

WF

TA-6

5/11/11/6-003(g)-99

**Subject: Re: site visit review**

**Date:** Fri, 27 Apr 2001 16:39:56 -0600

**From:** kirby olson <kirby\_olson@nmenv.state.nm.us>

**Organization:** nmed-hrmb

**To:** neelam dhawan <neelam\_dhawan@nmenv.state.nm.us>

**CC:** John Young <john\_young@nmenv.state.nm.us>

The site visit only resolves the concerns about the described condition of the site as sooty and barren of vegetation. Rich Mirenda will be sending me a revised set of HQs next week. The HQs in the original document were based on comparison to outdated ESLs and used maximum values for the contaminant concentrations. The revised HQs use 95% UCLs of the mean of the contaminant concentration and the current ESLs. In glancing at a draft of this revision with Rich while at the site I noted that the new HQs are much lower and may not indicate a high potential for ecological risk. I wouldn't say that the site is appropriate for release until I've reviewed the revised HQs next week and you've had a chance to look at them as well. After I look at the revised HQs I'll send you and John a writeup with my opinion on them.

neelam dhawan wrote:

- > Kirby,
- > Thanks for going to visit the site. In your opinion is the site appropriate for
- > NFA-for unconditional release. I thought the HQs were rather high.
- > thanks,
- > neelam

> kirby olson wrote:

- >> Here's the review of this morning's 06003(g) site visit. Rich will send
- >> me the revised ecological risk assessment text using updated ESLs and
- >> 95% UCL of mean early next week. I should be able to review the revised
- >> numbers as soon as they come in, and I'll write up a memo evaluating
- >> them.

>> -----  
 >> Name: 06003g site visit.doc  
 >> 06003g site visit.doc Type: Microsoft Word Document (application/msword)  
 >> Encoding: base64

