

TA-73 | AIRPORT Landfill IM

Subject: Airport Landfill IM

Date: Mon, 16 Sep 2002 09:57:58 -0600

From: Vickie Maranville <vickie_maranville@nmenv.state.nm.us>

To: dgregory@doeal.gov

CC: David Cobrain <david_cobrain@nmenv.state.nm.us>, John Young <john_young@nmenv.state.nm.us>

I have completed the review of the Airport Landfill IM Plan submitted to NMED by DOE. As we discussed last week on the telephone, I have comments regarding the IM Plan. Based on our conversation, I understand that the US Army Corp. Of Engineers (USACE) is preparing a detailed IM Implementation Plan for DOE and DOE will be submitting the USACE Plan to NMED HWB for review and comment. Since many of my comments are regarding details lacking from the currently IM Plan submittal, I will hold my comments until the USACE Plan is submitted. I will send DOE a formal letter stating we have received the IM Plan and will hold comment until the USACE Plan is submitted (can be submitted as an addendum to current IM). As discussed during our phone conversation, the following are comments need to be addressed in the USACE submittal:

FAA, will crane be permitted to be located on the bench of the Airport. Is it a violation of FAA Rules.

Health and Safety was not addressed. Please provide detailed H&S for crane scenario.

Does the proposed schedule (in the IM Plan) conflict with T&E.

NMED is concerned with the construction of a road across the landfill and down the bench to the proposed crane location(s). How will DOE ensure that waste in the landfill is not disturbed by the construction of the road. If waste is disturbed, Solid Waste issues may arise. Also, NMED is concerned about stabilization and erosion issues related to construction and use of the road.

Will the use of the crane(s) impact the work planned for the mesa top portion of the site (i.e. capping of the landfill).

If the crane is unsuccessful, how will DOE propose to remove the debris (contingency plan).

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments. Also, I am concerned that the neighbors will object to the removal method proposed. Is DOE planning to present the approach to the Public, since it differs from what DOE, LANL, and NMED discussed at the Public Meeting (at Fuller Lodge on April 18, 2001) before the approach is implemented?

Vickie



4873