

**chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV**

---

**From:** Enz, Robert D. [REnz@doeal.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 02, 2005 8:32 AM  
**To:** chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV  
**Subject:** RE: Ash Pile approach

Katie,

The tin cans, mattresses, wood crates, auto parts, rebar, etc. that are not in contact with the ash. We've analyzed the tin cans, previously, and found no contamination. We've scan them with hand held instruments and found nothing. This is sanitary waste. Most of it, i.e., the tin cans, I assume have been through the incinerator. The mattresses, wood crates, auto parts, rebar, etc. are materials dumped by residents.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV [mailto:kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 02, 2005 8:19 AM  
**To:** Enz, Robert D.  
**Subject:** RE: Ash Pile approach

Bob,

That sounds fine. Glad to hear you will be resuming work. However, I am concerned with your statement regarding "sanitary debris". Can you please explain what you mean by that statement?

Thanks,  
Katie

Kathryn Chamberlain  
Environmental Specialist  
New Mexico Environment Department  
Hazardous Waste Bureau  
2905 Rodeo Park Drive East  
Building 1  
Santa Fe, NM 87505  
505-428-2546 (business)  
505-428-2567 (fax)  
[kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us](mailto:kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us)

---

**From:** Enz, Robert D. [mailto:REnz@doeal.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 02, 2005 8:00 AM  
**To:** chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV  
**Subject:** RE: Ash Pile approach

Katie,

I expect a final UXO plan on Monday. The plan will have to be approved by the County, which has promised a 2-day turn around. The plan will entail having a UXO technician with each work team, be it debris collecting or ash vacuuming. There will also be UXO technicians on the top of the mesa checking the bagged debris as it is unloaded so that we can certify to the receiving landfill that the sanitary debris is free of UXO. In all, I expect to resume operation on Dec. 12. In keeping with your e-mail below, this UXO addendum is not a change in the approved work plan and does not need NMED approval.



-----Original Message-----

**From:** chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV [mailto:kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2005 1:22 PM  
**To:** Enz, Robert D.  
**Cc:** Darlene  
**Subject:** RE: Ash Pile approach

Bob,

Thanks for the update. I wanted to let you know that we only need to approve deviations from the approved work plan. UXO identification, removal, and safety requirements were not part of the work plan, so we don't need to approve it. However, if you do deviate from the approved work plan (e.g., change your sampling methods or analytical suite), you must document it separately from the Avoidance Plan. Also, you correctly informed us of the first UXO discovery, but you don't need to inform us of every discovery. A weekly update will suffice.

If you have any questions or concerns please let me know.

Thanks,  
 Katie

Kathryn Chamberlain  
 Environmental Specialist  
 New Mexico Environment Department  
 Hazardous Waste Bureau  
 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East  
 Building 1  
 Santa Fe, NM 87505  
 505-428-2546 (business)  
 505-428-2567 (fax)  
[kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us](mailto:kathryn.chamberlain@state.nm.us)

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided for under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act or by express permission of the New Mexico Environment Department. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

---

**From:** Enz, Robert D. [mailto:REnz@doeal.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2005 8:20 AM  
**To:** chamberlain, kathryn, NMENV; 'ZimmermanK@iac.losalamos.nm.us'  
**Cc:** Gregory, David R.; 'trust@lanl.gov'  
**Subject:** Ash Pile approach

I will attempt to summarize events that occurred and decisions made yesterday (Nov. 17):

Our contract with ITSI is through the Corp of Engineers (Sacramento). The contracting officer for the COE put the ash pile operations on stand down in light of the discovery of unexploded ordnance. The stand down is to affect only further removal operations, will not prevent ITSI from disposal of material already stockpiled, and is not to exceed 30 days. Certain facilities and equipment will remain on site to facilitate site security and restart.

The decision was driven by the following factors: