

L ANL
TA-35-125 corrections
Red 92

Hand turned to
JACK Ellinger (DOE)
9/22/93

ENCLOSURE II.

Krueger, TA-35 TSL-125 Data 3:

pg.1) The units for the results should be stated.

The LOQ for each constituent should be tabled as in the previous data submitted for this closure.

pg.2) Without color the symbols look the same (ex. 2-butanone and 4-methyl-2-pentanone).

pg.3) The table lists Trichlorotrifluoro; it should read Trichlorotrifluoroethane.

"Detection" should indicate if it refers to MDL, IDL or LOQ. LOQ was reported in the previous data submitted for this closure.

The LOQ "detection" for Acetone was reported at 20 ppb in previous data submitted for this closure, not 5 ppb as indicted from this table.

pg.5) < In the data previously submitted for this closure, Carbon Disulfide was reported to be 5.2 ppb at 50 feet in corehole one. The value reported in this table is 26 ppb at 50 feet.

pg.6) Does not exist

pg.8) Does not exist, the pages should be numbered sequentially.

Krueger, TA-35 TSL-125 Data 2:

pg.1) Do not include this table. It can only be concluded that the results are at or below some detection limit, not zero.

pg.2) Without color, the symbols look the same (ex. 2-butanone and 4-methyl-2-pentanone).

pg.3) Without color, the symbols look the same (ex. 2-butanone and 4-methyl-2-pentanone).

pg.4) Do not include this table. It can only be concluded that the results are at or below some detection limit, not zero.

pg.6) Do not include this table. It can only be concluded that the results are at or below some detection limit, not zero.

As stated in the Closure Certification Report - (5.4 Phase IV) p. 19, "one metal constituent exceeded the calculated action levels". Data for metal analysis during Phase IV was not received. In LANL's response letter to the NOD dated 3/16/92, LANL states that no metals were detected in previous sampling events". This discrepancy must be explained in writing.

