

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

ENG-DO JUN 22 1964

TO : Engineering Department

DATE: June 16, 1964

FROM : W. Clarence Courtright H-3

SUBJECT: "MATERIALS DISPOSALS AREAS" PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS

SYMBOL : ENG-3

1. Drawing ENG-R 3636

AREA "M" → (a). Should the old disposal area north of TA-9 and east of TA-8 be shown as a disposal area?

AREA "P" → (b). Should the current disposal area for scrap from the S Site burning ground north of TA-16-387 be shown as a disposal area?

Contact E. Vindler } (c). There is an old material disposal area buried under or near
of R. Tinsley on 11/15/65 }
Per Courtright
RHW 4/15/65 }
TA-16-260. Should it be included?

(d). Should the permanently fenced area for radio-active waste disposal in Pueblo Canyon north and west of TA-45 be shown as a disposal area?

(e). Should the used shafts at TA-49 be considered as part of these drawings?

(f). Should the known fenced "Army Impact Areas" be included as part of these drawings?

2. Drawing ENG-R 3637

(a). Item 6 - I think that four posts is sufficient marking for Indian Ruins, however I would suggest they be metal or concrete rather than wood for permanency. If it is felt that wire should be included I would suggest 3 strands of smooth wire.

(b). Item 8 - Most warning signs are a stock item, but their installation requires ENG-4 action as well as making up or purchasing any non-stock types. H Division should approve the wording of any new types.

3. Drawing ENG-R 3638

(a). No comments

4/16/65, according to Bill Kennedy, H-6, there is one small area known as "Acid Canyon" at the outfall of old TA-45 and extending to Pueblo Canyon, which may contain small quantities of waste worthy of taking some action or marking. His group plans to have two men make an investigation of this area soon and take samples for analysis after which recommendations can be made. A report was made that Eng. 3 be informed of the findings and recommendations, if any. RHW

1426
TA-08




LASL-REC-OFFICIAL

LASL-ERDA-OFFICIAL

4. Drawing ENG-R 3639
 - (a). Some mention should be made of the safety procedures for entry into either lined or unlined shafts.
 - (b). Any active or unused shafts should not be left uncovered and unattended at any time in addition to the post and chain requirements.
5. Drawing ENG-R 3640
 - (a). No comments
6. Drawing ENG-R 3641
 - (a). No comments
7. Drawing ENG-R 3642
 - (a). What is the design and construction of the personnel safety covers mentioned in General Note #4?
8. Drawing ENG-R 3643
 - (a). No comment
9. Drawing ENG-R 3644
 - (a). No comment
10. Drawing ENG-R 3645
 - (a). I understand that these areas (Area F) could be excavated, cleared and thus eliminated without much expense.
11. Drawing ENG-R 3646
 - (a). Will there be a road through or around this area for access to points further down the Mesa when this area is filled?
12. Drawing ENG-R 3647
 - (a). See Attachment #1 for possible inclusion in brochure.
13. Drawing ENG-R 3648
 - (a). Four to One slope would be satisfactory for both ends and depth could be greater if desired.
 - (b). Fire Symbol #4 should always be in place on or near entrance gate to pit area.

June 16, 1964

- (c). Signs denoting Explosive Hazard should always be maintained on perimeter fence.
 - (d). See Attachment #1 for possible inclusion in brochure.
14. Drawing ENG-R 3649
- (a). No comment
15. Drawing ENG-R 3650
- (a). No comment


W. Clarence Courtright
Safety Engineer

cc:

GMX-DO
H-3
H-3 Perimeter File