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1.0 Introduction
Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico (SNL/NM) is located within the boundaries of Kirtland Air Force Base (KAFB), immediately south of the city of Albuquerque in Bernalillo County, New Mexico. KAFB occupies 52,233 acres. SNL/NM research and administration facilities are divided into five technical areas (TAs), designated 1 through 5, and several additional test areas, occupying 2,842 acres. TA-1, TA-2, and TA-4 are separate research facilities in the northwestern portion of KAFB. TA-3 and TA-5 are contiguous research facilities forming a 4.5-square-mile, rectangular area in the southwestern portion of KAFB. TA-3 alone occupies 2,000 acres. The Mixed Waste Landfill (MWL) is a 2.6-acre, fenced waste disposal area located in north-central TA-3 at SNL/NM (Figure 1).

1.1 Background
The MWL Corrective Measures Implementation Plan (CMIP) (SNL/NM November 2005) incorporates the remedy selected by the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) and details the deployment of the MWL Alternative Evapotranspirative (ET) Cover (Chapter 2), the regulatory basis (Chapter 3), MWL characteristics (Chapter 4), the technical basis for the cover (Chapter 5), the MWL ET Cover design (Chapter 6), and cover performance monitoring (Chapter 7). Appendices include construction specifications (Appendix A), and the construction quality assurance plan (Appendix B).

After receiving conditional approval of the CMIP from the NMED (Bearzi December 2008), the MWL ET Cover Construction contracting process was initiated and completed in March 2009. The Environmental Dimensions, Inc. (EDI) Team was selected to construct the ET Cover and the URS Corporation was selected to perform independent third party Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) under a separate contract. NMED was notified of the start of ET Cover construction field work on April 10, 2009 (Davis April 2009). The EDI Team mobilized to the field to begin initial site activities on May 11, 2009 after completing an updated Health and Safety Plan that was approved by Sandia.

1.2 Purpose and Scope
Progress reports for ET Cover construction activities are required by the NMED Final Order In the Matter of Request for a Class 3 Permit Modification for Corrective Measures for the Mixed Waste Landfill (Final Order) (NMED May 2005) during implementation of the remedy. The Conditional Approval for the MWL CMIP (Bearzi December 2008) required the Progress Reports to be submitted to the Department on a quarterly basis during implementation of the
remedy. The Compliance Order on Consent (NMED April 2004), Section VII.D.5 specifies that progress reports shall, at a minimum, include the following information.

1. A description of the work completed during the reporting period;
2. A summary of all problems, potential problems, or delays encountered during the reporting period;
3. A description of all actions taken to eliminate or mitigate problems, potential problems, or delays;
4. A discussion of the work projected for the next reporting period, including all sampling events; and
5. Copies of the results of all monitoring, including sampling and analysis, and other data generated during the reporting period; and
6. Copies of all waste disposal records generated during the reporting period.

MWL ET Cover construction activities for the period May through July 2009 are presented in the first quarterly progress report that was submitted to the NMED in September 2009 (SNL/NM September 2009). This first progress report presented ET Cover construction activities from initial mobilization to the site (May 2009) to construction of the Native Soil Layer (completed in late July 2009). All laboratory and field testing results associated with ET Cover construction were included in this first quarterly progress report, with the exception of Topsoil Layer field testing that was performed early September 2009. All waste disposition documentation associated with the MWL ET Cover construction occurred in the previous reporting period and was addressed in the first quarterly progress report.

This second quarterly progress report documents the final ET Cover construction activities completed from August 3 – September 3, 2009, including installation of the Topsoil Layer and re-vegetation. Two soil vapor monitoring wells were installed through the Topsoil Layer prior to seeding and mulching activities to minimize the impact to re-vegetation efforts (i.e., minimize damage to plants). These soil vapor monitoring wells are required by NMED (Bearzi December 2008, referred to as soil-vapor sampling points) but are not part of cover construction as defined by the CMIP, and will be documented in a separate report that will be submitted to the NMED for approval.

A CMI Report documenting ET Cover construction will be prepared and submitted to the NMED within 180 days of ET Cover completion as required by the Final Order. The CMI Report will present detailed cover construction documentation, all field and laboratory testing results, CQA
documentation, a photographic log of construction activities, and final as-built drawings. Sandia Corporation (Sandia) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) anticipate submitting this report in early calendar year 2010.

1.3 Construction Activity Summary
MWL ET Cover construction was completed on September 3, 2009. Table 1 provides a summary of construction activities completed this reporting period (August – October 2009) as well as the activities completed during the previous reporting period. More detailed information for ET Cover construction activities completed this reporting period is provided in Section 2.0. A photographic log covering all ET Cover construction activities will be included in the CMI Report.

There were no significant schedule delays or problems encountered during the reporting period, and the ET Cover construction work was completed ahead of the overall schedule approved by the NMED (Bearzi December 2008). Preliminary soil and rock volume estimates for each layer of the ET Cover (compacted, in-place volumes) are summarized in Table 2 and have been updated from the May-July Quarterly Progress Report. Final volume and thickness information will be provided in the MWL CMI Report. Figure 2 shows the ET Cover in profile view and presents the as-designed (minimum specifications) and as-constructed (average) cover layer thicknesses.

NMED personnel visited the MWL ET Cover construction site on August 6, 2009 to oversee the installation of the two soil vapor monitoring wells. This was the only NMED site visit during the reporting period. There was one NMED visit and one NMED inspection during the previous reporting period.

1.4 Report Structure
The 2009 ET Cover construction work completed this reporting period includes installation of the Topsoil Layer, re-vegetation, supplemental watering, and project demobilization. In addition, preparation of the MWL CMI Report and MWL Alternative Cover CQA Report was ongoing throughout the reporting period. These activities are presented in Section 2.0. The construction schedule and remaining work are presented in Section 3.0, and a reference list is provided in Section 4.0.
2.0 MWL ET Cover Completion Activities

Activities completed during this reporting period include Topsoil Layer installation, soil vapor monitoring well installation, seeding and mulching, administrative security fence installation, and supplemental watering. Installation of the two soil vapor monitoring wells will be documented in a separate installation report.

2.1 Topsoil Layer

The Topsoil Layer is the final cover layer and the layer that will directly support the establishment of native plants. It is also the layer that will initially hold all surface moisture that falls on the cover surface as precipitation. As specified in the CMIP, the Topsoil Layer is to be minimally compacted (i.e., compacted only as a result of the installation process, not by use of standard compaction equipment such as a vibratory roller) and have a minimum thickness of 8 inches.

Construction of the Topsoil Layer was conducted from August 3 through August 12, 2009. Topsoil material consisted of topsoil (upper 6 inches of the in situ Borrow Pit Area soil) and native soil (soil excavated below 6 inches) excavated from the Borrow Pit, screened to 2-inch minus, then admixed with 3/8-inch crushed gravel (25 percent by volume). A total of approximately 7,300 cubic yards (cy) (loose) of topsoil material with 25 percent by volume, 3/8-inch crushed gravel was hauled from the Borrow Pit in 20 cy dump trucks and unloaded directly onto the landfill surface. The material was spread with a John Deere (JD) 670 motor grader in a single, approximately 12-inch loose lift. The 2 percent east-to-west surface design slope established on the Native Soil Layer surface was maintained on the Topsoil Layer surface during final construction, and the side slopes were established at a 6 (horizontal) to 1 (vertical) or flatter angle.

Due to the larger footprint of the as-constructed ET Cover (versus the 2005 CMIP design), the toe of the cover slope on the west side encroached on the MWL groundwater monitoring well pads. Soil drainage diversions immediately east of the three monitoring well locations were constructed to create a localized east-west ridge (i.e., localize high point) parallel to the slope angle. These small ridges or high points divert water to the north and south of the monitoring well pads, protecting them from surface run-off. These features represent a minor design change that was approved by the CQA Engineer as part of the Topsoil Layer.
Visual inspection of the topsoil fill containing 25 percent by volume 3/8 inch crushed gravel was conducted throughout the installation process by the CQA Inspector to verify the topsoil fill conformed to the CMIP specifications. No organic matter, rubble, trash, rocks, or deleterious material greater than 2 inches in dimension was identified.

Following QC and QA verification surveying that confirmed proper layer thickness and slope angles, the Topsoil Layer surface was ripped to loosen the soil and then tilled to break up larger soil clumps in preparation for seeding. The initial ripping was accomplished using scarifier shanks on the JD670 motor grader. Additional surface preparations were conducted as part of the re-vegetation activity discussed in Section 5.6.

2.1.1 Field Testing
Gradation (American Society for Testing and Materials [ASTM] C136) and Classification (ASTM D2487 and D4318) soil testing was performed on the Topsoil Layer fill material at a frequency of 1 sample per 500 cy (loose) as specified in the CMIP. Gradation and Classification results for all samples were included in the previous quarterly progress report (SNL/NM September 2009) and verified the topsoil fill material met the CMIP specifications.

Four soil samples were also collected from the topsoil material for Standard Proctor (ASTM 698) testing to support in-place density and moisture testing of the Topsoil Layer after installation. The Standard Proctor and in-place density and moisture tests were not required by the CMIP. The Standard Proctor results for all samples were included in the previous quarterly progress report (SNL/NM September 2009). The Topsoil Layer in-place density and moisture test results are provided in Table 3.

Four grid block locations on the ET Cover surface were tested at two depths per location, for a total of eight in-place density and moisture tests ranging in depth from 4 to 10 inches. Field testing grid blocks are shown in Figure 3. Percent of maximum dry density achieved (i.e., compaction) ranged from 75 percent (at a 4 inch testing depth) to 96 percent (at an 8-inch testing depth), and the moisture content ranged from 3.7 to 5.4 percent of optimum moisture content.

2.1.2 Verification
The thickness, surface slope, and side slopes of the Topsoil Layer were verified through both the quality control (QC) survey and the quality assurance (QA) survey using the established 50-foot
spaced verification grid shown in Figure 3. Hubs and whiskers were used instead of grade stakes for the Topsoil Layer (blue top approach).

The 2 percent east-to-west surface design slope and 6 to 1 side slopes were verified by both the QC and QA surveys. The average thickness of the Topsoil Layer after placement was 1.02 feet, and the thickness at each grid point exceeded the minimum CMIP specification of 8 inches. The final QC and QA survey data, including the thickness and slopes (surface design and side slopes), were approved by the CQA Engineer on August 12, 2009.

2.2 Re-vegetation

Activities related to re-vegetation were initiated on August 13, 2009, with the installation of an above-ground sprinkler irrigation system that covered the entire landfill surface. All re-vegetation activities except for supplemental watering were completed by September 3, 2009. Tilling, seeding and crimping operations were conducted using a Kubota M7040 agricultural tractor. The tiller was towed by the tractor to till the soil on the cover, slopes, and surrounding area, which broke up the larger soil clumps present after the surface was ripped using scarifier shanks on the JD670 motor grader. Tilling on side slopes was conducted perpendicular to the slope direction to prevent or minimize surface erosion. After tilling, personnel walked the site to break up clumps near irrigation piping that the tiller did not reach.

Seeding operations began on August 25, 2009. Based on recommendations from the SNL/NM Staff Biologist that were approved by the CQA Engineer, the following modifications were implemented to the Reclamation Seeding and Mulching Specification of the CMIP (Section 02930):

- Uniform seeding rate of 80 pounds of seed mix per acre (4 times the original rate);
- No fertilizer added due to timing of seeding; and
- Supplemental watering to assist seed germination and root development.

The seed drill equipment set at the maximum output rate was capable of applying 20 pounds of seed mix per acre. At this rate, the seed drill equipment would have required a minimum of 4 passes to achieve the 80 pounds per acre requirement. This approach would have resulted in an unacceptable amount of compaction to the topsoil, so the decision was made and approved by the CQA Engineer to spread half of the seed by hand. The remaining seed was installed using 2 passes with the seed drill equipment. Following placement of seed, straw was blown over the site at the rate of 2 tons per acre and crimped in.
2.3 **Administrative Security Fence**
As seeding and mulching activities were being completed, the three strand barbed wire administrative security fence was installed around the cover as specified in the CMIP (Specification 02445). One access gate was placed at the north end. Due to the slightly larger footprint of the as-constructed cover, the fence is positioned on the 6 to 1 side slope on the west side of the ET Cover, just east of three groundwater monitoring wells located on this side of the MWL.

2.4 **Supplemental Watering**
Supplemental watering of the seeded Topsoil Layer is not addressed in the CMIP and is not considered part of the alternative cover construction scope. The NMED was verbally notified of the supplemental watering schedule and approach on August 13, 2009, and a notification letter was submitted in September 2009 (Wagner September 2009).

On September 3, 2009 seeding and mulching activities were completed and supplemental watering began using the above-ground irrigation system. Watering continued through October 20, 2009 to facilitate the establishment of a native plant community. Consistent with the NMED conditional approval of the CMIP (Bearzi December 2008) and the Sandia/DOE notification letter, detailed supplemental watering information will be included in the revised Long-Term Monitoring and Maintenance Plan.

2.5 **Technical Issues and Resolution**
The main technical issue associated with the Topsoil Layer is the larger cover footprint, which is the result of the cover being thicker than the minimum thickness specifications in the CMIP. This is graphically summarized in Figure 2, which shows the average thickness of the as-constructed cover is 1.2 feet greater than the minimum thickness in the CMIP. The thicker as-constructed ET Cover is the result of each cover layer being constructed with at thickness exceeding the minimum specification. Although this required more biointrusion rock and soil fill material than estimated in the CMIP, the final result was achievable within the estimated project budget and schedule. The as-designed versus as-constructed soil and rock volumes for each cover layer are provided in Table 2 along with a brief summary of the main reasons additional materials were needed. In summary, the increase in soil and rock material volumes resulted in a thicker, larger, more protective ET Cover that was achieved within budget and schedule.
3.0 Construction Schedule and Remaining Work

The ET Cover construction was completed ahead of the overall construction timeline schedule originally proposed in the December 15, 2006 Notice of Deficiency Comment Response on the MWL CMIP (SNL/NM December 2006) and approved as condition 1.f. in the NMED CMIP conditional approval (Bearzi December 2008). ET Cover activities that will be completed during the next reporting period include demobilization of the temporary irrigation system and the field office trailer.

Preparation of the MWL CMI Report and the Alternative Cover CQA Report started this reporting period and will be completed within or shortly after the next quarterly reporting period (November through January). The Cover CQA Report will be a stand-alone appendix to the CMI Report and will address all CMI Report cover construction documentation requirements.

4.0 References
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TABLES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Completed August through October 2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Vapor Monitoring Wells</td>
<td>August 5, 2009</td>
<td>August 7, 2009</td>
<td>Two soil vapor monitoring wells were installed through the ET Cover to an approximate depth of 35 feet below the original ground surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topsoil Layer</td>
<td>August 3, 2009</td>
<td>August 12, 2009</td>
<td>Placed topsoil on cover and side slopes, verification survey for thickness and slopes, and ripped/scarified surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding and Supplemental Watering</td>
<td>August 13, 2009</td>
<td>September 3, 2009</td>
<td>Set up and test supplemental watering system. Disk and drill seeded entire cover surface and disturbed areas. Approximately ½ the seed hand-broadcasted to minimize compaction caused by multiple passes with the tractor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Watering</td>
<td>September 3, 2009</td>
<td>October 20, 2009</td>
<td>System will be operated for up to 3 months (pending weather) to help establish native vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Fence</td>
<td>August 31, 2009</td>
<td>September 2, 2009</td>
<td>Perimeter fence was installed according to the specifications in the CMIP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revegetation of the Borrow Pit</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>The MWL Borrow Pit Area will be seeded and reclaimed in the 2010 growing season if it is not transferred to Sandia Facilities for continued use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Completed May through July 2009</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization and Training</td>
<td>May 11, 2009</td>
<td>May 18, 2009</td>
<td>Resources, equipment, and office trailer mobilized to site and personnel training completed. Installed new perimeter boundary, silt fence, and drive-off pad. Removed administrative fence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgrade Layer</td>
<td>May 20, 2009</td>
<td>May 22, 2009</td>
<td>Cleared vegetation, watered and compacted surface, performed field testing and verification survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biointrusion Layer</td>
<td>May 26, 2009</td>
<td>June 16, 2009</td>
<td>Construction method tests conducted on May 26. Hauled and placed rock to create &gt;1 foot thick layer, then placed soil layer on top to fill voids and create a thin soil layer above the rock (~3-inch thickness). New rock material hauled directly to site from vendor June 8-12. Verification surveys for thickness of rock layer and overlying soil layer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW4 Extension</td>
<td>May 27, 2009</td>
<td>May 27, 2009</td>
<td>Well casing and protective outer steel casing raised to accommodate surface elevation increase associated with construction of the ET Cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Soil Layer</td>
<td>June 15, 2009</td>
<td>July 31, 2009</td>
<td>Placed and compacted soil in lifts for cover surface and slopes. Wedge lifts used to establish 2% east-to-west slope. Verification surveys for thickness and slopes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrow Pit Area Activities</td>
<td>June 12, 2009</td>
<td>July 24, 2009</td>
<td>Excavated and screened (2-minus) additional soil fill material, including SWPPP berm soil excavated and hauled to the Borrow Pit from the MWL site. Pug Mill operations set up and calibrated to blend top soil and 3/8-inch crush gravel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Preliminary Soil and Rock Volume Estimates Comparing CMIP Estimates to As-Constructed Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWL ET Cover Layer</th>
<th>Volume Estimates Reflect Placed, Compacted Cubic Yards (cy)</th>
<th>CMIP Volume</th>
<th>As-Constructed Volume</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>The MWL existing surface required more elevation increase than anticipated in the CMIP design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biointrusion Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>The average thickness of the installed Biointrusion Layer is 0.25 feet greater than the CMIP design. Estimate does not account for volume of rock penetrating down into the Subgrade due to installation method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biointrusion Layer - Void filling and overlying 3-inch thick soil layer</td>
<td>Not Estimated</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Volume estimate based on truck load tallies and represents a loose un-compacted estimate. Volume cannot be accurately estimated due to some soil moving down into rock void space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Soil</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>The average thickness of the constructed Native Soil Layer is approximately 2.85 feet (versus 2.5 feet minimum in the CMIP) due to wedge lifts required to correct the &lt;2% slope in the Subgrade and Biointrusion Layer. The north end elevation of the Subgrade Layer appears to be greater than predicted in the 2005 design, creating a larger cover footprint (i.e., 6 to 1 slopes are larger as a result).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>The average thickness of the Topsoil Layer is approximately 0.33 feet greater than the CMIP design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>36,200</td>
<td>7,700 cy difference (27% increase from original estimate). 36,200 cy total does not include the 3,100 cy for the void filling and thin soil layer above the Biointrusion Layer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) The CMIP estimates were based on minimum thickness specifications for each cover layer. The greater cover layer thicknesses resulted in a larger cover footprint, increasing the volume of soil material needed for the side slopes.  
2) The increase in soil and rock material volumes results in a thicker, larger, more protective Evapotranspirative Cover.
Table 3
Topsoil Layer In-Place Density and Moisture Content Field Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Date of Field Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Standard Proctor Maximum Density (lb/ft³)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Standard Proctor Optimum Moisture Content (%)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Percent of Maximum Density Required</th>
<th>Percent Compaction Achieved</th>
<th>Moisture Content Achieved</th>
<th>Meets Density Spec?</th>
<th>Meets Moisture Spec?</th>
<th>Testing Laboratory&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 12 4&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 12 10&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 8 4&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 8 6&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 2 4&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 2 8&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 5 4&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI NS Top Soil&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/03/2009</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Grid Block 5 8&quot; depth</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>AMEC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>lb/ft³ = pounds per cubic foot
<sup>2</sup>AMEC = AMEC Earth and Environmental, Albuquerque, NM
<sup>3</sup>N/A = not applicable; Maximum Density and Moisture Content specifications and tests do not apply to the topsoil layer.
<sup>4</sup>Topsoil Layer density and moisture testing was performed but not required. These test locations were not surveyed.