

GARY E. JOHNSON
GOVERNOR

## State of New Mexico

### ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Hazardous & Radioactive Materials Bureau
2044 Galisteo
P.O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502
(505) 827-1557
Fax (505) 827-1544



MARK E. WEIDLER SECRETARY

EDGAR T. THORNTON, III
DEPUTY SECRETARY

June 4, 1996

Mr. James Cochran, Manager Environmental Health and Safety Philips Semiconductors 9201 Pan American Freeway Albuquerque, New Mexico 87113

Dear Mr. Cochran:

The Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau has received and reviewed the May 24, 1996 document, North American Philips

Company Quarterly Ground-Water Monitoring Report. Comments on this report and on your requests for a reduction of groundwater monitoring requirements and for a change from quarterly to semi-annual monitoring are enclosed.

Please contact Stephanie Kruse of my staff at 827-1561 if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hoditschek, Manager

RCRA Permits Program

xc: Ron Kern, NMED

Melanie McKinley, Philips

David Neleigh, EPA PS - HSWA file /

Philips' Groundwater Monitoring May, 1996 Page 1

#### TECHNICAL COMMENTS

# NORTH AMERICAN PHILIPS COMPANY QUARTERLY GROUND-WATER MONITORING REPORT

MAY 24, 1996

### RESPONSE TO PHILIPS SEMICONDUCTORS' (PS) REQUESTS

1. In the May 27, 1996 cover letter to the May 24, 1996 groundwater monitoring report, PS requested a reduction of groundwater monitoring requirements. Specifically, although the facility permit requires analysis of all 40 CFR Appendix IX constituents, PS requests a reduction to testing for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in future sampling events.

Available analytical data and information, including the current groundwater monitoring report, indicate that VOCs, Semi-VOCs, metals, and certain pesticides (e.g. dieldrin and chlordane) have been detected previously in landfill wastes and/or groundwater. PS must analyze all samples minimally for VOCs, Semi-VOCs, metals, and organochlorine pesticides of the 40 CFR Appendix IX list during, at least, the first full year of quarterly groundwater monitoring.

2. On page 12 (Section 5) of the Report, PS suggests "...that future ground-water monitoring be performed on a semiannual schedule..."

The New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) requires a baseline of quarterly groundwater monitoring data for the first full year of sampling.

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON THE QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

1. Page 9, Section 4.3: Concentrations of PCE [tetrachloroethene] in ground-water samples...[were] less than the NMWQCC standard of 20 ug/L.

Applicable groundwater standards for Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) detection, assessment, and/or corrective action purposes include, but are not limited to, standards promulgated by the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) and Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) established by EPA under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act. The Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau (HRMB) utilizes the more stringent of these standards. The MCL for PCE is 5 ug/L. The

Philips' Groundwater Monitoring May, 1996 Page 2

concentrations of PCE in monitoring well MW-1 (7.4 ug/L) and monitoring well MW-2 (6.9 ug/L) currently exceed the MCL for PCE and are of definite concern to HRMB and NMED.

2. Appendix A, Volatile Organics Analysis Reports.

The Method Detection Limits (MDL) equal or exceed the MCL or NMWQCC standards for several VOCs. Specifically, for vinyl chloride, the MDL (10 ug/L) is greater than the NMWQCC standard (1 ug/L); for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane, the MDL (5 ug/L) is greater than the MCL (0.2 ug/L); the MDL for 1,1-dichloroethene (5 ug/L) equals the NMWQCC standard; and the MDLs for methylene chloride, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene (5 ug/L) all equal the MCL. MDLs should always be less than MCLs and NMWQCC standards. PS may have to use a larger sample volume to ensure that laboratory MDLs are adequate.

### CONCERNS ABOUT THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING SYSTEM AT PS

- 1. NMED is concerned that the current groundwater monitoring system has wells with excessive screen lengths (> 20 feet) at the water table. Guidance and the rationale for monitoring well screen lengths are addressed in EPA's "RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring: Draft Technical Guidance" (Section 5.1.2.3; November 1992). HRMB utilizes this guidance for the purposes of detection, assessment, and/or corrective action groundwater monitoring. Monitoring wells, properly constructed, and with screen lengths of less than 20 feet, should be installed at PS.
- 2. Based upon the data provided by PS in the May 24, 1994 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, NMED is concerned that the "upgradient" monitoring well (MW-2) indicates PCE contamination in the groundwater. NMED is also concerned about the adequacy of "downgradient" monitoring well MW-3, which has no "detects" of hazardous constituents. Coupled with the concerns of appropriate monitoring well screen lengths, NMED considers that the current groundwater monitoring system is inadequate to address detection and assessment needs properly. NMED would like to discuss monitoring well siting and construction criteria with PS.