

16044 Permit  
9-7-90

CONFIDENTIAL  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE  
DO NOT RELEASE  
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

FACT SHEET  
PERMIT APPEAL RESOLUTION

- o DOE proposes to drop LANL HSWA permit appeal if the modification language in its September 7, 1990, letter is added to the permit.
- o The NMEID portion of the permit has been appealed by DOE for similar provisions dealing with radionuclide monitoring.
- o NMEID has indicated that the compromise language in DOE's September 7, 1990 letter is unacceptable because:
  - 1) They do not consider the language to be a Class I (minor) modification. [A class one modification can be put into effect by the permittee simply by notifying the Director and the persons on the facility mailing list.]
  - 2) They believe that the language in the letter which indicates that DOE will monitor the radionuclides under the AEA renders the monitoring requirements unenforceable under RCRA.
- o Peggy Strand, Chief of DOJ's Environmental Defense Section, inferred to Costello that she thought EPA's case was strong. That is, she seemed to think that EPA could require radionuclide monitoring under Section 3007 of RCRA.
- o It should be noted that the September 7, 1990 letter was written for DOE by Region 6 (with some changes); however, the language was supplied to DOE before DOE's appeal was filed, with the understanding that no appeal would be made. DOE reneged on this agreement.

