TAL 1

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

☐ PHONE CALL ☐ DISCUSSION ☐ FIELD TRIP ☒ CONFERENCE
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY)

(Record of item checked above)

TO:	FROM:	DATE
SWQB: Sandia Wetlands DOE/LANL, LA County Ldfl & Rubble Pile FILE	B. Hoditschek Env. Spec./SWQB	05-19-99
		TIME 9AM - approx 11AM

SUBJECT Meeting with Jeff Saloan (NMED Attorney), Jerry Bober (Solid Waste Bur. HPM1), Chuck Hules (SW Cpl. Prog. Mgr.), Rusty Rodke (Eng. SW Bur), Ralph Ford-Schmid (DOE/OB) and myself (Barbara Hoditschek (SWQB) John Tymkowych (Cpl Mgr. HRMB)

SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION

J. Tymkowych indicated the HRMB Cpl. order to DOE/LANL was a settlement was resulted in a $35,000 fee to DOE/LANL for not properly assessing materials for haz. waste before disposal there was also a determination that the material disposed of could not be verified as haz. waste because it was now buryed within the pile. Therefore, the rubble pile was not a haz. waste ldfl.

The Solid Waste Bur. will re-evaluate the information submitted by LA County to re-grade the rubble pile and may take lead in managing the rubble pile. Ralph Ford-Schmid noted that the plan included an area covered by RCRA and that the SW Bur. should consult HRMB before proceeding with their comments.

The plans for the ldfl. part will also be addressed by SWB. SWB was reminded that this area also had RCRA concerns and that they needed to co-ordinate with HRMB before final comments.

SWQB concerns are: the plans for grading, establishing a road, etc. include stabilization such that the watercourse is not polluted with either silt and/or haz. constituents A concern for the wetlands and the PCBs, etc. it contains was brought up so that activity resulting from these plans did not cause deterioration of the wetland and/or release of constituents to the Rio Grande via tributaries of Sandia Canyon. SWQB was to comment on the SWB letter to the County which has the plans for regrading of the rubble pile and ldfl. Also mentioned was the fact that the flow to Sandia was constant from Outfall 001 (TA-3) of significant volume and that storm water flow draining to the Sandia would increase this flow during/after storm events/snow melt.

CONCLUSIONS, ACTION TAKEN OR REQUIRED

My concerns are focused on preventing the watercourse from getting silted, and/or polluted. As the grading occurs I believe materials will be uncovered and no testing will be done to determine if its hazardous. I propose to SWB that a TSS and PCB monitoring be done ~~during storm even~~ on the watercourse at a minimum of 3 locations during the entire grading/construction activity. And that a SWQB be devlp & that stabiliz. in the form of BMPs be monitored. I have my doubts, but I honestly hope this works.

INFORMATION COPIES
TO:

3756