

TA 06

OF

5/11/11/6-003(a)-99

Subject: TA-6 ecologica risk assessment**Date:** Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:56:59 -0600**From:** kirby olson <kirby_olson@nmenv.state.nm.us>**Organization:** nmed-hrmb**To:** "'john_young@nmenv.state.nm.us'" <john_young@nmenv.state.nm.us>**CC:** Neelam Dhawan <NeelamDhawan@nmenv.state.nm.us>,
Kirby Olson <Kirby_Olson@nmenv.state.nm.us>

While Rich was here to talk about the SSLs, we briefly discussed TA-6. He is redoing the text so it uses the current ESLs (the ones in the original were out-of-date) and the 95% UCL of then mean concentration, which is the optimal information to use to assess the site. This generates significantly lower HQs that may not concern us, though we each should review them when he's done with the revised document. He also showed me where to locate the values of detects above the HQs (table 3.3-4) and their locations (sort of in figure 3.3-1) so I can see if there's something that could be considered a hotspot. He's planning to rewrite the text to discuss the new HQs generated and address the issue of those scattered high maximum values.

The one remaining issue is the appearance of the ground at the site (the barren, sooty area) and whether it indicates a problem overlooked in the sampling. Rich is going to call tomorrow to see if we (or I) want to look at the site. I can run up to look at the site Friday so it won't be held up on this account. The description of the site in the RFI disturbs me somewhat, though I suppose looking at the site wouldn't be vital to finishing the site if it's a problem to see it. Or Rich could probably email a digital photo.



3068