INTRODUCTION

The Pajarito Plateau, located on the eastern slopes of the Jemez Mountains, is the subject of numerous ongoing subsurface flow and transport studies that investigate the natural character of the regional groundwater systems. Studies to protect and monitor groundwater quality began at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) in 1949. Beginning in 1998, site characterization work was expanded to include installation of more than 25 regional and intermediate-zone wells. Data from these new wells were combined with existing data to create a geologic framework that provides better control on the computational meshes used for flow and transport modeling studies. Ongoing investigations continue to collect stratigraphic, lithologic and geophysical data; identify and characterize perched water zones; collect water quality and water-level data; collect moisture and contaminant profiles through the vadose zone; perform in-situ hydrogeologic tests in wells; and characterize the mineralogy and geochemistry of key hydrogeologic units (Broxton and Vaniman, 2005). A synopsis of these studies, presented in LANL (2005), describes representative conceptual and computational hydrogeologic models that are consistent with available data. A key goal of the conceptual and computational hydrogeologic models is to develop a better understanding of how contaminants released during Laboratory operations are transported through the thick unsaturated zone to the regional aquifer (VZJ, 2005). The results of the monitoring and modeling activities are being used to evaluate ground water travel times and model predictions. Computational meshes generally evolve from relatively simple large regions, to smaller focus areas with added detail and resolution that often provide insightful feedback to regional scale models. The modeling process involves the integration of a site conceptual model, characterization data, a computational mesh, computational hydrogeologic model, and evaluation of results. The process is usually iterative, allowing the conceptual model, computational mesh, and the computational hydrogeologic model to be adjusted at any stage of the process in response to evolving conceptual models, new data, or changing program requirements.

In this paper, we describe two computer codes developed at LANL. These codes work together to provide the needed flexibility, consistency, and sophistication to allow simulation of a variety of subsurface flow and transport processes at various spatial scales and levels of complexity. The first computer code, LaGriT (LaGriT, 2007), is used to create computational meshes that are used by the second computer code, FEHM (Finite Element Heat and Mass transfer). FEHM is a porous flow and transport simulator that allows simulations in both the vadose zone and saturated zone (Zyvoloski et al., 1997). FEHM solves an extensive suite of equations for complex multiphase flow and reactive transport in porous and fractured media, and is able to incorporate complex hydrostratigraphy. The LaGriT grid generation software is an integrated package for all the mesh generation and setup for FEHM input and output, including import of geologic frameworks, mesh optimization and refinement, post processing, evaluation tools, and data interpolation. LaGriT is also used to generate initial and boundary conditions for FEHM simulations that are mapped directly onto the computational mesh. At any step in the process, greater numerical resolution can be added to a particular subregion, new boundary conditions can be prescribed, or new attributes can be incorporated. This approach insures that the steps taken are reproducible and traceable, and that modifications to any step in the process can be accomplished quickly. The main purpose of this paper is to describe how computational
hydrogeologic models are built from computational meshes that are based on geologic frameworks.

INTEGRATED MESHING AND MODELING OVERVIEW

The geologic units of the Pajarito Plateau are the basis for any geologic frameworks that are created. Different geologic frameworks can be created from the same geologic database depending on the conceptual model chosen to fill in areas where no geologic data are available. Geologic frameworks serve as a basis for defining the distributions of hydrogeologic properties in hydrogeologic frameworks. In cases where geologic units have similar hydrogeologic properties, two or more geologic units are combined into a single hydrostratigraphic unit. Hydrogeologic frameworks are updated as new geologic and hydrogeologic measurements become available. Broxton & Vaniman (2005, Fig. 4) gave an example of a recent geologic to hydrogeologic correlation that is used in many LANL flow and transport simulations.

The geology of the chosen framework is mapped onto the computational mesh using interpolation algorithms in LaGriT. The geologic framework consists of a three-dimensional set of volumes representing the geologic structure as discrete hydrostratigraphic units. The interpolation algorithms determine in which volume each mesh node is located, and then assign a number associated with that geologic unit. Different frameworks use various strategies to represent these volumes and LaGriT has been written to import these in various formats; such as horizon surfaces from StrataWorks (Halliburton, 2007), Environmental Systems Research Institute’s (ESRI, 2005) products, and three-surface from StrataWorks (Halliburton, 2007), Environmental Systems Research Institute’s (ESRI, 2005) products, and three-dimensional volumetric models such as EarthVision (Dynamic Graphics, 2005).

The computational mesh is designed to capture the essential features of the geologic framework. The mesh design is typically a tradeoff between mesh size (number of nodes and elements) and the level of detail represented by the mesh. A mesh with more nodes will capture more detail but will require more CPU time and computer memory. Computer memory limits on our most advanced systems currently limit analysis to meshes with less than 1 million nodes. A mesh with fewer nodes uses less CPU time, but geometric details may be lost. The LaGriT software allows many different methods of node distribution in order to find a distribution that yields a mesh with the desired level of geologic detail. Geologic units with large volumes or regions that are far from the area of interest are captured with larger mesh spacing, while thin or highly angled units of the framework are delineated with finer mesh spacing. Other considerations in representing the geology with a computational mesh include geometries that lack symmetry, have a wide range of length scales, or may contain very thin layers that must be preserved as continuous layers.

Meshe are also restricted by the numerical constraints imposed by the physics used in the simulation codes. Some codes can solve problems only on orthogonal, uniformly spaced meshes (also called regular structured meshes). Others use meshes with special adaptive refinement strategies that reduce mesh spacing to resolve complex geometries (usually unstructured meshes). Both low-resolution and high-resolution meshes may represent geologic interfaces well. However, a high-resolution mesh may be required to resolve concentration gradients when solving contaminant transport problems because smaller elements can more accurately represent steep gradients. By ensuring that constraints such as a positive definite coefficient matrix of geometric area coefficients are satisfied, LaGriT maintains compatibility with FEHM and other finite-volume flow and transport codes.

The final step of our modeling approach involves the setup of the computational mesh and its properties for use in simulating subsurface processes. Because LaGriT has evolved in tandem with FEHM, it writes many of the mesh description files in formats compatible with FEHM. Using the final mesh definitions, LaGriT writes input files for FEHM that provide the mesh geometry and topology, the geologic properties of the mesh, nodal volumes, boundary lists, and other mesh-based information needed to set up a given simulation.

MORTANDAD CANYON AND TA-16 CASE STUDIES

Introduction

Mortandad Canyon has been the subject of numerous monitoring and modeling studies over the past several decades. Since the 1950s, LANL contaminants have been released to the canyon with treated effluent from liquid waste treatment facilities. In addition, a power plant releasing a chromium-based corrosion inhibitor into Sandia Canyon from 1956 to 1972 is thought to be the primary source for chromium contamination detected in the regional aquifer (LANL, 2006). The goal of the numerical models presented here (Fig. 1) is to determine the principal pathways of contaminant transport below the ground surface. These pathways are controlled by the canyon-floor alluvial aquifer, a thick vadose zone (which includes intermediate perched zones of water saturation), and the regional aquifer (Broxton and Vaniman, 2005; Birdsell et al., 2005). The Mortandad Canyon model was recently expanded to include Sandia and Los Alamos Canyons to identify potential pathways of chromium contamination detected in monitoring wells R-28 in Mortandad Canyon and R-11 in lower Sandia Canyon.

TA-16 is located near the southwestern LANL boundary. This site is near an area where a predominant portion of regional aquifer recharge occurs along the flanks of the Sierra de los Valles. The numerical model for this site represents the vadose zone and the regional aquifer. Contaminants have been detected in alluvial ground water and in a deep intermediate perched zone (at well R-25). Though this model domain is confined to the immediate vicinity of TA-16, the small-scale model is coupled with the larger LANL site-scale regional aquifer model. The primary objective is to identify potential pathways of contaminant transport in the subsurface. The primary contaminants of concern are high explosives.

Geologic framework

Available geologic frameworks for the model region are the first consideration for constructing a mesh. The geologic frame-
work used in LANL studies (LANL, 2005) was built using a StrataModel volumetric model (Carey et al., 1999). The most recent geologic framework represents a major upgrade that provides a fresh analysis of the entire geologic database and contains new data, including 39 new wells for the deeper units. The geologic conceptual model is revised so that the younger Tschicoma Formation extends farther east and deeper under the central portion of LANL and may abut younger Cerros del Rio basalts in the central portion of the laboratory. The main portion of the pumiceous Puye Formation is now considered separate from the Puye fanglomerates through which well R-25 passes. This latest version of the geologic framework is available as high-resolution ArcInfo elevation grids, but is too large to capture within a single high-resolution volumetric format (Cole et al., 2006).

Mortandad Canyon geologic framework

The LANL EarthVision framework for the Mortandad Canyon area is generated from the ArcInfo set of surfaces (Cole et al., 2006). It was chosen for this study because it includes the neighboring Sandia and Los Alamos canyons, and it provides consistency with other LANL studies using the geologic framework. EarthVision also provides an efficient algorithm for mapping geologic units onto the computational mesh.

TA-16 geologic framework

Current investigations at TA-16 use the updated geologic framework for the Pajarito Plateau (Cole et al., 2006). This framework is captured by the computational mesh and is propagated through the numerical simulations. The easy-to-use EarthVision format is not available for the area of TA-16, so the full set of ArcInfo surfaces for the Pajarito Plateau are used instead.

Construction of computational mesh

Mortandad Canyon computational mesh

The dimensions of the computational mesh needed for flow and transport simulations at a given site depend on understanding the relationships between hydrogeology, contaminant release history, and site specific details such as locations of monitoring wells
and water supply wells. Also important are lessons learned from simulations of other local sites. The process is iterative, and for the Mortandad Canyon study, simulations using an initial computational mesh were used to investigate contaminants released into Mortandad Canyon. However, due to interest in chromium contaminant source locations found outside of Mortandad Canyon, the mesh was expanded north to include Sandia Canyon and Los Alamos Canyon.

In the simulations of chromium migration, flow paths are primarily focused along Mortandad Canyon and the lower half of Sandia Canyon. The lateral extent of the computational mesh was chosen to remain within the EarthVision geologic framework, while including important data locations such as monitoring well LAOI(A)-1.1 to the north in Los Alamos Canyon and water supply well PM-1 to the east near NM-4 in Sandia Canyon. The resulting computational mesh extends from UTM NAD83 coordinates 495000, 537750 meters at the lower left corner to 503000, 542000 meters at the upper right corner in the New Mexico State coordinate system. The mesh bottom is truncated at the bottom by a horizontal surface with elevation of 1124 m and at the top by the ground surface with a maximum elevation of 2324 m.

Because of size limitations, the edges of the mesh use a low resolution that telescopes inward and parallel to the canyons towards the primary areas of interest - the confluence of Mortandad and Ten Site Canyons and a portion of Sandia Canyon (Fig. 1). Field studies investigated potential contaminant pathways and have identified the confluence of Mortandad and Ten Site canyons as a potential entry point of the contaminants in the subsurface (LANL, 2006). A close-up view of the mesh (Fig. 1) shows the final spacing and selected well locations in the vicinity of the canyon confluence. The outside perimeter of the mesh has 250 m horizontal spacing; the node spacing decreases inward toward Mortandad Canyon to 125 m and 62.5 m. The primary region of interest around Sandia and Mortandad Canyons are refined to 62.5 m and 31.25 m spacing. The canyon bottoms surrounding the confluence of Mortandad and Ten Site Canyons have the highest resolution with 15.62 m spacing. The vertical spacing within the mesh reduces in the same manner starting with 200 m at the perimeter and decreasing by halves to the high-resolution region with 12.5 m vertical spacing.

The mesh refinement is performed with LaGriT using the octree mesh refinement (OMR) algorithm (Trease et al., 1996). The octree method maintains the orthogonal orientation of mesh elements necessary for FEHM particle tracking simulations by bisecting hexahedral elements along three orthogonal planes. The result is a single element that is broken into eight equal-sized hexahedral elements. This simple mesh-refinement strategy provides sufficient resolution and mesh size to model a variety of scenarios to understand and define future modeling activities. The simple, structured mesh is a trade-off with a more complicated, unstructured mesh refinement that can better resolve complex geologic shapes and possible effects of nonaligned flow fields in some numerical models (Douglas et al., 2001).

As models are evaluated, the mesh can be modified to suit specific studies. For example, some proposed studies involve the movement of intermediate perched groundwater that is often observed beneath wet canyons within the Puye Formation and the Cerros del Rio basalt (Robinson et al., 2005; Birdsell et al., 2005). Resolving details of the locations of such perched groundwater can be improved by refining the mesh along the interfaces and within the Puye Formation and Cerros del Rio basalt. The region outside these areas of interest can have coarse spacing or be removed to enable a greater number of nodes to capture thin perching layers. Through careful mesh-refinement strategies, the computational mesh can capture perched groundwater thicknesses to approximately 1 m.

After a mesh with a chosen spacing has been created, the geologic framework is mapped onto the mesh nodes. In the Mortandad Canyon study, mesh nodes were imported into the EarthVision geologic framework and each point was located within an EarthVision volume representing the shape of geologic units. EarthVision defines these volumes internally as intersecting surfaces that define the bottom and top for each volume. For places where a lava flow is intercalated with a sedimentary sequence, the sedimentary unit is divided to accommodate the lava flow. The number of geometric volumes is therefore greater than the total number of geologic units that are represented. The final computational mesh contains approximately 6.1 x 10^5 mesh nodes.

EarthVision allows three-dimensional viewing of the geologic units, thus enabling comparisons of the resulting mesh geology to the source geologic framework. For example, during inspection of the mesh representation of hydrostratigraphic units, the Tschicoma unit north of the focus area was truncated due to large mesh spacing. To remedy this, the regions selected for refinement were extended farther west to better capture a continuous unit and to maintain the rounded lobe shape of this formation, which was deemed to be a potentially important hydrogeologic control. Figure 2 shows the Tschicoma mesh elements as captured by the first attempt (a, b) and the improved spacing choices (c, d). In this way, the mesh is checked and corrected to insure all relevant units are represented comparable to the source geologic framework.

**TA-16 numerical mesh**

The TA-16 flow and transport simulations use a subset of the LANL site-scale regional aquifer model mesh as described in LANL (2005, Appendix 4-D). To simplify modeling, it is desirable that the lateral boundaries of the TA-16 mesh be coincident with element boundaries in the LANL site-scale regional mesh (Keating et al., 2003). This simplifies the task of interpolating quantities (head, flux) from the site-scale flow and transport calculations onto the boundaries of the TA-16 model and makes it easier to embed the high resolution TA-16 mesh into the coarser site-scale mesh. Owing to issues related to numerical accuracy and constraints in the FEHM particle tracking algorithms, the horizontal resolution of the site-scale mesh is used; however, the vertical spacing of nodes is modified to a structured mesh arrangement at elevations within the expected particle path zone. The particle tracking area consists of mesh spacing 62.5 m wide and 6.25 m high from an elevation 1575 to 1900 m. The resulting
orthogonal mesh is not valid for particle tracking everywhere, but it is valid for particle tracking within the volume where particles are transported.

For TA-16, mapping of the geologic framework onto this mesh is more complicated than for the Mortandad Canyon studies using the EarthVision framework. The Pajarito Plateau geologic framework used for this study consists of 58 top and bottom surfaces of 50 ft resolution. Each pair of surfaces is combined, resulting in 16 stratigraphic layers and 12 flow bodies internal to a stratigraphic layer (Cole et al., 2006). To locate a mesh node relative to these framework surfaces, the top and bottom surfaces for each geologic unit need to be stacked and connected into volumes. The result is a set of volumes formed by the stratigraphic layers and internal flow bodies. Consistency of the geologic framework is maintained by searching each stratigraphic volume in order from bottom to top, followed by a search through the internal flow bodies from bottom to top. In this manner each node in the computational mesh is mapped to a unique hydrostratigraphic unit.

The resulting mesh with assigned geologic properties is evaluated to ensure that the geometry represented by the hydrostratigraphic units in the computational mesh is consistent with the geologic framework. Evaluation of the ArcInfo geologic framework with a three-dimensional viewer is not possible, so the mesh is compared to structural contour maps as documented in Cole et al. (2006). For example, a vertical column of mesh nodes is selected nearest the location of borehole R-25 (Fig. 3). The
Mesh node identified as the top of the pumiceous Puye unit is at elevation 1718 m, whereas the geologic framework report gives the top as 1720 m. This 2-meter difference is within the vertical mesh spacing of 6.25 m. The mesh units are also checked against well data used to build the geologic framework. The borehole depth data reported by Cole et al. (2006, table C-2) indicate a pumiceous Puye thickness of 21.95 m that compares well with the mesh thickness for this unit of 25 m. These comparisons show that the computational mesh has sufficient resolution to capture the geologic units described by the geologic framework.

In the final step the mesh is truncated above the water table, removing all geologic units located above the Puye Formation and reducing the mesh size to approximately $2.4 \times 10^7$ nodes.

**Setup for process model**

**Mortandad Canyon setup**

Once the computational mesh is evaluated, various other characteristics are extracted to aid in setting initial conditions, boundary conditions, material properties, and other model attributes. For example, the nodes along each of the four sides, the bottom nodes, and nodes along the top of the mesh are identified and written as separate lists; these are imported into FEHM and used to set boundary conditions. The nodes for each geologic unit are written to lists that FEHM imports and uses to set hydrogeologic properties. The computational mesh geometry and topology are written in files used by FEHM. As a last step before the simulations can be run, features such as wells are mapped to corresponding mesh nodes. Each computational mesh is thoroughly documented to ensure that all data are accurate and that the mesh can be recreated in the future.

**TA-16 setup**

In addition to the normal FEHM setup files that include all mesh and geologic definitions, the mesh nodes closest to well screens are located and written to files, together with their associated node number, material property, and coordinate location so that model results can be compared to field data collected at observation wells. These mesh nodes are found by giving each well screen a radial volume and using the LaGriT interpolation routines to find a mesh node located inside each screen volume.

![FIGURE 3. The TA-16 computational mesh truncated at the water table and colored by the geologic model units from Cole et al. (2006). a) first version mesh with a single level of refinement throughout the mesh resulting in 240,005 nodes. b) mesh with selected areas of high resolution based on plume results and the location of well R-25. The total number of mesh nodes is 563,937.]
For mesh spacing that fails to find a node within a screen, the nearest vertical node is used.

Interpolation is also used to map hydraulic head data onto the mesh nodes. This study uses two alternative interpretations of water table surfaces based on existing water-level data. Lateral hydraulic gradients at the water table in the vicinity of TA-16 are relatively high when compared to those beneath the rest of LANL. In particular, hydraulic head data from the well screens at R-25 can be interpreted in different ways to define the elevation of the regional water table (Broxton et al., 2002). For each of these interpretations, and for each x, y location in the mesh, head values are interpolated linearly onto the mesh nodes.

Flow and transport simulations using this first version computational mesh were used to target areas for further refinement. By confining the volume of increased resolution to chosen wells within observed plume boundaries, the mesh size is kept within a desired number of nodes, but it is detailed enough to accurately represent well screen positions. Figure 3a shows the original low resolution mesh while Figure 3b shows the high resolution mesh with refinement within the zone of the particle paths and observed contaminant data. The high-resolution mesh (Fig. 3b) begins with the same 125 m spacing as the first mesh. A box-shaped region just outside the plume area is refined laterally to 62.5 m, then a large region where potential contaminant plumes might occur in the regional aquifer is identified and refined to have the smallest spacing at 31.25 x 6.25 m. A smaller plume region is refined again to 15.62 x 3.12 m. Finally, the area immediately surrounding well R-25 is selected for refinements down to 1.953 x 0.39 m spacing. (Fig. 3, well R-25 detail). Through careful selection of coarse and fine resolution spacing the mesh size is kept at a reasonable size of approximately 5.6 x 10^5 nodes with sufficient detail to accurately model plume growth within the area of interest.

SUMMARY

Mortandad Canyon discussion

The flow and transport simulations at this site will help to identify regions of likely chromium transport pathways within the large-scale Mortandad Canyon domain (Fig. 1) to be refined while other regions may be represented adequately with coarse spacing. This will allow further refinement confined to the chosen volume without increasing the mesh size. Choices for higher-resolution regions may include the alluvial volume along the canyon bottoms and intermediate perched zones within the Puye Formation and the underlying Cerros del Rio basalt. The mesh refinement to represent perched conditions can be used to investigate three-dimensional transient flow conditions to determine the nature of perched water bodies beneath the canyon. Though perched systems less than 1 m thick are difficult to capture explicitly in large-scale models, mesh refinement used in conjunction with a numerical approach available in FEHM that defines a permeability reduction factor along the interface at a perching layer (Robinson et al., 2005) makes such studies feasible.

TA-16 results

Refinement in the region where potential contaminant plumes might occur in the regional aquifer near R-25 is generated for both interpretations of the water table. As expected, resolution near wells is adequate for studies encompassing large regions, but for near-field studies finer resolution near the modeled wells will allow a better representation of aquifer heterogeneity and more realistic simulations of the groundwater velocity field (Vesselinov et al., 2002).

The refined numerical mesh gives much improved resolution in the vicinity of the boreholes, and this will improve the ability of simulations to match data from these wells. The results will give insights into differences in simulation results obtained between using the mesh to capture geometry, and using new FEHM codes that simulate embedded wells without changes to the computational mesh (Pawar and Zyvoloski, 2006).

Continued improvement of geologic frameworks and associated computational meshes will lead to better computational hydrogeologic models. Better computational hydrogeologic models further increase the understanding of contaminant migration in the subsurface of the Pajarito Plateau. This iterative process depends on continued interaction between the field geologist, geologic framework modelers, hydrogeologists, mesh specialists and numerical modelers.
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