

Los Alamos

Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

DATE August 24, 1994
IN REPLY REFER TO ER:94-J351
MAIL STOP M992
TELEPHONE 665-2613

Mr. Ted Taylor
U. S. Department of Energy
Los Alamos Area Office
MS A316
Los Alamos, NM 87544



Dear Ted:

**SUBJECT: NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY (NOD) RESPONSE FOR
OPERABLE UNIT (OU) 1086 RESOURCE CONSERVATION
AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) FACILITY INVESTIGATION
(RFI) WORK PLAN**

Enclosed is the Notice of Deficiency response on the RFI Work Plan for Operable Unit 1086. Personnel from your office have had the opportunity to comment on this response and those comments have been incorporated. The final response is due to the Environmental Protection Agency on Friday, August 26, 1994. Please send two copies of this response to the EPA, one to the New Mexico Environment Department, and keep one for your office.

If you have any questions about this material, please call.

Sincerely,

Jorg Jansen
for Jorg Jansen, Project Manager
Environmental Restoration

TG/plp

Enclosure: NOD Response for OU 1086 Resource Conservation RFI Work Plan



3899

LOS ALAMOS

Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

memorandum

TO: Distribution

DATE: August 12, 1994

FROM: Scott A. Kinkead, CST-10, OUPL 1086 MAIL STOP/TELEPHONE: J534/5-1760
Al Ogard, ERM/Golder

SYMBOL: CST-10:

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF NOD RESPONSES FOR OU 1086 RFI WORK PLAN

The NOD for the OU 1086 RFI work plan—consisting of 47 comments by EPA—was received on 26 July. We have prepared responses for all of the comments of the NOD including revised maps as requested. In the attached document, the NOD comments are denoted by a bold-faced number and are included in their entirety; after each comment is our response (after bold-faced and underlined “response”). Where changes in the text of the RFI Work Plan are mandated, these changes are also provided using the following format: *added* text is shown as underlined; *deleted* text is shown as ~~strikethrough~~. Revised or additional maps are included directly after the comments and responses, following which are the additional references requested by EPA. We are in the process of obtaining clarification or resolution to several of the comments for which we do not understand or disagree with the EPA comment; these are comments 22, 23, 24, 27, and 28. Because of the short time frame for the response (due 25 August), there is little time to comprehensively evaluate the responses; nevertheless, we would appreciate your review of and comments on our responses to EPA. If at all possible, please return comments to the OUPL (FAX 665-9118, e-mail address: s_kinkead@lanl.gov) by COB 17 August.

atch: a/s

cc: Gene Gould, ESA-4, MS G787
Tracy Glatzmaier, ER/EM, MS M992
Pat Shanley, ESH-8, MS K490

FILE LANE HISWA Fu-2/1008