hydrocarbons depress growth and reproduction of *Daphnia pulex* (Cladocera). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 39:830-836.
- Geiger, D. L., C. E. Northcott, D. J. Call, and L. T. Brooke. 1985. *Acute toxicities of organic chemicals to fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas)*, Vol. 2. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Superior, WI.
- Geiger, D. L., D. J. Call, and L. T. Brooke. 1988. *Acute toxicities of organic chemicals to fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas)*, Vol. 4. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Superior, WI.
- Geiger, D. L., L. T. Brooke, and D. J. Call. 1990. *Acute toxicities of organic chemicals to fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas)*, Vol. 5. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Superior, WI.
- Geiger, D. L., S. H. Poirier, L. T. Brooke, and D. J. Call. 1986. *Acute toxicities of organic chemicals to fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas)*, Vol. 3. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Superior, WI.
- Gersich, F. M. 1984. Evaluation of a static renewal chronic toxicity test method for *Daphnia magna* Straus using boric acid. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:89-94.

- Glassi, S., M. Mingazzini, L. Vigano, D. Cesaro, and M. L. Tosato. 1988. Approaches to modeling toxic responses of aquatic organisms to aromatic hydrocarbons. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 16:158-169.
- Goettl, J. P., Jr. and P. H. Davies. 1976. Water pollution studies. Federal Aid Project F-33-R-11. Department of Natural Resources, Colorado Division of Wildlife.
- Hamelink, J. L., D. R. Buckler, J. L. Mayer, D. U. Palawski, and H. O. Sanders. 1986. Toxicity of fluoridone to aquatic invertebrates and fish. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 5:97-94.
- Hamilton, S. J. 1995. Hazard assessment of inorganics to three endangered fish in the Green River, Utah. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 30:134-142.
- Hamilton, S. J. and K. J. Buhl. 1990. Safety assessment of selected inorganic elements to fry of chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 20:307-324.
- Hannah, J. B., J. E. Hose, M. L. Landolt, B. S. Miller, S. P. Felton, and W. T. Iwaoko. 1982. Benzo(a)pyrene-induced morphologic and developmental abnormalities in rainbow trout. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 11:727-734.
- Hazel, C. R. and S. J. Meith. 1970. Bioassay of king salmon eggs and sac fry in copper solutions. *Calif. Fish Game.* 2:121-124.
- HECD (Health and Criteria Division). 1992. *Interim guidance on interpretation and implementation of aquatic life criteria for metals*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Hermanutz, R. O., R. H. Mueller, and K. D. Kempfer. 1973. Captan toxicity to fathead minnow s (*Pimephales promelas*), bluegills (*Lepomis macrochirus*), and brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 30:1811-1817.
- Hodson, P. V., R. Parisella, B. Blunt, B. Gray, K. L. E. Kaiser. 1991. *Quantitative structure-activity relationships for chronic toxicity of phenol, p-chlorophenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, pentachlorophenol, p-nitrophenol, and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene to early life stages of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)*. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1784.
- Hodson, P. V., D. G. Dixon, and K. L. E. Kaiser. 1984. Measurement of median lethal dose as a rapid indication of contaminant toxicity to fish. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:243-254.
- Holcombe, G. W., D. A. Benoit, E. N. Leonard, and J. M. McKim. 1976. Long term effects of lead exposure on three generations of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33:1731-1741.
- Holcombe, G. W., G. L. Phipps, and J. T. Fiandt. 1982. Effects of phenol, 2,4-dimethylphenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol, and pentachlorophenol on embryo, larval, and early-juvenile fathead minnow s (*Pimephales promelas*). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 11(1):73-78.
- Holcombe, G. W., G. L. Phipps, and J. T. Fiandt. 1983. Toxicity of selected priority pollutants to various aquatic organisms. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 7:400-409.

1993 • WILSON • 0101

- Khargarol, B. S., A. Sehgal, and M. K. Bhasin. 1985. Man and biosphere--Studies on the Sikki m Himalayas. Part 5: Acute toxicity of selected heavy metals on the tadpoles of *Rana hexadactyla*. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* 13:259-263.
- Kimball, G. n.d. The effects of lesser known metals and one organic to fathead minnows [*Pimephales promelas*] and *Daphnia magna*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, MN.
- Kleiner, C. F., R. L. Anderson, and D. K. Tanner. 1984. Toxicity of fenitrothion to fathead minnow s (*Pimephales promelas*) and alternate exposure duration studies with fenitrothion and endosulfan. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 13:573-578.
- Koenst, W. M., L. L. Smith, Jr., and S. J. Broderius. 1977. Effect of chronic exposure of brook trout to sublethal concentrations of hydrogen cyanide. *Environmental Science and Technology* 11:883-886.
- Könemann, H. 1981. Quantitative structure-activity relationships in fish toxicity studies. Part 1 : Relationship for 50 industrial pollutants. *Toxicology.* 19:209-221.
- Kouyoumjian, H. H. and R. F. Uglow. 1974. Some aspects of the toxicity of p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE and p,p'-DDD to the freshwater planarian *Polycelis felina* (Tricladida). *Environ. Pollut.* 7:103-109.
- Krishnakumari, M. K. 1977. Sensitivity of the alga *Scenedesmus acutus* to some pesticides. *Life Sciences.* 20:1525-1532.
- Kszos, L. A., A. J. Stewart, and P. A. Taylor. 1992. An evaluation of nickel toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Daphnia magna* in a contaminated stream and in laboratory tests. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 11:1001-1012.
- Kühn, R., M. Pattard, K. Pernak, and A. Winter. 1989. Results of the harmful effects of selected water pollutants (anilines, phenol, aliphatic compounds) to *Daphnia magna*. *Water Res.* 23:495-499.
- Kühn, R., M. Pattard, K. Pernak, and A. Winter. 1989. Results of the harmful effects of water pollutants to *Daphnia magna* in the 21 day reproduction test. *Water Res.* 23:501-510.
- Larsson, L. and J. Tillberg. 1975. Effects of the Commercial Polychlorinated Biphenyl Mixture Aroclor 1242 on Growth, Viability, Phosphate Uptake, Respiration and Oxygen Evolution in *Scenedesmus*. *Physiol. Plant* 33:256-260.
- Lazareva, L. P. 1985. Changes in biological characteristics of *Daphnia magna* from chronic action of copper and nickel at low concentrations. *Hydrobiol. J.* 21(5):59-62.
- LeBlanc, G. A. 1980. Acute toxicity of priority pollutants to water flea (*Daphnia magna*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 24:684-691.
- LeBlanc, G. A. and D. C. Surprenant. 1983. The acute and chronic toxicity of acetone, dimethyl formamide, and triethylene glycol to *Daphnia magna* (Straus). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 12:305-310.

- LeBlanc, G. A., J. D. Mastone, A. P. Paradise, B. F. Wilson, H. B. Lockhart, Jr., and K. A. Robillard. 1984. The influence of speciation on the toxicity of silver to fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:37-46.
- Leduc, G. 1978. Deleterious effects of cyanide on early life stages of atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 35:166-174.
- Lewis, M. A. and L. C. Valentine. 1981. Acute and chronic toxicities of boric acid to *Daphnia magna* Straus. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 27:309-315.
- Lima, A. R. et al. 1984. Acute and chronic toxicities of arsenic (III) to fathead minnows, flagfish, daphnids, and an amphipod. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 13:595-601.
- Lind, D., K. Alto, and S. Chatterton. 1978. *Regional copper-nickel study; aquatic toxicology study*. Minnesota Environmental Quality Board.
- Lock, R. A. C. and A. P. van Overbeke. 1981. Effects of mercuric chloride and methylmercuric chloride on mucus secretion in rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 69C:67-74.
- Luard, E. J. 1973. Sensitivity of *Dunaliella* and *Scenedesmus* (*Chlorophyceae*) to Chlorinated hydrocarbons. *Phycologia* 12:29-33.
- Macek, K. J., K. S. Buxton, S. K. Derr, J. W. Dean, and S. Sauter. 1976a. *Chronic toxicity of lindane to selected aquatic invertebrates and fish*. EPA-600/3-76-046. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Macek, K. J., M. A. Lindberg, S. Sauter, K. Buxton, and P. A. Costa. 1976b. *Toxicity of four pesticides to water fleas and fathead minnows*. EPA-600/3-76-099. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- McCarthy, J. F. and D. K. Whitmore. 1985. Chronic toxicity of di-n-butyl and di-n-octyl phthalate to *Daphnia magna* and the fathead minnow. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 4:167-179.
- McCauley, D. J., L. T. Brooke, D. J. Call, and C. A. Lindberg. 1986. *Acute and chronic toxicity of aluminum to Ceriodaphnia dubia at various pH's*. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, Wis.
- McKim, J. M., and D. A. Benoit. 1971. Effects of long-term exposures to copper on survival, growth, and reproduction of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 28:655-662.
- McKim, J. M., G. F. Olson, G. W. Holcombe, and E. P. Hunt. 1976. Long-term effects of methylmercuric chloride on three generations of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*): toxicity, accumulation, distribution, and elimination. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33:2726-2739.
- Martin, T. R. and D. M. Holdich. 1986. The Acute lethal toxicity of heavy metals to peracari d crustaceans (with particular reference to fresh-water Asellids and Gammarids). *Water Res.* 20:1137-1147.

0-11 • 005-00-011 • 0119

- Mattson, V. R., J. W. Arthur, and C. T. Walbridge. 1976. *Acute toxicity of selected organic compounds to fathead minnows*. Ecol. Res. Ser. EPA-600/3-76-097, Environ. Res. Lab., U.S. EPA, Duluth, MN.
- Mauck, W. L., P. M. Mehrle, and F. L. Mayer. 1978. Effects of the polychlorinated biphenyl Aroclor® 1254 on growth, survival, and bone development in brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 35:1084-1088.
- Mayer, F. L., Jr., P. M. Mehrle, Jr., and W. P. Dwyer. 1975. *Toxaphene effects on reproduction, growth and mortality of brook trout*. EPA-600/3-75-013. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Mayer, F. L., Jr., P. M. Mehrle, H. O. Sanders. 1977. Residue dynamics and biological effects of polychlorinated biphenyls in aquatic organisms. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 5:501-511.
- Mayer, F. L., Jr., and H. O. Sanders. 1973. Toxicology of phthalic acid esters in aquatic organisms. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 5:153-157.
- Mayer, F. L., Jr. and M. R. Ellersieck. 1986. *Manual of acute toxicity: interpretation and data base for 410 chemicals and 66 species of freshwater organisms*. Resource Publication 160. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Mayes, M. A., H. C. Alexander, D. L. Hopkins, P. B. Latvaitis. 1986. Acute and chronic toxicity of ammonia to freshwater fish: a site-specific study. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 5:437-442.
- Mehrle, P. M., and F. L. Mayer. 1976. Di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate: residue dynamics and biological effects in rainbow trout and fathead minnows. *Trace Subst. Env. Health* 10:519-524.
- Millemann, R. E., W. J. Birge, J. A. Black, R. M. Cushman, K. L. Daniels, P. J. Franco, J. M. Giddings, J. F. McCarthy, and A. J. Stewart. 1984. Comparative acute toxicity to aquatic organisms of components of coal-derived synthetic fuels. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 113:74-85.
- Moles, A., S. D. Rice, and S. Kom. 1979. Sensitivity of Alaskan freshwater and anadromous fishes to Prudhoe Bay crude oil and benzene. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 108:408-414.
- Mount, D. I. 1968. Chronic toxicity of copper to fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas* Rafinesque). *Water Res.* 2:215-223.
- Mount, D. I. 1982. Memorandum to Charles E. Spehar. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn. June 7.
- Mount, D. I., and C. E. Stephan. 1969. Chronic toxicity of copper to the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) in soft water. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 26:2449-2457.
- Munoz, M. J. and J. V. Tarazona. 1993. Synergistic effect of two- and four-component combinations of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Phenanthrene, anthracene, naphthalene and acenaphthene on *Daphnia magna*. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 50:363-368.
- Münzinger, A. 1990. Effects of nickel on *Daphnia magna* during chronic exposure and alterations in the toxicity to generations pre-exposed to nickel. *Wat. Res.* 24:845-852.

JUN 10 1990 10:00 AM

- Nebeker, A. V., C. K. McAuliffe, R. Mshar, and D. G. Stevens. 1983. Toxicity of silver to steelhead and rainbow trout, fathead minnows, and *Daphnia magna*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2:95-104.
- Nebeker, A. V., C. Savonen, R. J. Baker, J. K. McCrady. 1984. Effects of copper, nickel, and zinc on the life cycle of the caddisfly *Clistoronia magnifica* (Limnephilidae). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:645-649.
- Nebeker, A. V., C. Savonen, and D. G. Stevens. 1985. Sensitivity of rainbow trout early life stages to nickel chloride. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 4:233-239.
- Nebeker, A. V., and F. A. Puglisi. 1974. Effect of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) on survival and reproduction of *Daphnia*, *Gammarus*, and *Tanytarsus*. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 103:722-728.
- Nebeker, A. V., F. A. Puglisi, and D. L. DeFoe. 1974. Effect of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds on survival and reproduction of the fathead minnow and flagfish. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 103:562-568.
- Oris, J. T., J. P. Giesy Jr. 1985. The photoenhanced toxicity of anthracene to juvenile sunfish (*Lepomis* sp.). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 6:133-146.
- Oseid, D. M., L. L. Smith Jr. 1979. The effects of hydrogen cyanide on *Asellus communis* and *Gammarus pseudolimnacus* and changes in their competitive response when exposed simultaneously. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 21:439-447.
- OSWER (Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response). 1996. Ecotox thresholds. *ECO Update* 3 (2):1-12.
- Palawski, D., J. B. Hunn, and F. J. Dwyer. 1985. Sensitivity of young striped bass to organic and inorganic contaminants in fresh and saline waters. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 114:748-753.
- Parkhurst, B. R., R. G. Elder, J. S. Meyer, D. A. Sanchez, R. W. Pennak, and W. T. Waller. 1984. An environmental hazard evaluation of uranium in a Rocky Mountain stream. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:113-124.
- Passino, D. R. M. and A. J. Novak. 1984. Toxicity of Arsenate and DDT to the Cladoceran *Bosmina longirostris*. *Bull. Environ. contam. Toxicol.* 33:325-329.
- Passino, D. R. M. and J. M. Kramer. 1980. Toxicity of arsenic and PCBs to fry of deepwater ciscoes (*Coregonus*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 24:527-534.
- Passino, D. R. M. and S. B. Smith. 1987. Acute bioassays and hazard evaluation of representative contaminants detected in Great Lakes fish. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 6:901-907.
- Phipps, G. L., G. W. Holcombe, and J. T. Fiandt. 1981. Acute toxicity of phenol and substituted phenols to the fathead minnow. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 26:585-593.
- Pickering, Q. H. 1974. Chronic toxicity of nickel to the fathead minnow and flagfish. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 103:562-568.

- Sanders, H. O., F. L. Mayer, Jr., and D. F. Walsh. 1973. Toxicity, residue dynamics, and reproductive effects of phthalate esters in aquatic invertebrates. *Environ. Res.* 6:84-90.
- Sanders, H. O. and O. B. Cope. 1968. The relative toxicities of several pesticides to naiads of three species of stoneflies. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 13:112-117.
- Sadler, K. and S. Lynam. 1988. The influence of calcium on aluminum-induced changes in the growth rate and mortality of brown trout, *Salmo trutta L.* *J. Fish Biol.* 33:171-179.
- Sauter, S., K. S. Buxton, K. J. Macek, and S. R. Petrocelli. 1976. *Effects exposure to heavy metals on selected freshwater fish.* EPA-600/3-76-105. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Scim, W. K., L. R. Curtis, S. W. Blenn, and G. A. Chapman. 1984. Growth and survival of developing steelhead trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) continuously or intermittently exposed to copper. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 41:433-438.
- Shubat, P. J., S. H. Poirier, M. L. Knuth, and L. T. Brooke. 1982. Acute toxicity of tetrachloroethylene and tetrachloroethylene with dimethylformamide to rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 28:7-10.
- Sinley, J. R., J. P. Goettl, Jr., and P. H. Davies. 1974. The effects of zinc on rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) in hard and soft water. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 12:193-201.
- Stoff, W. 1983. Benthic macroinvertebrates and water quality assessment: Some toxicological considerations. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 4:73-82.
- Slonim, A. R. and E. E. Ray. 1975. Acute toxicity of beryllium sulfate to salamander larvae (*Ambystoma* sp.). *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 13:307-312.
- Slonim, C. B. and A. R. Slonim. 1973. Effect of water hardness on the tolerance of the guppy to beryllium sulfate. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 10:295-301.
- Smith, A. D., A. Bharath, C. Mallard, D. Orr, K. Smith, J. A. Sutton, J. Vukmanich, L. S. McCarthy, and G. W. Ozburn. 1991. The acute and chronic toxicity of ten chlorinated organic compounds to the American flagfish (*Jordanella floridae*). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 20:94-102.
- Smith, L. L., S. J. Broderius, D. M. Oscid, G. L. Kimball, W. M. Koest, and D. T. Lind. 1979. *Acute and chronic toxicity of HCN to fish and invertebrates.* EPA-600/3-79-009. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Smith, L. R., T. M. Holsen, N. C. Ibay, R. M. Block, and A. B. de Leon. 1985. Studies on the acute toxicity of fluoride ion to stickleback, fathead minnow, and rainbow trout. *Chemosphere* 14(9):1383-1389.
- Smith, S. B., J. F. Savino, and M. A. Blouin. 1988. Acute toxicity to *Daphnia pulex* of six classes of chemical compounds potentially hazardous to Great Lakes aquatic biota. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 14:394-404.

- Snarski, V. M., and G. F. Olson, 1982. Chronic toxicity and bioaccumulation of mercuric chloride in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Aquat. Toxicol.* 2:143-156.
- Spehar, R. L. 1976. Cadmium and zinc toxicity to flagfish, *Jordanella floridae*. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33:1939-1945.
- Spehar, R. L., J. T. Fiandt, R. L. Anderson, and D. L. DeFoe, 1980. Comparative toxicity of arsenic compounds and their accumulation in invertebrates and fish. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 9:53-63.
- Spehar, R. L., D. K. Tanner, and B. R. Nordling, 1983. Toxicity of the synthetic pyrethroids, permethrin, and AC 222,705 and their accumulation in early life stages of fathead minnows and snails. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 3:171-182.
- Stalling, D. L. and F. L. Mayer, Jr. 1972. Toxicities of PCBs to fish and environmental residues. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1:159-164.
- Steeman-Nielsen, E. and S. Wium-Andersen. 1970. Copper ions as poison in the sea and freshwater. *Marine Biology.* 6:93-97.
- Stephan, C. E. 1991. Letter to Mr. Jim Grant, Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- Stephan, C. E., D. I. Mount, D. J. Hansen, J. H. Gentile, G. A. Chapman, and W. A. Brungs. 1985. *Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses.* PB85-227049. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.
- Stephan, C. E., and J. R. Rogers. 1985. Advantages of using regression analysis to calculate results of chronic toxicity tests, pp. 328-339 in *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment: Eighth Symposium*, R. C. Bahner and D. J. Hansen (eds.), American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia.
- Stephan, E. E. and R. J. Erickson. n.d. *Guidelines for deriving an aquatic life pesticide concentration.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth, MN, unpublished document.
- Stevens, D. G. and G. A. Chapman. 1984. Toxicity of trivalent chromium to early life stages of steelhead trout. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:125-133.
- Streufert, J. M., J. R. Jones, and H. O. Sanders. 1980. Toxicity and biological effects of phthalate esters on midges (*Chironomus plumosus*). *Trans. Mo. Acad. Sci.* 14:33-40.
- Suter, G. W. II. 1989. Ecological endpoints, pp. 2-1-2-28, in *Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites: A Field and Laboratory Reference Document.* EPA 600/3-89/013, W. Warren-Hicks, B. R. Parkhurst, and S. S. Baker, Jr. (eds.). Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Oregon.
- Suter, G. W., II, M. A. Futrell, G. A. Kerchner. 1992. *Toxicological benchmarks for screening of potential contaminants of concern for effects on aquatic biota on the Oak Ridge Reservation, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.* ORNL/ER-139, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

146-00000-001

- Suter, G. W., II, A. E. Rosen, E. Linder, and D. F. Parkhurst. 1987. End points for responses of fish to chronic toxic exposures. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 6:793-809.
- Suter, G. W., II, 1992. *Ecological Risk Assessment*. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.
- Suter, G. W., II, A. Redfern, R. K. White, and R. A. Shaw. 1992. *Approach and strategy for performing ecological risk assessments for the Department of Energy Oak Ridge Field Office Environmental Restoration Program*. ES/ER/TM-33, Environmental Restoration Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
- Thompson, R. S., and N. G. Carmichael. 1989. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane: medium-term toxicity to carp, daphnids, and higher plants. *Ecotox. Environ. Safety* 17:172-182.
- Thurston, R. V., R. C. Russo, E. L. Meyn, and R. K. Zajdel. 1986. Chronic toxicity of ammonia to fathead minnows. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 115:196-207.
- Trucco, R. G., F. R. Engelhardt, and B. Stacey. 1983. Toxicity, accumulation and clearance of aromatic hydrocarbons in *Daphnia pulex*. *Environ. Pollut. Ser. A. Ecol. Biol.* 31:191-202.
- van den Dikkenberg, R. P., H. H. Canton, L. A. M. Mathijssen-Spiekman, and C. J. Roghair. 1989. *The usefulness of gasterosteus aculeatus-the three-spined stickleback-as a test organism in routine toxicity testing*. Rep. No. 718625003, Natl. Inst. Public Health Environ. Protection, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.
- Van der Schalie, W. H. 1983. *The acute and chronic toxicity of 3,5-dinitroaniline, 1,3-dinitrobenzene, and 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene to freshwater aquatic organisms*. Technical Report 8305. U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD.
- Van Leeuwen, C. J. and H. Maas. 1985. The aquatic toxicity of 2,6-dichlorobenzamide (BAM), a degradation product of the herbicide dichlobenil. *Environ. Pollut. Ser. A.* 37:105-115.
- Van Leeuwen, C. J., J. L. Maas-Diepeveen, G. Niebeck, W. H. A. Vergouw, P. S. Griffioen, and M. W. Luijken. 1985. Aquatic toxicological aspects of dithiocarbamates and related compounds. I. Short-term toxicity tests. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 7:145-164.
- Varcille-Morel, C. and J. Debord. 1990. Sensibilité du Mollusque prosobranchie *Potamopyrgus jenkinsi* Smith à l'action de chlorures métalliques ($ZnCl_2$, $BaCl_2$, $CuCl_2$) et d'un molluscicide de synthèse, la N-tributyl-morpholine. *Ann Rech. Vét.* 21:111-118.
- Veith, G. D., D. J. Call, L. T. Brooke. 1983. Estimating the acute toxicity of narcotic industrial chemicals to fathead minnows, pp. 90-97, in *Aquatic Toxicol. and Hazard Assessment: Sixth Symposium*. W. E. Bishop, R. D. Cardwell, and B. B. Heidolph (eds.). ASTM STP 802, Philadelphia.
- Veith, G. D., D. J. Call, and L. T. Brooke. 1983. Structure-toxicity relationships for the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*: narcotic industrial chemicals. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 40:743-748.
- Villar, D., M. H. Li, and D. J. Schaeffer. 1993. Toxicity of organophosphorus pesticides to *Dugesia dorotocephala*. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 51:80-87.

1993 • ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY • 12(1)

- Vincent, M., J. Debord, and B. Penicaut. 1986. Comparative studies on the toxicity of metal chlorides and of a synthetic organic molluscicide, N-trityl-Morpholine, upon two aquatic amphipods: *Gammarus pulex* and *Echinogammarus berilloni*. *Ann. Rech. Vét.* 17:441-446.
- Voecke, R. W., K. L. Sears, J. J. O'Toole, R. B. Wildham. 1980. Growth responses of selected freshwater algae to trace elements and scrubber ash slurry generated by coal-fired power plants. *Water Res.* 14:141-150.
- Walbridge, C. T., J. T. Fiandt, G. L. Phipps, and G. W. Holcombe. 1983. Acute toxicity of ten chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons to the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 12:661-666.
- Wallen, I. E., W. C. Greer, and R. Lasater. 1957. Toxicity to *Gambusia affinis* of certain pure chemicals in turbid waters. *Sewage Ind. Wastes* 29:695-711.
- Ward, T. J., and R. L. Boeri. 1991a. *Early Life Stage Toxicity of Di-n-Butyl Phthalate (DnBP) to the rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) Under Flow-Through Conditions*. 9102-CMA. Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, D.C.
- Waste Management Division. 1995. Ecological screening values, Ecological Risk Assessment Bulletin No. 2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IV. Atlanta, Georgia.
- Williams, P.L. and D.B. Dusenbery. 1990. Aquatic toxicity testing using the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 9:1285-1290
- Woodward, D. F., A. M. Farag, M. E. Mueller, E. E. Little, F. A. Vertucci. 1989. *Sensitivity of endemic Snake River cutthroat trout to acidity and elevated aluminum*. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 118:630-643.
- Ziegenfuss, P. S., W. J. Renaudette, and W. J. Adams. 1986. Methodology for assessing the acute toxicity of chemicals sorbed to sediments: Testing the equilibrium partitioning theory, in T. M. Poston and R. Purdy (eds.), *Aquatic Toxicology and Environmental Fate, Ninth Volume*. ASTM, Philadelphia, PA.

AMS - 000001000 - 0001

40 • 0000000 • 0000

Appendix A

DATA USED FOR TIER II CALCULATIONS

Table A.1. Data and calculated results for derivation of Tier II values (all values in µg/l). Requirements are listed in App. B.2; other terms are defined in the text

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV ^a	A.C. Ratio ^c	Reference	
INORGANICS								
Arsenic V	<i>Bosmina longirostris</i>	4	EC50	150	150		Pavino and Novak, 1984	
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	LC50	3,600	3,600		Jurewicz and Bulzema, 1980	
	<i>Gambusia affinis</i>	2.3	LC50	49,000	49,000		Jurewicz and Bulzema, 1980	
	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	2.3	LC50	40,500			Palawski et al., 1985	
	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	2.3	LC50	30,500	35,150		Palawski et al., 1985	
	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	1	LC50	43,600			Bull and Hamilton, 1990	
	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	1	LC50	58,500			Bull and Hamilton, 1990	
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	28,000			Palawski et al., 1985	
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	67,500	46,860		Bull and Hamilton, 1990	
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	42,000			Palawski et al., 1985	
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	25,600	32,790		DeFoe, 1982	
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>			CV	192		28.7	DeFoe, 1982
	<i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	1	LC50	5,020				Bull and Hamilton, 1990
	<i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	1	LC50	5,500	5,255			Bull and Hamilton, 1990
	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	7.8	LC50	127,360	127,360			Farganova, 1994

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAVF	12.9
SAV	65.89
SACR	20.95
SCV	3.1

^a The eight acute data requirements.

^b Geom Mean Acute Value.

^c Acute Chronic Ratio.

Table A.1. (continued)

Genus/Species	Requirement	Estimate	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	410,000	410,000		LeBrec, 1980
<i>Daphnia magna</i>		EC15	5,800		70.69*	Baigún and Chaves, 1972
<i>Embryonated chicken</i>	5	LC50	121,000	121,000		Vaccari et al., 1986
<i>Gomphonota</i>	5	LC50	238,000	238,000		Vaccari et al., 1986
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	1,700			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	930			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	1,800			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	1,400			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	1,100			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	415			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	330			Varela et al., 1990
<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>	7.8	LC50	1,300	93.6		Varela et al., 1990

*In the absence of any experimental data, EC15 is used to calculate an A-C ratio.

Trial Parameter	Trial Value
FAVF	5.6
SAV	113.6
SACR	28.29
SCV	4.0

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound By Eux.	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
	<i>Aedes triseriatus</i>	5	LC50	10,000	10,000		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Dugesia nigra</i>	7.8	LC50	10,000	10,000		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Gerrhonotus fasciatus</i>	5	LC50	700	700		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Heterosoma trioloni</i>	7.8	LC50	10,000	10,000		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Lumbriculus variegatus</i>	7.8	LC50	10,000	10,000		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Paraphaenicia promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	10,000	10,000		Ewell et al., 1956
	<i>Ambystoma maculatum</i>	2.3	LC50	3,150			Storim and Ray, 1975
	<i>Ambystoma maculatum</i>	2.3	LC50	18,200			Storim and Ray, 1975
	<i>Ambystoma maculatum</i>	2.3	LC50	8,020			Storim and Ray, 1975
	<i>Ambystoma maculatum</i>	2.3	LC50	8,320			Storim and Ray, 1975
	<i>Ambystoma opacum</i>	2.3	LC50	3,150	4,977		Storim and Ray, 1975
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	7.8	LC50	140	140		Williams and Duesberry, 1980
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	2.3	LC50	55,940	55,940		Cardwell et al., 1976
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	2,410			Kimball et al.
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	2,450	2,430		Kimball et al.
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	5,267	4514		Kimball et al.
	<i>Jordanella floridae</i>	2.3	LC50	45,240			Cardwell et al., 1976
	<i>Jordanella floridae</i>	2.3	LC50	41,100			Cardwell et al., 1976
	<i>Jordanella floridae</i>	2.3	LC50	41,100	42,760		Cardwell et al., 1976
	<i>Paraphaenicia promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	37,900			Cardwell et al., 1976
	<i>Paraphaenicia promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	17,900			Kimball et al.
	<i>Paraphaenicia promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	17,560	22,810		Kimball et al.
	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	2.3	LC50	1,330			Storim and Storim, 1973
	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	2.3	LC50	160			Storim and Storim, 1973
	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	2.3	LC50	190			Storim and Storim, 1973

JG • 00400908 • 0727

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
Baron	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	133,000			Gersick, 1984
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	9,330			Gersick, 1984
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	226,000	173,400		Lewis and Valentine, 1981
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	8,132		19.10*	Lewis and Valentine, 1981
	<i>Psychrocheilus lucius</i>	2.3	LC50	279			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Psychrocheilus lucius</i>	2.3	LC50	>100			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Psychrocheilus lucius</i>	2.3	LC50	527	383.4		Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Xytrachea testaceus</i>	2.3	LC50	>100			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Xytrachea testaceus</i>	2.3	LC50	233			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Xytrachea testaceus</i>	2.3	LC50	279	255.0		Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Gila elegans</i>	2.3	LC50	>100			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Gila elegans</i>	2.3	LC50	280			Hamilton, 1995
	<i>Gila elegans</i>	2.3	LC50	552	393.1		Hamilton, 1995

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAVF	8.6
SAV	29.65
SACR	18.29
SCV	1.6

* The A-C Ratio for *D. magna* is the geometric mean of A-C Ratios from Gersick, 1984 and Lewis and Valentine, 1981.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Radical	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference																
Cobalt	<i>Acetivibrio intermedius</i>	5	LC50	>100,000			Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Cerviniarantzia</i>	2,3	LC50	66,800	66,800		Dirig, 1980																
	<i>Cyprina orepis</i>	2,3	LC50	82,700	82,700		Dirig, 1980																
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	6,830			Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	5,150	5,931		Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	5103		1,162	Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	7,8	LC50	25,000	25,000		Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Gammarus fasciatus</i>	5	LC50	>100,000			Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Helicoverpa litorea</i>	7,8	LC50	>100,000			Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Leishmania tarentolae</i>	7,8	LC50	>100,000			Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Fluxus dominicensis</i>	7,8	LC50	59,000	59,000		Bellezza et al., 1978																
	<i>Panopliaes pumilus</i>	2,3	LC50	22,000			Ewell et al., 1986																
	<i>Panopliaes pumilus</i>	2,3	LC50	3,750			Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Panopliaes pumilus</i>	2,3	LC50	3,400	6,534		Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Panopliaes pumilus</i>		CV	2852		12.59	Kimball, 1978																
	<i>Palaemonetes pugio</i>	3	LC50	17,590	17,590		Margaret et al., 1985																
	<i>Tetrahymena</i>	7,8	EC50	139,320	139,320		Margaret, 1991																
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Test II Parameters</th> <th>Test II Values</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">FAVF</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAV</td> <td></td> <td>1,430</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACR</td> <td></td> <td>61.93</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCV</td> <td></td> <td>23</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Test II Parameters		Test II Values	FAVF		40	SAV		1,430	SACR		61.93	SCV		23	
	Test II Parameters		Test II Values																				
	FAVF		40																				
SAV		1,430																					
SACR		61.93																					
SCV		23																					

*All data are for cobalt II.

Table A.1. (continued)

Common LF form	Genus/Species	Recruitment	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Psychodactylus factus</i>	23	LC50	26,000			Hardison, 1995
	<i>Psychodactylus factus</i>	23	LC50	41,000	33,889		Hardison, 1995
	<i>Xytrachena kiriana</i>	23	LC50	53,000			Hardison, 1995
	<i>Xytrachena kiriana</i>	23	LC50	186,000	99,290		Hardison, 1995
	<i>Glaeribates</i>	23	LC50	63,000			Hardison, 1995
	<i>Glaeribates</i>	23	LC50	65,000	63,480		Hardison, 1995
	<i>Tachytrichia</i>	74	EC50	9,340	9,340		Kierulff, 1991

Fall Parameters		Fall Values	
FAVF			36.2
SAV			258.0
SACR			17.9
SCV			14

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Structure ^a	Concentration	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
<i>Acetic acid</i>	5	EC50	333,000	103,000			Martin and Holbeck, 1986
<i>Cyanophycin</i>	5	EC50	694,000	694,000			Martin and Holbeck, 1986
<i>Diphthalate</i>	4	EC50	19,500				Kimball et al.
<i>Diphthalate</i>	4	EC50	19,200	19,350			Kimball et al.
<i>Phosphate</i>	2.3	LC50	30,600				Kimball et al.
<i>Phosphate</i>	2.3	LC50	36,800	33,600			Kimball et al.
<i>Phosphate</i>		CV	1,775		18.93		Kimball et al.

Terrestrial Parameter	Terrestrial Values
FAVF	16
SAV	2,250
SACR	18.24
SCV	120

^aAll data are for emergence II.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A-C Ratio	Reference
Methyl mercury	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	24	24		Loak and van Oortbeke, 1981
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	1	LC50	65			34 Kim et al., 1976
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	1	LC50	84	74		34 Kim et al., 1976
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>		CV	0.5193		142.3	34 Kim et al., 1976

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAVF	242
SAV	0.07917
SACR	35.72
SCV	0.0028

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Structure	Chemical Structure	Retention Time	Radical	Concentration	GMAY	A.C. Ratio	Reference
	Daphnia magna	4	LC50	125,000	125,000		Baskin and Christensen, 1972
	Daphnia magna		FC16	42,000		2.54 ^a	Baskin and Christensen, 1972
	Tetrahymena	7.8	EC50	240,800	240,800		Margaret, 1991
	Caenorhabditis elegans	7.8	LC50	465,000	465,000		Williams and Devereber, 1990

Tier II Parameter	Tier III Values
FAVF	1.6
SAV	14,530
SACR	9.85
SCV	1,500

^aIn the absence of any experimental chronic values, EC16 is used to estimate an A.C. Ratio

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Name ^a	Concentration	Exposure	LC50	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	450				Kimball et al.
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	950	905.0			Kimball et al.
<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	1345	6.724			Kimball et al.
<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>		LC50	20,900 ^b				EPA 1978
<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>		CV	6,010	3.478			EPA 1978
<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	120,000				Borck's et al., 1981
<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	132,000	125,900			Davson et al., 1977
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	1,410				Kimball et al.
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	1,780	1,795			Kimball et al.
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		CV	56.92	31.53			Kimball et al.

Fer II Parameters	Fer II Values
FAVF	8.6
SAV	105.2
SACR	9.034
SCV	12

^a All data are for the same species.
^b Actual value of *C. variegatus* in salinity species is used for SACR calculation only.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Concentration	Exposure	Exposure	Concentration	G:MAV	A:CRatio	Reference
Diethylstilbestrol	4	LC50	55,000	55,000			Baird and Christian, 1972
Diethylstilbestrol		EC16 ^a	350	157.1			Baird and Christian, 1972
Test Parameters							
FAVF							
Test Values							
20.5							
SAV							
2.633							
SACR							
36.93							
SCV							
73							

^a AF data are for CA II

^b In the absence of any experimental chromis values, EC16 is used to calculate an A:CRatio.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Variants ^a	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C.Ratio	Reference
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	1,590			Kirbel, <i>et al.</i>
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	1,450			Kirbel, <i>et al.</i>
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	3,800			Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	2,900			Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	3,900			Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	3,600			Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	3,300	2,745		Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	1900		2 ^b	Berens & Nevea, 1987
	<i>Gala elegans</i>	2,3	LC50	8,400			Hambro, 1995
	<i>Gala elegans</i>	2,3	LC50	4,000			Hambro, 1995
	<i>Gala elegans</i>	2,3	LC50	3,000	4,727		Hambro, 1995
	<i>Jordaniella formosa</i>	2,3	LC50	11,200	11,200		Hollway and Sprague, 1979
	<i>Jordaniella formosa</i>		CV	8,449		1341	Hollway and Sprague, 1979
	<i>Oncometopona karyoxcha</i>	1	LC50	16,500	16,500		Hambro and B.J.L. 1990
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	1,800			Kirbel, <i>et al.</i>
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	1,900	1,850		Kirbel, <i>et al.</i>
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		CV	169.7		10.90	Kirbel, <i>et al.</i>
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	7,500			Hambro, 1995
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	3,800			Hambro, 1995
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	4,300	5,032		Hambro, 1995
	<i>Sabellaria formosa</i>	1	LC50	7,000			Ernst and Gurdik, 1981
	<i>Sabellaria formosa</i>	1	LC50	15,000	10,250		Ernst and Gurdik, 1987
	<i>Xytrichus nitens</i>	2,3	LC50	5,300			Hambro, 1995

Table A.1. (continued)

Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A.C.Ratio	Reference
<i>Pyrococcus koreanus</i>	2.3	1C50	2.50			Han et al., 1995
<i>Pyrococcus koreanus</i>	2.3	1C50	4.60	3.770		Han et al., 1995
					Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
					FAVF	6.5
					SAV	28.6
					SACR	14.29
					SCV	20

* All data are for scale 100 V.

* Since the experimental A.C.Ratio is less than 2, the A.C.Ratio of 2 (Stephan et al., 1985)

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Chemical Species	Requirement	Endpoint ORGANIS	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
Atrazine	<i>Aedes triseriatus</i>	5	LC50	>100,000	>100,000		Ewell et al., 1986
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	4	LC50	1,094,000	1,094,000		Cowgill and Maza, 1991
	<i>Chironomus tentans</i>	6	LC50	44,900,000	44,900,000		Ziegler et al., 1986
	<i>Corbicula nebulosa</i>	7.5	LC50	20,000,000	20,000,000		Chandler and Matting, 1979
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	11,500,000	11,500,000		Randall and Knapp, 1980
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	30,647		19.69	LeBlanc and Surprenant, 1983
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	1556			LeBlanc and Surprenant, 1983
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	7.5	LC50	>100,000	>100,000		Ewell et al., 1986
	<i>Gambusia fasciatus</i>	5	LC50	>100,000	>100,000		Ewell et al., 1986
	<i>Helostoma temminckii</i>	7.5	LC50	>100,000	>100,000		Ewell et al., 1986
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	8,300,000	8,300,000		Cairns and Schmitt, 1983
	<i>Leuciscus leuciscus</i>	7.5	LC50	>100,000	>100,000		Ewell et al., 1986
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	5,540,000	5,540,000		Jedness and Eddy, 1980
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	EC50	7,230,000			Brooks et al., 1984
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	EC50	8,120,000			Brooks et al., 1984
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	FC50	6,210,000	7,160,000		Brooks et al., 1984

Ter II Parameter	Ter II Value
FAV	3.6
SAV	27.80
SACR	18.48
SCV	150

*Since the acute toxicity test, the acute value was not used to derive an ACR for *D. magna*. The LC50 value was not used as part of GMV because EC50 for immediate lethality is available.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Isolated	Concentration	GMW	A.C. Ratio	Reference
Benzene	<i>Avellanaequis</i>	5	LC50	254,000	254,000		Eisen and Pisk, 1993
	<i>Ceratias curvatus</i>	2.3	LC50	34,420	34,420		Federling and Henderson, 1966
	<i>Chironomus thummi</i>	6	LC50	100,000	100,000		Staff, 1993
	<i>Cerastogaster</i>	2.3	LC50	13,500	13,500		Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	200,000			LeBlanc, 1980
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	400,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	620,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	412,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	356,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	412,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	356,000	376,200		Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	LC50	345,000			Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	LC50	265,000	302,400		Carrawe and Adams, 1978
	<i>Gammarus aoteanus</i>	2.3	LC50	21,500	21,500		Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Kribia parvipes</i>	2.3	LC50	425,000	425,000		Johnson and Easley, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	22,400	22,400		Federling and Henderson, 1966
	<i>Oncorhynchus gorbuscha</i>	1	LC50	4,630			Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	12,350			Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	2.3	LC50	5,300			DeGroot et al., 1982
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	21,600			Hudson et al., 1984
	<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	1	LC50	9,430			Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	10,230		9,003	Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	24,600			Geiger et al., 1990

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Respiratory	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Pteromalid</i>	2.3	LC50	17,600	17,600		Geiger et al., 1980
	<i>Pteromalid</i>	2.3	LC50	36,600			Falck and Hendrick, 1966
	<i>Pteromalid</i>	2.3	LC50	23,600	32,350		Galassi et al., 1958
	<i>Schistocerca</i>	2.3	LC50	10,430			Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Schistocerca</i>	2.3	LC50	10,450	10,450		Miles et al., 1979
	<i>Hyalophora</i>	2.3	LC50	12,890	12,890		Miles et al., 1979

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAYF	40
SAV	2.252
SACR	17.9
SCV	130

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A/C Ratio	Reference
Benz(a)anthracene	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	LCSO MOR	10*	10		Trecco et al., 1993
						Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
						FAVF	20.5
						SAV	0.4378
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	0.027

* The test was based on a non-standard, but conservative, exposure of 96 hours. The standard exposure is 48 hr for daphnids. The length of the Daphnid is 1.9-2.1 mm; the age of the Daphnid species is not available.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genotoxicity	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
Benzoc AD	Constitutional	2.3	LC50	150,000	180,000		W. Lee et al., 1957
						Test Parameters	Test Values
						FAVF	211
						SAV	704
						SACR	179
						SCV	42

*Data in parentheses

000 • 00010-500 • 012

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Chemical Species	Requirement	Federal	Contribution	GVAV	AC Ratio	Reference									
BHC (det d an Liabre)	Diphthalantra	4	EC90	800	800		Canada, 1975									
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Full Partition</th> </tr> <tr> <th>FAVF</th> <th>Full Value</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SAV</td> <td>39.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACR</td> <td>179</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCV</td> <td>22</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Full Partition		FAVF	Full Value	SAV	39.02	SACR	179	SCV	22	
Full Partition																
FAVF	Full Value															
SAV	39.02															
SACR	179															
SCV	22															

Table A.1. (continued)

Common Name	Scientific Name	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A:C Ratio	Reference
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	6	EC50	>10,000			Santfort et al., 1980
	<i>Chromis plumbosa</i>	6	EC50	>10,000			LeBourc, 1980
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	EC50	133	133		Adams & LeSaffre, 1985
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	2,000 ^a			Adams & LeSaffre, 1985
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	912	2193		Adams & LeSaffre, 1985
	<i>Gambusia pulex</i>	4	LC50	>12,000			Santfort et al., 1973
	<i>Gambusia affinis holbrooki</i>	23	LC50	>300			van der Dilleberg et al., 1989
	<i>Hyalella monticola</i>	23	LC50	>100,000			Johnson and Feely, 1980
	<i>Hyalella monticola</i>	23	LC50	>330			Adams et al., 1991
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	23	LC50	>770,000			Borafecov et al., 1981
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	23	LC50	>100,000			J. DeLoe and Feely, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	>100,000			J. DeLoe and Feely, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	>330			Adams et al., 1995
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	23	LC50	>670			Adams et al., 1995
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	23	LC50	>160			Adams et al., 1995
	<i>Rana pipiens</i>	3	LC50	4,410	4,410		Burgel et al., 1973

Test II Parameters		Test II Values	
FAVF	50		
SAV	2660		
SACR	8390		
SCV	30		

^a The LC50 was used to calculate the A:C ratio for the GMV because an LC50 was not available.

Table A.I. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A/C Ratio	Reference
2-Etanoce	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	5,091,000	5,091,000		Randall and Knapp, 1950
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	1CS0	3,200,000	3,200,000		Veitch et al, 1943

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAVF	13.2
SAV	242,470
SACR	17.9
SCV	14,000

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Organ Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	AC Ratio	Reference
Carboxystyrene	<i>Polymerization</i>	23	1CS9MOR	100	400		Van Leeuwen et al., 1985
Tetill Parameters							
					FAVF		Tetill Values
					SAV		1633
					SAOR		17.9
					SCV		0.92

*Although carboxystyrene is a volatile compound, it is not included here because flow through measured test apparatuses.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Concentration	Endpoint	Requirement	Concentration	GMV	A.C.R. (2)	Reference
Carbon tetrachloride				41,470			Geiger et al. 1980
Pinophales promelas		LC50	2.3	43,300			Kimbrell et al.
Pinophales promelas		LC50	2.3	42,900	42,530		Kimbrell et al.
Pinophales promelas		LC50	2.3				

Test II Parameters	Test II Values
FAYF	242
SNV	1757
SACR	179
SCV	98

*Because carbon tetrachloride is a volatile compound, only five through measured tests were used.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A-C ratio	Reference	
Chlordane	<i>Ceratitis auratus</i>	23	LC50	51,630	51,630		Pickering and Henderson, 1966	
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	4	LC50	7,900*			Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	4	LC50	7,900*			Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	4	LC50	11,400*	8,927		Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	85,000			LeBlanc, 1980	
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	13,100*			Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	10,700*			Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	15,400*	116,500		Coughlin et al., 1955	
	<i>Isonia maxima kiria</i>	23	LC50	7,400	7,400		Bazley et al., 1955	
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	7,400	7,400		Hobson et al., 1954	
	<i>Pemphigus procerus</i>	23	LC50	16,900	16,900		Ceiger et al., 1980	
	<i>Pseuda reticulata</i>	23	LC50	45,530	45,530		Pickering and Henderson, 1966	
			Tier III Parameters		Tier III Values			
			FAVF		6.5			
			SAV		1.03			
		SACR		17.9				
		SCV		64				

*Aster indicates both *D. magna* and *C. dubia* species were tested and the lowest value is reported. All LC50 values were tested at 20°C. The values at 24°C are also available and are not reported here to avoid confusion.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A/C Ratio	Reference
Chloroform*	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	2.3	LC50	75,000	75,000		Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	16,200			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	22,300			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	13,300			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	18,300			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	20,800	17,830		Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	2.3	LC50	55,870			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	2.3	LC50	52,500			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	2.3	LC50	45,400	51,040		Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	18,200			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	18,470			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	22,150			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	15,100			Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	17,150	18,040		Anderson and Lacey, 1980
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	EC50	70,700	70,700		Geiger et al., 1990

Tier II Parameters	Tier II Values
FAVF	36.2
SAV	493.9
SACR	17.9
SCV	28

* Because chloroform is a volatile compound, only flow through, measured tests were used.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Grow Species	Requirement	Protocol	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
Decane ^a	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	11,000	11,000		LeB'arré, 1980
					Test Parameter	Test Value	
					FAVF	20.5	
					SAV	0.710	
					SACR	11.9	
					SCV	49	

^a Although decane is a volatile compound, statistics are not available because flow through tests were not available.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GSIV	A/C Ratio	Reference																				
Di-n-butylphthalate	<i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	6	ECSO	760	760		Sorenson et al., 1980																				
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	ECSO	5300	5300		McCarty and Williams, 1985																				
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	1004		5.179	McCarty and Williams, 1985																				
	<i>Gammarus pulex</i>	5	LC50	2100	2100		J. Hesse and Fahey, 1980																				
	<i>Kribia punctata</i>	2.3	LC50	2900	2900		J. Hesse and Fahey, 1980																				
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	1200			Boruffo et al., 1981																				
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	700	516.5		J. Hesse and Fahey, 1980																				
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	1600	1600		Adams et al., 1995																				
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>		CV	1374*		11.61	Roby et al., 1995																				
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	5	LC50	1000*	1000		J. Hesse and Fahey, 1980																				
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	1100			Geiger et al., 1985																				
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	850			Geiger et al., 1985																				
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	2020*			McCarty and Williams, 1985																				
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	920	1148		Adams et al., 1995																				
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		CV	748.3		2.699	McCarty and Williams, 1985																				
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Tier II Parameters</th> <th colspan="2">Tier II Values</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2">FAVF</th> <th colspan="2">40</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SAV</td> <td></td> <td>190.0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACR</td> <td></td> <td>5.655</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCV</td> <td></td> <td>35</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Tier II Parameters		Tier II Values		FAVF		40		SAV		190.0		SACR		5.655		SCV		35	
Tier II Parameters		Tier II Values																									
FAVF		40																									
SAV		190.0																									
SACR		5.655																									
SCV		35																									

*Length of the test is 60 d post L.A. 199 d post birth the National Guideline is recommended 90 d post birth for this species.

Table A.1. (continued)

* Authors note toxicity is hardness (44-272 ppm) and pH (6.5-9.0) independent; no further data are available.

* Derived from range finding test.

* ACR derived from acute test of larval fathead minnow is the only test available as part of the same study as the chronic test. The National Guidelines recommend using juvenile fish for deriving ACR.

* Because of an accident during the experiment, length of the test is 20 d post hatch; the National Guidelines recommend 28-32 d post hatch for fish other than salmonids. However, the authors note since a larger number of fish died at 1.0 µg/L, "no evidence that additional exposure time would have produced any meaningful result".

However, the authors note since a larger number

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Reference	Concentration	CAIV	A C Ratio	Reference
Diterpenes	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	LC50	1,700	1,700		LeBrecq. 1990
	<i>Porephylete prandis</i>	23	EC50	783			Geiger et al. 1988
	<i>Porephylete prandis</i>	23	EC50	550	8743		Geiger et al. 1988
				Terill Parameters		Terill Values	
				FAVF		10.2	
				SAV		66.23	
				SACR		17.9	
				SCV		3.7	

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
1,1 Dithioethane ^a	<i>Pteridella reticulata</i>	2.3	LCS0	202,000	202,000		Kocumam, 1931
						Test II Parameters	Test II Values
						FAVF	242
						SAV	8447
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	47

^a Although 1,1 dithioethane is a volatile compound, static test was used because flow through test is not available.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Group/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A-C Ratio	Reference
1,1-Dichloroethane ^a	<i>Fenophthalonitriles</i>	2.3	LC50 MOR	103/100	108,000		D.7 et al., 1980
						Test II Parameters	Test II Values
						FAVF	242
						SAV	446.3
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	25

^a Although 1,1-dichloroethane is a volatile compound, it is used because flow through measurements are not available.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Fedpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A.C.Ratio	Reference
1,3-Dichloropropane ^a	<i>Panophis parvulus</i>	2.3	EC50	239	239		Geiger et al., 1980
						Est II Parameters	Est II Values
						FAVF	242
						SAV	0.9376
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	0.055

^a Because 1,3-dichloropropane is a stable compound, only flow through measured test was used.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference	
Diethyl phthalate	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	86,000	86,000		Adams et al., 1995	
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	38,410		2.239	Rhodes et al., 1995	
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50	16,700	16,700		Adams et al., 1995	
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	31,800			Geiger et al., 1985	
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	17,000	23,250		Adams et al., 1995	
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	12,000	12,000		Adams et al., 1995	
					Tier II Parameters		Tier II Values	
					FAVF		6.5	
					SAV		1.845	
					SACR		1.952	
					SCV		210	

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endosulf	Concentration	GMAY	A-C Ratio	Reference										
Ethylbenzene ^a	<i>Paraphaetes prameles</i>	2.3	EC50	8.450	8.450		Geiger et al., 1990										
	<i>Pocilloea reticulata</i>	2.3	LC50	9.600	9.600		Galardi et al., 1988										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Test Parameters</th> <th>Test Values</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAVF</td> <td>648</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAV</td> <td>1304</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACR</td> <td>119</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCV</td> <td>73</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Test Parameters	Test Values	FAVF	648	SAV	1304	SACR	119	SCV	73
Test Parameters	Test Values																
FAVF	648																
SAV	1304																
SACR	119																
SCV	73																

^a Because ethylbenzene is a volatile compound, only flow through measurements were used.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Name	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C.Ratio	Reference
	<i>Parephales promelas</i>	23	EC50	2.500	2.500		Geiger et al., 1990
						Test Parameters	Test Values
						FAVF	242
						SAV	10.33
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	0.55

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
2-Hexene	<i>Pompholyx peredon</i>	2.3	LC50	0.28,000	424,000		Geiger et al., 1986
						Fertil Parameters	Fertil Values
						FANF	242
						SAV	1.69
						SACR	17.9
						SCV	99

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Resonance	Endo/Exo	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference	
1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	<i>Pimpla ruficornis</i>	2.3	EC50	9,000	9,000		Matsumoto et al., 1976	
							Test II Parameters	Test II Values
							FAVE	242
							SAV	3719
							SACR	17.9
							SCV	2.1

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound I (Methyl) Ester	Genus/Species	Exposure	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Carassius auratus</i>	2.3	LC50 MOR	23,250	23,250		Pickering and Henderson, 1966
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	2.3	LC50 MOR	20,780	20,780		Pickering and Henderson, 1966
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50 MOR	4,420	4,420		DeGraeve et al., 1980
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	14,000			Geiger et al., 1990
	<i>Pomphales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	14,200	15,560		DeGraeve et al., 1980
	<i>Parrisia reticulata</i>	2.3	LC50	14,150	14,150		Pickering and Henderson, 1966
Test Parameters							
FAVF							
SAV							
SACR							
SCV							
							Test Values
							36.2
							232.0
							17.9
							13

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C ratio	Reference
Naphthalene	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	2,194		Munoz and Ivanova, 1993
	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	4	EC50	4,663	3,199	Saich et al., 1989
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	1,600	1,600	DeGroot et al., 1982
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	23	LC50	6,140		Geiger et al., 1985
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	23	LC50	7,900	6,965	DeGroot et al., 1982
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		CV	619		12.77 DeGroot et al., 1982

Terrestrial Parameters	Terrestrial Values
FAVF	16
SAV	156.0
SACR	15.96
SCV	12

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound 4-Nitrophenol	Crust Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	7,650			Kern and Bledsoe, 1985
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	4	EC50	4,700	6,008		Kuba et al., 1989
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>		CV	7,071		7	Kuba et al., 1989
	<i>Gammarus pulex</i>	5	LC50	6,550			Hose et al., 1994
	<i>Isonia punctata</i>	2.3	LC50	15,000			Holcombe et al., 1984
	<i>Isonia punctata</i>	2.3	LC50	8,300	8,300		Barratou et al., 1991
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	1	LC50	7,900	7,900		Holcombe et al., 1984
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>		CV	599.1		7.987	Holcombe et al., 1991
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	59,000			Phillips et al., 1981
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	62,000			Phillips et al., 1981
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	41,000			Holcombe et al., 1984
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	37,300			Geiger et al., 1985
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	41,000			Geiger et al., 1985
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LC50	53,600	43,760		Geiger et al., 1985
Test Parameters							
FAVF							
SD							
	SAV						1,202
	SACR						3,997
	SCV						300

*Since the experimental A-C Ratio was less than 2, the A-C Ratio of 0.5 was used (Stephan et al., 1985).

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Concentration	End Point	Requitment	GMAY	A.C.Ratio	Reference
PCB's total				940		National, 1974
<i>Phosphorus parameters</i>						
	Fertilizer PAV			Fertilizer	20	EPA, 1990
	Fertilizer SACR			Fertilizer	1464	
	SCV				011	

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A-C Ratio	Reference
IVB: Aroclor® 1233	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	2,500			J. Aron and F. Ky, 1980
					Test III Parameters		Test II Values
					FAVF		242
					SAV		10.33
					SACR		17.9
					SCV		0.58

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound PCB: Arochlor® 1242	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAV A-C Ratio ^a	Reference
	<i>Gammarus pseudolimnoides</i>	5	LCS0	72		Nebel et al. 1974
	<i>Gammarus pseudolimnoides</i>	5	LCS0	74	72.99	Nebel et al. 1974
	<i>Astiares paniceus</i>	2.3	LCS0	>100		Johnson and Fisher, 1950
	<i>Ischnura verticalis</i>	7.8	LCS0	400	4.00	Mayer et al., 1977
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LCS0	5,400	5,400	Mayer et al., 1977
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LCS0	>150		Johnson and Fisher, 1950
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	LCS0	15	15	Nebel et al., 1974
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		LCS0	300 ^b		Nebel et al., 1974
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		CV	9,000	3333	Nebel et al., 1974

Test Parameters	Test Values
FAVE	12.9
SAV	1160
SACR	22.02
SCV	0.053

^a Because this is more than ten times of another life stage, it has been used in the GMAV calculation. However, since the preferred life stage in deriving the A-C Ratio is juvenile, it is used in A-C Ratio derivation.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound MBC No. 1118	Group/Species	Requirement	End point	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Gammarus pulex</i> /Gammarus	5	LC50 MOR	29	29		Noblet and Pirelli, 1974
	<i>Hydra</i> /Hydra	23	LC50 MOR	>100			Akesson and Fiedig, 1980
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	23	EC50 MOR	218			Saefert and Meyer, 1972
	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	23	LC50	690	435.0		J. Klee and Fiedig, 1980
	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	1	LC50	5350	5350		J. Klee and Fiedig, 1980
	<i>Pimephales</i>	23	LC50	>100			Akesson and Fiedig, 1980
		Terrestrial		Terrestrial			
		FAVF		201			
		SAV		1443			
		SACR		17.9			
		SCV		0.041			

Table A.1. (continued)

Contaminant	Concentration	Requirement	Prevalent	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	>10,000	1	LC50	>10,000			Pastino and Kramer, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	2,400	5	LC50	2,400	2,400		J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	>200	23	LC50	>200			J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	200	6	LC50	200	200		Mayer et al., 1977
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	2,740	23	LC50	2,740	2,740		J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	42,500	1	LC50	42,500	42,500		J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	100	5	LC50	100	100		J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	>150	23	LC50	>150			J. Jansson and Fackey, 1980
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	1.7	23	LC50	1.7	1.7		Nebel et al., 1974
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	2,878	CV	CV	2,878		2.676	Nebel et al., 1974

Est II Parameters	Est II Values
FAYF	129
SAV	0.5569
SACR	179
SCV	0.033

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound PCB Aroclor [®] 1260	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Ichthyosparaxia</i>	2.3	LC50	>40			Address and Farley, 1980
	<i>Isporia macrokirus</i>	2.3	LC50	>40			Address and Farley, 1980
	<i>Oecophylla tartarici</i>	1	LC50	61,000	61,000		May et al., 1977
	<i>Pterodromas</i>	2.3	LC50	>30			Address and Farley, 1980

Test Parameters	Test Values
FAVF	36.2
SAV	1.635
SACR	0.19
SCV	94

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound 2 Proposed	Chemical Structure	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMAY	A-C Ratio	Reference
	<i>Chironomus riparius</i>	1	LC50	12,500,000	12,500,000		Reynolds et al., 1984
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	10,400			Veitch et al., 1983
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	9,640			Veitch et al., 1983
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2,3	LC50	6,550	8,692		Browne et al., 1984

Ter II Parameter	Ter II Values
FAVF	648
SAV	1341
SACR	179
SCV	7.5

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Chemical	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GVAF	A-C Ratio	Reference												
Daphnia magna		4	EC50	8,500	8,500		Richter et al., 1983												
Daphnia magna			CV	7500		11.33	Richter et al., 1983												
Jordanella floridae		2.3	LC50	4,130	4,130		Sachs et al., 1991												
Jordanella floridae			CV	3,107		2.714	Sachs et al., 1991												
Oncorhynchus mykiss		1	LC50	5,810			Shibata et al., 1982												
Oncorhynchus mykiss		1	LC50	4,990	5,358		Shibata et al., 1982												
Pimephales promelas		2.3	LC50	13,430			Waldridge et al., 1983												
Pimephales promelas		2.3	LC50	20,300	16,490		Cejer et al., 1985												
Pimephales promelas			CV	3,567		19.71	Cejer et al., 1985												
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Type II Parameters</th> <th colspan="2">Type II Values</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAVF</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>SAV</td> <td>130.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACR</td> <td>1.463</td> <td>SCV</td> <td>93</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Type II Parameters		Type II Values		FAVF	6.5	SAV	130.5	SACR	1.463	SCV	93
Type II Parameters		Type II Values																	
FAVF	6.5	SAV	130.5																
SACR	1.463	SCV	93																

* Because triathlorone is a volatile compound, only few drops were used in these tests.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound Isomer ^a	Concentration	Endpoint	Concentration	GMV	A.C. Ratio	Reference
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	31,700			Gejro et al., 1990
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	36,200			Gejro et al., 1996
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	30,000			DeLuca et al., 1992
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	31,000			DeLuca et al., 1992
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	26,000			DeLuca et al., 1992
Pinophales prandelis	23	LC50	18,000	26,170		DeLuca et al., 1992
Pinophales prandelis		CV	4,899		5.23	DeLuca et al., 1992

Test Parameters	Test Values
FAVF	282
SAV	116.4
SACR	11.59
SCV	9.8

^a Because volume is a viable compound, only flow through measured tests were used.

Table A.1. (continued)

Compound	Genus/Species	Requirement	Endpoint	Concentration	GMW	A-C ratio	Reference
Xylene ^d	<i>Leptaxis maxwelliana</i>	2.3	LC50	15,700	15,700		Bailey et al., 1955
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	EC50	15,300 ^a			Geiger et al., 1990
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	2.3	EC50	14,800 ^a	15,050		Geiger et al., 1990

Fertil Parameters	Fertil Values
FAVF	64.8
SAV	232.3
SACR	17.9
SCV	13

^a Because xylene is a whole compound, only flow through measurements were used.

^b 0.15 liter (99.8% purity) was used.

^c 0.15 liter (99.8% purity) was used.

^d Because analytical procedures used in this study do not differentiate isomers, toxicity tests on individual and mixture of isomers are considered equivalent.

Appendix B

METHODS FOR DERIVATION OF TIER II VALUES

METHODS FOR DERIVATION OF TIER II VALUES

B.1 Method for data selection

The procedure used to select and aggregate test data was adopted from the guidelines for deriving National Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) (Stephan et al. 1985). The selection criteria are summarized in the following text.

B.1.1 Chemical Considerations

Not all forms of inorganic chemicals require unique Tier II values. Metal salts with the same oxidation state at ambient conditions (e.g., BeCl_2 and BeSO_4) are expected to exhibit similar toxicity and are given a common Tier II value. Nonionizable, covalently bonded compounds of metals or metals of different oxidation states were considered different chemicals, for which separate Tier II values were derived.

For volatile compounds, only results of flow-through tests with measured chemical concentrations were used, if available. However, if flow-through measured tests were not available, the geometric mean acute value (GMAV) was based on static and flow-through unmeasured tests.

Pesticides were screened for commercial formulations; wettable powder, emulsifiable concentrates, and formulated mixtures were eliminated. Only pesticides of technical grades or better were considered.

B.1.2 Dilution water considerations

Test results were rejected if unusual dilution water was used (e.g., $\text{TOC} > 5$ ppm, lack of appropriate salts, low dissolved oxygen), unless toxicity has been demonstrated to be independent of these factors. Tests in which dissolved oxygen fell below 40% saturation for static or 60% saturation for flow-through were eliminated.

B.1.3 Biological parameters

Tests of certain organisms were excluded from the Tier II value derivation. Single-celled organisms and brine shrimp (*Artemia* sp.) were not used. Fish were generally limited to species with wild North American populations. However, if none of the tests with North American fish were acceptable and values for other organisms were not available, non-resident fish were used.

Tests which did not refer to a standard procedure or indicate use of a control group were excluded. Acute tests in which organisms were fed were eliminated, unless feeding was demonstrated to be independent of toxicity.

For the acute tests, only daphnids and midges (*Chironomus* sp.) have a specified starting age. Daphnids must be less than 24 hours of age at the start of the test. Midges in second or third instar larvae were preferred, but midge tests starting at fourth instar were accepted. Although the starting age for all other organisms has not been specified, juvenile stages were preferred whenever they were available (unless another life stage is more sensitive to the chemical). All organisms should receive a 96-hour exposure period, except daphnids and midges, where 48 hours is the standard exposure period. The endpoint for daphnids and midges is the EC_{50} for immobilization. If this is not available, LC_{50}

1985-10-03-00000-4185

is used. For fish, the preferred endpoint is the EC50 for loss of equilibrium, immobilization, and/o mortality. If those are not available, LC50 is used.

In chronic tests, the starting age, exposure duration, and endpoints may be different for daphnids and fish and for salmonids and non-salmonids. Daphnids must be less than 24 hours old at the start of the test, and the test should last at least 21 days. Endpoints are based on mortality and number of young per female.

For a given fish species, preference is given to the following types of chronic tests in the order as follows: full life cycle, partial life cycle, and early life stage. The less desirable chronic test is not included in the calculation if a more desirable type is available.

B.1.4 Variation of Acute Values within the same genus

If the acute values within a species or among species in a genus differ by a factor of 10 or more, the higher values were excluded, and those that are within the factor of 10 range were used to attain a conservative estimate. If the acute concentrations of a given species differ by a factor of two or more among different life stages, the more sensitive life stage is used to protect the organisms in all life stages.

B.1.5 ACR Considerations

If the acute chronic ratios (ACRs) for a chemical differ by more than a factor of 10, the tests were carefully examined to determine whether outliers should be rejected. ACRs from saltwater species should be used along with the freshwater ACRs when less than three ACRs from freshwater species are available. If the lowest GMAV is from larvae of barnacles, bivalves, lobsters, crabs, shrimp, or abalones, the secondary acute chronic ratio (SACR) is assumed to be 2. If an ACR is less than 2, acclimation may have occurred. The ACR is then set to 2.

Preference was given to acute and chronic tests done in the same study. If these are not available, an acute value with water characteristics similar to the chronic value was used. If values from similar water are not available, the GMAV of the species is used with the chronic value to derive an ACR. If multiple chronic values for a species are available but none are part of the same study as an acute test, the geometric mean of the chronic values was calculated and used with the GMAV to derive an ACR for that species.

B.1.6 Acceptable exposure types and life stage used to derive ACR

For daphnids, renewal is required for chronic tests; while for acute tests, static exposure is acceptable. All chronic test concentrations should be measured. The life stage of daphnids has to be 24 hours or younger at the start of both acute and chronic tests.

For fish, both acute and chronic tests require flow-through measured tests. For acute fish tests, the life stage of the organism should be juvenile.

B.1.7 Other considerations

Concentrations above the solubility of chemicals and "greater than" values were used only when at least one definitive concentration was available.

0-11-80-000000-01111

Table B.1. Factors for estimation of the Tier II values (EPA 1993 and Stephan 1991)

Number of GMAVs*	Factor for data sets that include an acute value for a daphnid ^b	Factors for data sets that do not include an acute value for a daphnid ^b
1	20.5	242
2	13.2	64.8
3	8.6	36.2
4	6.5	20.1
5	5.0	12.9
6	4.0	9.2
7	3.6	7.2

* GMAV is Geometric Mean Acute Value

^b Daphnids includes members of the genera *Daphnia*, *Ceriodaphnia*, and *Simoccephalus*.

13-FR-00000000-0000

Appendix C

TABLE SHOWING CONCENTRATIONS ESTIMATED TO CAUSE
A 20% REDUCTION IN THE RECRUIT ABUNDANCE OF
LARGEMOUTH BASS, WITH UPPER AND LOWER 95%
CONFIDENCE BOUNDS

Table C.1. Concentrations estimated to cause a 20% reduction in the recruit abundance of largemouth bass, with upper and lower 95% confidence bounds. All units are ug/L.

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
Ammonia	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.98	100	1585	Mayers et al. 1986
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.98	32	200	Thurston et al. 1986
Antimony	Fathead minnow	Acute	5.01	79	501	EPA 1980b
Arsenic III	Fathead minnow	Chronic	100	1995	31623	Cañ et al. 1983
Arsenic V	Fathead minnow	Acute	20	159	1000	EPA 1985a
	Rainbow trout	Acute	10	100	501	EPA 1985a
	Mosquitofish	Acute	32	398	2512	EPA 1985a
Beryllium	Fathead minnow	Acute	0.35	7.08	40	EPA 1980f
	Bluegill	Acute	2.00	32	126	EPA 1980f
	Hagfish	Acute	2.00	32	126	EPA 1980f
	Guppy	Acute	1.58	25	126	EPA 1980f
Cadmium	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1	10	79	Pickering and Gax 1972
	Bluegill	Chronic	1.99	13	50	Faxon 1974
	Brook trout	Chronic	0.13	1.26	6.31	Benoit et al. 1976
	Brook trout	Chronic	0.32	3.16	23	Sauter et al. 1976
	Flagfish	Chronic	0.63	3.98	50	Carlson et al. 1982
	Flagfish	Chronic	0.79	3.16	7.94	Spehar 1976
Chromium III	Rainbow trout	Chronic	13	126	1000	Stevens and Chapman 1984
Chromium VI	Fathead minnow	Chronic	25	158	1260	Pickering 1980
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	100	631	5010	Sauter et al. 1976
Cobalt	Fathead minnow	Acute	0.16	3.98	32	Lind et al. 1978
Copper	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.40	3.98	40	Mound 1968
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	3.16	16	Mound and Stephan 1969
	Bluegill	Chronic	1.995	13	50	Benoit 1975
	Brook trout	Chronic	1	3.98	16	McKim and Benoit 1971
	Brook trout	Chronic	1	5.01	40	Sauter et al. 1976
	Coho salmon	Chronic	3.16	32	316	Hazel and Meth 1970

Table C.1. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.16	40	316	Brungs 1969
	Coho salmon	Chronic	16	126	794	Finlayson and Verreze 1980
	Flagfish	Chronic	6.31	32	126	Spehar 1976
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	40	501	5010	Sinley et al 1974
Zirconium	Fathead minnow	Acute	3.16	50	316	Cushman et al 1977
	Fathead minnow	Acute	3.16	50	398	Cushman et al 1977
	Fathead minnow	Acute	32	398	3160	Cushman et al 1977
	Fathead minnow	Acute	63	794	6310	Cushman et al 1977
	Bluegill	Acute	10	126	501	Cushman et al 1977
	Bluegill	Acute	200	2510	15800	Cushman et al 1977
Organics						
AC 222,705	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.0006	0.01	0.063	Spehar et al 1983
Acetone	Fathead minnow	Acute	1780	19200	25100	AQTRF*
	Bluegill	Acute	3160	50100	501000	AQTRF*
	Rainbow trout	Acute	1260	31600	316000	AQTRF*
	Mosquitofish	Acute	631	10000	100000	AQTRF*
AG thiosulfate complex	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1000	10000	79430	LeBlanc et al 1984
Allicarb	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.16	50	631	Pickering and Gilman 1982
Atrazine	Brock trout	Chronic	100	1000	12600	Mack et al 1976b
Benzene	Fathead minnow	Acute	10	100	794	EPA 1980J
	Bluegill	Acute	13	158	1000	EPA 1980J
	Rainbow trout	Acute	3.16	43	316	EPA 1980J
	Mosquitofish	Acute	200	3160	25100	EPA 1980J
	Guppy	Acute	32	316	1580	EPA 1980J
Benzidene	Rainbow trout	Acute	5.01	63	398	EPA 1980c
	Lake trout	Acute	3.16	43	251	EPA 1980c
	Flagfish	Acute	10	126	794	EPA 1980c
Benzoic acid	Mosquitofish	Acute	126	1260	10000	AQTRF*
Benzyl alcohol	Fathead minnow	Acute	16	1780	12600	AQTRF*
	Bluegill	Acute	6.31	79	398	AQTRF*
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Rainbow trout	Acute	1000	7940		AQTRF*
	Largemouth bass	Acute	25	316	1580	AQTRF*

Table C.1. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
2-Buanoec	Channel catfish	Acute	0.006	0.40	6.31	AQUIRE*
	Fathead minnow	Acute	501	10000	100000	AQUIRE*
	Mosquitofish	Acute	1920	31600	395000	AQUIRE*
Cyflun	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	3.16	20	Hermanutz et al. 1973
Carbaryl	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.16	32	1060	Carlson 1971
Carbon disulfide	Mosquitofish	Acute	100	1000	7940	AQUIRE*
Carbon tetrachloride	Fathead minnow	Acute	11	126	1260	EPA 1980b
	Bluegill	Acute	40	398	3160	EPA 1980c
Chloramine	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	3.16	13	Arthur and Falcon 1971
Chlordane	Bluegill	Chronic	0.13	0.40	2.51	Cardwell et al. 1977
	Brook trout	Chronic	0.20	1.26	7.94	Cardwell et al. 1977
Chlorobenzene	Fathead minnow	Acute	11	112	794	EPA 1980j
	Bluegill	Acute	10	126	1000	EPA 1980j
	Guppy	Acute	32	316	2310	EPA 1980j
Chloroform	Bluegill	Acute	79	794	5010	EPA 1980i
	Rainbow trout	Acute	40	398	3160	EPA 1980i
p,p'DDD	Fathead minnow	Acute	0.79	13	100	AQUIRE*
	Bluegill	Acute	0.002	0.1	1	AQUIRE*
	Rainbow trout	Acute	0.02	0.40	3.16	AQUIRE*
	Largemouth bass	Acute	0.003	0.13	1	AQUIRE*
	Channel catfish	Acute	0.025	1.26	16	AQUIRE*
2,4-D Butyltinol ester	Coho salmon	Chronic	10	100	1260	Fridlyson and Verme 1985
Diazinon	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	3.16	25	Alison and Hermann 1977
	Flugfish	Chronic	10	50	316	Alison and Hermann 1977
Di-n-butylphthalate	Fathead minnow	Chronic	13	251	3980	McCarty and Whelan 1985
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	1260	12600	50100	Van Loon and Mars 1985
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	316	1585	15849	Almad et al. 1984

Table C.I. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	32	398	6310	Ahmad et al. 1984
1,1-Dichloroethane	Guppy	Acute	158	1580	12600	AQUIRE [®]
1,2-Dichloroethane	Fathead minnow Bluegill	Acute	40	398	3160	EPA 1980a
		Acute	316	3980	31600	EPA 1980a
1,1-Dichloroethene	Fathead minnow Bluegill	Acute	40	398	3160	EPA 1980a
		Acute	50	501	3980	EPA 1980a
2,4-Dichlorophenol	Fathead minnow	Chronic	32	200	1580	Hokcombe et al. 1982
1,2-Dichloropropane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	398	3980	39800	Benoit et al. 1982
1,3-Dichloropropane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	501	5010	50100	Benoit et al. 1982
1,3-Dichloropropene	Bluegill	Acute	3.16	40	251	EPA 1980a
Diethyl phthalate	Bluegill	Acute	79	1000	6310	AQUIRE [®]
2,4-Dimethylphenol	Fathead minnow	Chronic	100	1260	19200	Hokcombe et al. 1982
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	0.004	0.04	0.40	Ward and Boeri 1991b
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	Rainbow trout	Chronic	100	1000	7940	Van Der Schalie 1983
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	63	794	6310	Van Der Schalie 1983
Dinoseb	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.13	3.16	40	Call et al. 1983
Di-n-octyl phthalate	Fathead minnow	Chronic	200	1990	39800	McCarthy and Whitmore 1985
Diuron	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1	16	158	Call et al. 1983
Dursban	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.002	0.032	0.20	Jarvinen et al. 1983
DNBP	Rainbow trout	Chronic	0.00004	0.0004	0.005	Ward and Boeri 1991a
Endrin	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.005	0.13	1.58	Carlson et al. 1982
Endosulfan	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.002	0.016	0.13	Mack et al. 1976b
Ethyl benzene	Fathead minnow	Acute	10	158	1000	EPA 1980p
	Bluegill	Acute	50	501	3980	EPA 1980p
	Guppy	Acute	79	794	5010	EPA 1980p
Fenitrothion	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.1	126	1990	Kleiner et al. 1984

Table C.1. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
Fluoranthene	Bluegill	Acute	200	32	126	EPA 1980q
Fluridone	Fathead minnow	Chronic	32	398	10000	Hamelink et al. 1985
Fosfos	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.16	20	158	Pickering and Gilman 1982
Guthion	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.013	0.13	3.98	Adelman et al. 1976
Heptachlor	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.01	0.1	0.63	Macek et al. 1976b
Hexachlorobutadiene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	3.98	63	Benoit et al. 1982
Hexachlorocyclohexane (lindane)	Bluegill Macek et al. 1976a	Chronic	0.16	1	6.31	
Hexachloroethane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.98	100	1580	Ahmad et al. 1984
2-Hexanone	Fathead minnow	Acute	100	1260	12600	AQUIRE ^a
Ketihane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	7.94	100	Spehar et al. 1982
LAS 11.2	Fathead minnow	Chronic	126	1580	25100	Holman et al. 1980
LAS 11.7	Fathead minnow	Chronic	13	200	2510	Holman et al. 1980
LAS Mixture	Fathead minnow	Chronic	20	316	1580	Pickering and Thatcher 1970
Malathion	Flagfish	Chronic	3.162	20	126	Hermanutz 1978
1-Methylnaphthalene	Fathead minnow	Acute	1.78	31.62	200	AQUIRE ^a
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	Fathead minnow	Acute	141	1580	15800	AQUIRE ^a
2-Methylphenol	Fathead minnow Bluegill Rainbow trout	Acute Acute Acute	3.98 13 6.31	49 126 79	398 1000 398	AQUIRE ^a AQUIRE ^a AQUIRE ^a
Methylene chloride	Fathead minnow Bluegill	Acute Acute	63.10 158	1000 1580	10000 12600	AQUIRE ^a AQUIRE ^a
Naphthalene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	73	1000	12600	DeGraeve et al. 1982
4-Nitrophenol	Fathead minnow Bluegill Rainbow trout	Acute Acute Acute	13 3.98 6.31	159 50 79	1260 316 398	AQUIRE ^a AQUIRE ^a AQUIRE ^a

Table C.1. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
	Channel catfish	Acute	0.79	20	200	AQUIRE*
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	Bluegill	Acute	3.16	49	251	AQUIRE*
PCBs: Aroclor 1221	Cutthroat trout	Acute	0.63	10	50	AQUIRE*
PCBs: Aroclor 1232	Cutthroat trout	Acute	1.26	16	126	AQUIRE*
PCBs: Aroclor 1242	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.13	1.58	49	Nebeker et al. 1974
PCBs: Aroclor 1243	Flagfish	Chronic	0.13	1.26	7.94	Nebeker et al. 1974
PCBs: Aroclor 1254	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.1	0.63	7.94	Nebeker et al. 1974
PCBs: Aroclor 1260	Cutthroat trout	Acute	32	316	2510	AQUIRE*
Pentachloroethane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	100	1260	19900	Almad et al. 1984
Pentachlorophenol	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1.58	32	501	Holcombe et al. 1982
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.32	1.99	20	Spehar et al. 1985
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.63	6.31	63	Spehar et al. 1985
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1.26	13	126	Spehar et al. 1985
	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1.99	16	158	Spehar et al. 1985
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	1.58	10	50	Duminguet and Chapman 1984
1-Pentanol	Bluegill	Acute	398	5010	39800	AQUIRE*
	Rainbow trout	Acute	200	2510	19900	AQUIRE*
Permethrin	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.02	0.49	5.01	Spehar et al. 1983
Phenol	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1000	12600	199000	Degraeve et al. 1980
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	126	1580	15800	Degraeve et al. 1980
Propanil	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.01	0.1	0.63	Call et al. 1983
2-Propanol	Fathead minnow	Acute	200	3160	31600	AQUIRE*
Pyridin	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.006	0.13	1.58	Spehar et al. 1982
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	251	1580	50100	Almad et al. 1984
Tetrachloroethene	Fathead minnow	Acute	3.16	50	398	EPA 1980aa
	Bluegill	Acute	10	100	501	EPA 1980aa
	Rainbow trout	Acute	3.16	49	316	EPA 1980aa

Table C.1. (continued)

Chemical	Test Species	Test Type	Lower 95% CL	Median	Upper 95% CL	Source
Tetrahydrothylene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	40	1000	12600	Almud et al. 1984
Toluene	Fathead minnow	Acute	10	126	1000	EPA 1980c
	Bluegill	Acute	13	126	1000	EPA 1980c
	Guppy	Acute	50	501	3160	EPA 1980c
Toluene	Brook trout	Chronic	0.01	0.063	0.40	Mayer et al. 1975
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Fathead minnow	Acute	18	200	1580	AQUIRE*
	Bluegill	Acute	32	316	1580	AQUIRE*
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Fathead minnow	Chronic	1000	15800	251000	Almud et al. 1984
Trichloroethene	Fathead minnow	Acute	13	158	1260	EPA 1980d
	Flgfish	Acute	20	251	1260	Smith et al. 1991
	Bluegill	Acute	32	316	1990	EPA 1980d
2-Trifluoromethyl-4-phenol	Brook trout	Chronic	100	501	3160	Day et al. 1978
Trifluorin	Fathead minnow	Chronic	0.063	0.63	7.94	Mack et al. 1976b
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	Fathead minnow	Chronic	3.16	50	631	Van der Schalie 1983
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	13	158	1580	Van der Schalie 1983
	Rainbow trout	Chronic	13	158	1580	Van der Schalie 1983
Vinyl acetate	Fathead minnow	Acute	3.16	40	398	AQUIRE*
	Bluegill	Acute	10	126	794	AQUIRE*
	Guppy	Acute	25	251	1530	AQUIRE*

*EPA (a d)