

S.G. 01
7A-73 AIRPORT landfill
provided to Vickie Maranhille,
NMED from DAVID Gregory,
DOE LAAO

Gregory, David R.

From: Gregory, David R.
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2001 4:56 PM
To: 'Terry Rust'
Cc: 'bret_lucas@nmenv.state.nm.us'; 'Darlene_Goering@nmenv.state.nm.us'; Vozella, Joseph; Johansen, Mathew; Gregory, David R.
Subject: RE: Results

Terry:

Before we launch into the execution of this plan I want to make sure that all lab, DOE and regulatory players have been given information sufficient to weigh and compare the value gained in removing debris v. risks that are inherent in the proposed airlift operation. I spoke with the NMED last week and asked if they could arrange for a discussion between the state and fed regulators and DOE/LANL ER. As of today still no word on that. I don't want to appear to be kicking a dead horse but I would like to hear from an EPA stormwater regulator, their position on an alternative such as increased stormwater/sediment monitoring in lieu of airlifting 600 yds of debris.

To compare the urgency and circumstances of the Cerro Grande Operation with removal 50's and 60's vintage appliances that are well above the channel bottom seems misguided. I'm a little uncomfortable with the prospect of having to explain after the fact, why the government took such risks for so little gain.

Otherwise the IM Plan for Debris Removal at PRS 73-001(a) seems fine.

David Gregory

From: Terry Rust[SMTP:trust@lanl.gov@internet.al.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2001 8:42 AM
To: vickie_maranhille@nmenv.state.nm.us@internet.al.gov; Darlene_Goering@nmenv.state.nm.us@internet.al.gov; bret_lucas@nmenv.state.nm.us@internet.al.gov; scalhoun@lanl.gov@internet.al.gov; Gregory, David R.
Subject: Results

All,
Yesterday's presentation and discussion of the debris cleanup work went fairly well, the Attendees were Phil Thullen (LANL ISM Coordinator), Mike Baker (E Div Director), Allyn Pratt (ER ISM Coordinator), and Warren Neff (ER Dep Program Manager) and myself. I discussed briefly the history of the site and the HPT and outlined the issues that lead to the meeting as well as how we chose the path we selected. When the dust settled Thullen complemented the HPT on our thoroughness and preparation and pledged his support to move the project forward to successful completion. That allowed Baker to agree to authorize the work once a few small extra steps have been accomplished. I'm working those now with Pratt. The plan I would like to propose is the following:

Oct 01 do a short pilot removal to learn how to best package the debris for removal, establish the helicopter operations process, establish to process for disposal, etc. So once we start in earnest in May we will better understand what to expect and how to be the most efficient.

May 02 Mobilize for the rest of the removal and get it out of there.

Meanwhile I will be finalizing the formal submission of the IM plan as well as addressing Baker's few remaining concerns and getting his written authorization to proceed.

Thoughts?

tr

