TA JO

\_ COBRAIN \_ YOUNG



1

Risk Reduction & Environmental Stewardship Division Water Quality & Hydrology Group (RRES-WQH) PO Box 1663, MS K497 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 (505) 667-7969/Fax: (505) 665-9344

Date: April 30 Refer to: RRES-V

April 30, 2003 RRES-WQH: 03-092

Mr. Curt Frischkorn Ground Water Pollution Prevention Section Ground Water Quality Bureau New Mexico Environment Department P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

# SUBJECT: TA-50 RADIOACTIVE LIQUID WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY, GROUND WATER DISCHARGE PLAN (DP-1132) QUARTERLY REPORT, FIRST QUARTER 2003

Dear Mr. Frischkorn:

This letter and the enclosed attachments are intended to serve as Los Alamos National Laboratory's quarterly Ground Water Discharge Plan (DP-1132) report for the TA-50 Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility (RLWTF) for the first quarter of 2003. Since the first quarter of 1999, Los Alamos National Laboratory has provided your agency with voluntary quarterly reports containing analytical results from effluent and ground water monitoring.

## Mortandad Canyon Alluvial Ground Water Monitoring Results

Table 1.0 presents the analytical results from sampling conducted at three Mortandad Canyon alluvial monitoring wells during the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2003. All of the analytical results from MCO-3, MCO-6, and MCO-7 were below New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NM WQCC) Regulation 3103 standards for nitrate-nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>-N), fluoride (F), and total dissolved solids (TDS).

Mortandad Canyon alluvial monitoring well MCO-4B did not have sufficient water for sampling during the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter. The prolonged drought conditions have resulted in declining water levels in many of the Laboratory's shallow alluvial monitoring wells. We will continue to measure the water level in MCO-4B each quarter and will sample the well whenever sufficient water is present.

An Equal Opportunity Employer / Operated by the University



### **RLWTF Effluent Monitoring Results**

Table 2.0 presents the analytical results from weekly monitoring of the RLWTF's effluent. The weekly samples are flow-proportioned composite samples prepared from each tank of effluent generated by the RLWTF during a 7-day period. Samples are submitted to General Engineering Laboratories (GEL), Charleston, SC, for analysis. All sample results from the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter were below NM WQCC Regulation 3103 standards for NO<sub>3</sub>-N, F, and TDS with the exception of a single excursion for fluoride in January of 2.07 mg/L. As presented in Table 2.0, the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter average for fluoride in the RLWTF's effluent was 0.73 mg/L.

In response to the elevated fluoride result, on February 26, 2003, the RLWTF instituted the following administrative procedure as a corrective action: Each batch of RLWTF effluent will be screened for fluoride prior to discharge. Effluent batches that exceed the NM WQCC Regulation 3103 Ground Water Standard for fluoride of 1.6 mg/L will receive additional treatment.

Please contact me at (505) 667-7969 if you would like additional information regarding this quarterly report.

Sincerely,

Bob Beers Water Quality & Hydrology Group

BB/tml

J. Bearzi, NMED/HRMB, Santa Fe, NM Cy: J. Davis, NMED/SWQB, Santa Fe, NM J. Parker, NMED/DOE/OB, Santa Fe, NM R. Ford-Schmid, NMED/DOE/OB, Santa Fe, NM J. Vozella, DOE/OLASO, MS A316 G. Turner, DOE/OLASO, MS A316 J. Holt, ADO, MS A104 T. Stanford, FWO-DO, MS K492 D. Mclain, FWO-WFM, MS J593 R. Alexander, FWO-WFM, MS E518 D. Moss, FWO-WFM, MS E518 P. Worland, FWO-WFM, MS E518 B. Ramsey, RRES-DO, MS J591 K. Hargis, RRES-DO, MS J591 D. Stavert, RRES-EP, MS J591 S. Rae, RRES-WQH, MS K497 D. Rogers, RRES-WQH, MS K497 M. Saladen, RRES-WQH, MS K497 **RRES-WQH File, MS K497** IM-5, MS A150



An Equal Opportunity Employer / Operated by the University of California

Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility Ground Water Discharge Plan (DP-1132) Quarterly Report 1st Quarter, 2003

		Perchlorate	NO3/NO2-N	TKN	NH3-N	TDS	F
Sampling Location	Sample Date	(ug/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L) -	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MCO-3	3/27/2003	2.56J	2.35	0.47	<0.050	386	0.67
MCO-4B	3/27/2003	$NS^1$	$NS^1$	NS <sup>1</sup>	NS <sup>1</sup>	NS <sup>1</sup>	NS <sup>1</sup>
MCO-6	3/27/2003	43.2	2.66	0.32	<0.050	321	1.12
MCO-6 duplicate sample	3/27/2003	44.9	2.72	0.39	< 0.050	340	1.13
MCO-7	3/27/2003	91.5	8.25	0.37	<0.050	320	1.29
NM WQCC 3103. Ground			log -				
Water Standards (mg/L)			102.0 54	m		1000	1.6

Table 1.0. Mortandad Canyon Alluvial Monitoring Wells Analytical Results, 1st Quarter, 2003.

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup>NS means that there was not sufficient water available for sampling.

<sup>2</sup>The NMWQCC Regulation 3103. Ground Water Standard is for NO<sub>3</sub>-N.

J indicates an estimated value. The result was less than the reporting limit, but greater than the detection limit.

All analyses by General Engineering Laboratories, Charleston, SC.

All samples filtered unless otherwise noted.

.

## Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility Ground Water Discharge Plan (DP-1132) Quarterly Report 1st Quarter, 2003

Monitoring	Composite	RLWTF Weekly Effluent Monitoring Analytical Results (mg/L)				
Period	Date	NO3+NO2-N	Fluoride	TDS		
DECEMBER, 2002	12/20/2002	2.20	NA <sup>3</sup>	NA <sup>3</sup>		
JANUARY, 2003	1/7/2003	2.18	2.07	907		
	1/14/2003	1.49	1.13	796		
	1/22/2003	0.23	0.39	284		
	1/28/2003	0.24	0.30	242		
FEBRUARY, 2003	2/4/2003	1.09	0.66	489		
	2/11/2003	1.35	0.57	547		
	2/19/2003	0.82	0.30	273		
	2/25/2003	1.90	0.52	299		
MARCH, 2003	3/4/2003	5.60	0.61	395		
	3/4/2003-dupe <sup>2</sup>	6.00	0.56	391		
	3/11/2003	5.60	0.75	382		
	3/18/2003	2.80	0.84	446		
	3/25/2003	results pending <sup>1</sup>	results pending <sup>1</sup>	results pending <sup>1</sup>		
1st Quarter 2003 Averages (mg/L)		2.44	0.73	454		
NM WQCC 3103. Ground Water Standards (mg/L)		10.0 4	1.6	1000		

Table 2.0. RLWTF Weekly Effluent Monitoring Analytical Results, 1st Quarter, 2003.

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Results for these analyses are pending validation.

<sup>2</sup>A duplicate sample result.

<sup>3</sup>No analysis performed due to a sample preservation error.

Sec. 20

.