

TA00

State of New Mexico
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT



BILL RICHARDSON
GOVERNOR

Hazardous Waste Bureau
2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6303
Telephone (505) 476-6000
Fax (505) 476-6030
www.nmenv.state.nm.us



RON CURRY
SECRETARY

CINDY PADILLA
DEPUTY SECRETARY

**CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

April 9, 2007

David Gregory
Federal Project Director
Los Alamos Site Office
Department of Energy
528 35th Street, Mail Stop A316
Los Alamos, NM 87544

David McInroy
Remediation Services Deputy Project Director
Los Alamos National Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663, Mail Stop MS M992
Los Alamos, NM 87545

**RE: PERIODIC MONITORING REPORT FOR
LOS ALAMOS WATERSHED SAMPLED JULY 24 THROUGH AUGUST 10,
2006
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY, EPA ID #NM0890010515
HWB-LANL-07-001**

Dear Messrs. Gregory and McInroy:

The New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) is in receipt of the U.S. Department of Energy and the Los Alamos National Security, LLC's (collectively, the "Permittees") *Periodic Monitoring Report for Los Alamos Watershed Sampled July 24 through August 10, 2006*, dated January 2007 and referenced by LA-UR-06-8092/EP2006-1008. NMED has reviewed the document and has the following comments.

1. The Permittees state that there are data from locations on San Ildefonso that have not been reviewed and released by the pueblo and, therefore, are not included in this report. There are also data that have not been reported because of delays at the analytical



12695

laboratory (section 3.4). The Permittees further state that these data will be reported in a subsequent periodic monitoring report, but do not specify which one. The Permittees must report the data in the next periodic monitoring report and indicate a date the data will be reported. In order to track these data, the Permittees must add a section to the periodic monitoring to report and discuss data included from prior sampling events. The Permittees must also include these data in the data tables in accordance with Section XI.D.11 of the Consent Order.

2. In Section 4.0 (Analytical Data Results), the Permittees did not follow the reporting requirements described in Section XI.D.8 of the Consent Order. The Consent Order specifies, among other requirements, that this section provide the analytical results along with a “comparison of the data to previous results and to background levels, cleanup standards, or established cleanup levels for the site.” The Permittees refer to Tables D-1, D-2, and D-3 for the analytical results, standards, background, and cleanup levels. However, the tables are not complete. For example, the Permittees report elevated bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and toluene concentrations in surface water (base-flow). The Permittees do not state at which locations these data were collected nor do the Permittees clearly identify on Table D-1 which locations are surface water. In addition, the base flow sampling locations are scattered throughout the table making it difficult to locate the referenced elevated levels. Moreover, the standards, background, and cleanup levels are presented on a separate table making it more time-consuming than necessary to identify any exceedances. In subsequent reports, the Permittees must present the data and comparisons to applicable standards on the same table to incorporate this comment.

As stated in Section XI.D of the Consent Order, “interpretation of data shall be presented only in the background, conclusions, and recommendations sections of the reports.” The Permittees present data interpretations throughout the analytical data results section. For example, the Permittees state that “the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and toluene results likely are due to sampling and analysis cross-contamination.” In subsequent reports, the Permittees must reserve data interpretation, and the justification for such interpretation, for the appropriate sections.

3. The Permittees must “evaluate the nature and extent of the perchlorate contamination in accordance with the appropriate schedule established by the Department under this Consent Order,” in accordance with section VIII.A.1.a of the Consent Order. The Permittees must submit a work plan within 90 days of receipt of this letter describing proposed investigation activities to determine the source of the detected perchlorate contamination in monitoring wells R-4 and R-6i.


Messrs. Gregory and McInroy

April 9, 2007

Page 3

The Permittees must address the comments in subsequent periodic monitoring reports unless otherwise indicated. Should you have any questions, please contact John Young at (505) 476-6038.

Sincerely,



James P. Bearzi

Chief

Hazardous Waste Bureau

JPB:dxg

cc: J. Young, NMED HWB
S. Yanicak, NMED DOE OB, MS J993
L. King, EPA 6PD-N
G. Rael, DOE OLASO, MS A316
file: Reading and LANL (Groundwater '07)