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OVERVIEW

This Consolidated Quarterly Report for the Sandia National Laboratories Environmental Project addresses all quarterly reporting requirements pertaining to the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) Module of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit, the Compliance Order on Consent (Consent Order), and the Chemical Waste Landfill (CWL) Closure Plan. The following entities and reporting periods are addressed in these Sections:

SECTION I
Environmental Restoration Project Quarterly Report, reporting period:
February through April 2010

SECTION II
Chemical Waste Landfill Progress Report, reporting period:
February through April 2010

SECTION III
Perchlorate Screening Semiannual Report, reporting period:
January through March 2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOC</td>
<td>Areas of Concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOP</td>
<td>Administrative Operating Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCCOC</td>
<td>analysis request and chain of custody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSG</td>
<td>Burn Site Groundwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>background well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>Corrective Action Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMU</td>
<td>Corrective Action Management Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>Corrective Measures Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>Corrective Measures Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COA</td>
<td>certificates of analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Constituents of Concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWL</td>
<td>Chemical Waste Landfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY</td>
<td>calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYN</td>
<td>Canyons (Burn Site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>dissolved oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>equipment blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Environmental Restoration Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>evapotranspirative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>field blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOP</td>
<td>Field Operating Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY09</td>
<td>Fiscal Year 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEL</td>
<td>General Engineering Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTES</td>
<td>Long Term Environmental Stewardship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWDS</td>
<td>Liquid Waste Disposal System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>maximum contaminant level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDL</td>
<td>method detection limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg/L</td>
<td>microgram per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>milligram per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>monitoring well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWL</td>
<td>Mixed Waste Landfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>non-detect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMED</td>
<td>New Mexico Environment Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD</td>
<td>Notice of Deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Nephelometric Tributary Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>oxidation-reduction potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>potential of hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQL</td>
<td>practical quantitation limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSL</td>
<td>primary sub-liner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>quality control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>relative percent difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandia</td>
<td>Sandia Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>Sampling and Analysis Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>specific conductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNL/NM</td>
<td>Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMU</td>
<td>Solid Waste Management Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Technical Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>trip blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>trichloroethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCM</td>
<td>Voluntary Corrective Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Vapor Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZMS</td>
<td>Vadose Zone Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.0 **Introduction**

This Environmental Restoration Consolidated Quarterly Report (ER Quarterly Report) discusses ongoing corrective actions being implemented by the Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) Environmental Restoration (ER) Project. The status of regulatory closure activities is outlined below. In this Section, the Quarter refers to the February through April 2010 quarterly reporting period.

2.0 **ER Work Completed this Quarter**

2.1 **Mixed Waste Landfill (MWL)**

- Activities during this reporting period included Final Preparation of the MWL Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for Calendar Year (CY) 2009 to be submitted to NMED in June 2010.

- On April 30, 2010 DOE/Sandia received a letter from NMED entitled “Toluene Detections in Groundwater” requiring further investigation to determine the source of toluene in the groundwater at MWL. Toluene and bis(2ethylhexylphthalate) were detected in CY 2009 groundwater samples at very low concentrations. Both of these compounds have been detected historically and are common laboratory contaminants; however, Sandia/DOE initiated an investigation in late 2009 to confirm the source. The NMED provided further direction in the April 30, 2010 letter for conducting a purging/sampling study of the groundwater along with any other studies necessary to determine the source. This investigation is ongoing and will be completed in August of 2010.

- Mixed Waste Landfill groundwater monitoring activities for the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2010 were completed at the end of April 2010. The April sampling event included a purge/sampling study for toluene as requested by the NMED (see above). Results will be presented in the MWL Toluene Investigation Report as requested by the NMED. The MWL Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for CY 2010 is anticipated for delivery to NMED in the spring of 2011.
2.1.1 MWL Documents Submitted to NMED Pending Regulatory Review and Approval

- The MWL Corrective Measures Implementation (CMI) report was submitted for NMED review and approval on January 26, 2010.

2.2 Project Management and Site Closure

- ER Sites undergoing regulatory and administrative closure activities are presently addressed under project management. Two permit modification requests are currently in progress with the NMED and are summarized in this section. The sites, listed below, were discussed with NMED and public stakeholders in June 2009 as part of comment resolution process for the renewal of the SNL Resource Conservation and Recovery Amendment (RCRA Permit). NMED verbally outlined their decisions about these sites at that meeting. In April 2010, DOE/Sandia received official written communication from NMED regarding their decisions on these sites. NMED’s decisions from this April 2010 letter are outlined in this section.

2.2.1 Permit Modification Request Submitted in March 2006

- Twenty-six sites were submitted to NMED for the final determination of Corrective Action Complete (CAC) in March 2006. The sites included nineteen Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs), and seven Areas of Concern (AOCs). The NMED issued a Notice of Public Comment Period and Intent to Approve a Class 3 Permit Modification of the RCRA Permit for Sandia National Laboratories for these 26 sites on December 10, 2007. The NMED public review and comment period ended on February 8, 2008. The SWMUs and AOCs included in this permit modification request are listed below.

SWMUs – 4, 5, 46, 49, 52, 68, 91, 101, 116, 138, 140, 147, 149, 150, 154, 161, 196, 233, 234

AOCs – 1090, 1094, 1095, 1114, 1115, 1116, and 1117.

2.2.2 Permit Modification Request Submitted in January 2008

- Five sites were submitted for the final regulatory determination of CAC in a permit modification request in January 2008. This permit modification included all remaining SNL ER sites with the exception of the three active sites (SWMUs 83, 84, and 240), three Groundwater Investigation sites (Tijeras Arroyo, Technical Area V, and Burn Site), and the MWL (SWMU 76). Final reporting of the Corrective Measure Implementation for the MWL is pending. The MWL is addressed separately in section 2.1 of this Section of this ER
Quarterly Report. The four SWMUs and one AOC included in the January 2008 permit modification request are listed below.

SWMUs – 8, 28-2, 58, and 105

AOC – 1101

2.2.3 Status of Permit Modification Requests Submitted in March 2006 and January 2008.

- On April 08, 2010, DOE/Sandia received a letter from NMED entitled: “Class 3 Permit Modification Requests for Granting Corrective Action Complete Status For 26 SWMUs [Solid Waste Management Units]/AOCs [Areas of Concern] (Request of March 1, 2006) And 5 Other SWMUs/AOCs (Request of January 7, 2008), Sandia National Laboratories, EPA ID# NM5890110518, HWB-SNL-06-007 and HWB-SNL-08-001” on April 14, 2010. This letter included four main sections: “SWMUs Requiring Corrective Action,” “SWMUs/AOCs to be Subject to Groundwater Monitoring Controls,” “SWMUs/AOCs to be Restricted to Industrial Land Use,” and “SWMUs/AOCs that do not Require Corrective Action.” NMED requirements stated in this letter are outlined here:

  o The section entitled “SWMUs Requiring Corrective Action,” specifies additional characterization requirements for SNL/NM SWMUs 68 (Old Burn Site), 149 (Building 9930 Septic System), 154 (Building 9960 Septic System and Seepage Pits), and 8 and 58 (Open Dump [Coyote Canyon Blast Area] and Coyote Canyon Blast Area). Additional groundwater monitoring is required to be completed at existing wells associated with SWMUs 149 and 154; the work plan for these efforts is required to be submitted to the NMED by June 30, 2010. Groundwater monitoring wells are required to be installed and monitored at SWMUs 68, and 8/58. The work plan for the efforts at Sites 68 and 8/58 must be submitted to the NMED by September 30, 2010.

  o The section titled “SWMUs/AOCs to be Subject to Groundwater Monitoring Controls,” specifies that annual groundwater monitoring be conducted at SWMUs 49 and 116.
The section titled “SWMUs/AOCs to be Restricted to Industrial Land Use” indicates that NMED intends to restrict the future land use of the following SWMUs/AOCs to industrial:

1. SWMU 4 - Liquid Waste Disposal System Surface Impoundments
2. SWMU 46 - Old Acid Waste Line Outfall
3. SWMU 196 - Building 6597 Cistern
4. SWMU 234 - Storm Drain System Outfall
5. AOC 1090 - Building 6721 Septic System

The Section titled “SWMUs/AOCs that do not Require Corrective Action” includes the following SWMUs/AOCs:

1. SWMU 4 - Liquid Waste Disposal System Surface Impoundments
2. SWMU 5 - Liquid Waste Disposal System Drainfield
3. SWMU 28-2- Mine Shaft
4. SWMU 46 - Old Acid Waste Line Outfall
5. SWMU 49 - Building 9820 Drains (Lurance Canyon)
6. SWMU 91 - Lead Firing Site
7. SWMU 101 - Building 9926/9926A Septic System and Seepage Pit (Coyote Test Field)
8. SWMU 105 - Mercury Spill (Building 6536)
9. SWMU 116 - Building 9990 Septic System (Coyote Test Field)
10. SWMU 138 - Building 6630 Septic Systems (TA-III)
11. SWMU 140 - Building 9965 Septic System and Drywell (Thunder Range)
12. SWMU 147 - Building 9925 Septic Systems (Coyote Test Field)
13. SWMU 150 - Building 9939/9939A Septic System and Drainfield (Coyote Test Field)
14. SWMU 161 - Building 6636 Septic System (TA-III)
15. SWMU 196 - Building 6597 Cistern (TA-V)
16. SWMU 233 - Storm Drain System Outfall
17. SWMU 234 - Storm Drain System Outfall
18. AOC 1090 - Building 6721 Septic System (TA-III)
19. AOC 1094 - Live Fire Range East Septic System (Lurance Canyon)
20. AOC 1095 - Building 9938 Seepage Pit (Coyote Test Field)
21. AOC 1101 - Building 885 Septic System
22. AOC 1114 - Building 9978 Drywell (Coyote Test Field)
23. AOC 1115 - Former Offices Septic System (Solar Tower Complex)
24. AOC 1116 - Building 9981A Seepage Pit (Solar Tower Complex)
25. AOC 1117 - Building 9982 Drywell (Solar Tower Complex)
2.3 **Site-Wide Hydrogeologic Characterization**

2.3.1 **Technical Area-3/5 (TA 3/5) Groundwater**

- Groundwater sampling in TA-3/5 was completed in February 2010. Results of perchlorate analysis are discussed in Section III of this ER Quarterly Report, and other analytical results will be discussed in the Calendar Year 2010 Groundwater Protection Program (GWPP) Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (anticipated delivery to NMED in the summer of 2011).

2.3.2 **Burn Site Groundwater (BSG)**

- Groundwater sampling was completed in February and March 2010. Results of perchlorate analysis are discussed in Section III of this ER Quarterly Report, and other analytical results will be discussed in the Calendar Year 2010 GWPP Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (anticipated delivery to NMED in the summer of 2011).

2.3.3 **Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater (TAG)**

- Groundwater sampling was completed in February 2010. Results of perchlorate analysis are discussed in Section III of this ER Quarterly Report, and other analytical results will be discussed in the Calendar Year 2010 GWPP Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (anticipated delivery to NMED in the summer of 2011).

2.3.4 **Mixed Waste Landfill Groundwater (MWL)**

- Groundwater sampling was performed in April 2010. Results from these MWL sampling events will be discussed in the MWL Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for Calendar Year 2010 (anticipated delivery to NMED in the spring of 2011).
2.3.5  **Chemical Waste Landfill Groundwater (CWL)**

- CWL semi-annual groundwater monitoring activities were performed in April 2010. Validated analytical results associated with the April 2010 sampling were not available in time to be included in this June 2010 ER Quarterly Report, but will be summarized in Section II of the next ER Quarterly Report to be submitted in September 2010.
- DOE/Sandia proceeded with field activities associated with the installation of the four new groundwater monitoring wells (CWL-BW5 and CWL-MW9 through MW11); and decommissioning of groundwater monitoring wells CWL-BW4A, CWL-MW4, MW5U/L, and MW6U/L according to the approved Closure Plan Amendment. Well installation and decommissioning at the CWL should be completed in June 2010.

2.3.5.1  **Groundwater Documents Submitted to the NMED Pending Regulatory Review and Approval**


2.4  **Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU)**

CAMU Post-closure Care operations consist of vadose-zone monitoring, leachate removal, and post-closure inspections, as required in the permit. Activities for this reporting period include the following:

- Weekly pumping of leachate from the leachate collection and removal system.
- Weekly inspection of the less-than-90-day area.
- Quarterly inspection of the site (March 2010), including containment cell cover, storm water diversion structures, security fences, gates, signs, and benchmarks:
  - 12 four-wing saltbush plants and 24 snakeweed plants were identified growing on the cover. Because these plants can develop extensive root systems that could damage the high-density polyethylene cover, they were removed on March 25, 2010.
o Site-wide removal of tumbleweeds was completed on April 16, 2010.
o Site-wide trimming of vegetation, excluding the containment cell cover, was completed on April 16, 2010.
o A service request was submitted on April 22, 2010 to SNL/NM Facilities for replacing an electrical outlet cover on the south side of the containment cell.
o Two strands of the security fence were tightened on April 27, 2010.
o A small Chinese elm tree growing next to a benchmark was removed on April 28, 2010.
o Repainting of the Primary Sub-liner’s (PSL) protective steel casings is currently in progress.

- Quarterly monitoring of the Vadose Zone Monitoring System (VZMS) was conducted in March 2010. Results will be posted in the annual CAMU report.
- Waste management associated with the leachate collection was conducted and is outlined below, in this section.
- Composite leachate sampling for waste characterization was conducted on February 2, 2010.

2.4.1 CAMU Waste Management Activities

- Waste stored on site at the beginning of this period:
  o 123 gallons of leachate.
  o 2 lbs Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).
- Waste generated on-site during the period:
  o 142 gallons of leachate.
  o 6 gallons of rinsate.
  o 5 lbs PPE, paper wipes, plastic drum pump.
- Waste removed from site by the Hazardous Waste Management Facility:
  o 134 gallons of leachate on February 9, 2009.
  o 4 gallons of rinsate on February 9, 2010.
  o 5 lbs PPE, paper wipes, plastic drum pump on February 9, 2010.
- Waste remaining on site at the end of this period:
  o 131 gallons of leachate.
  o 2 gallons of rinsate.
  o 2 lbs PPE.

2.4.1.1 CAMU Regulatory Activities

- There were no regulatory activities during this quarter.
2.5 **Solid Waste Management Unit: Long Term Environmental Stewardship (LTES) Site 1, Cable Debris Site**

- The DOE/Sandia response to NMED’s “Notice of Disapproval: Investigation Report and Proposal for LTES Site 1 –Cable Debris Site” dated September 21, 2009 was submitted to NMED on February 12, 2010.

2.5.1 **LTES Documents Submitted to NMED Pending Regulatory Review and Approval**

- Investigation Report and Proposal for Corrective Action Complete for LTES Site 1/Cable Debris Site, was delivered to NMED in March 2009.
SECTION II. CHEMICAL WASTE LANDFILL QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

This Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico (SNL/NM) Chemical Waste Landfill (CWL) Quarterly Closure Progress Report has been prepared pursuant to the CWL Final Closure Plan and Post-closure Permit Application (Closure Plan) (SNL/NM December 1992). This section documents activities at the CWL for the reporting period of February through April 2010. The second FY10 semi-annual groundwater sampling event occurred at the CWL during April of this reporting period. Validated analytical results associated with this sampling event have not been received at this time and will be summarized in Section II of the next Environmental Restoration (ER) Consolidated Quarterly Report to be submitted in September 2010.

1.0 Introduction

All voluntary corrective measures (VCMs) activities for the CWL have been completed. The CWL Landfill Excavation (LE) VCM Final Report was submitted to the NMED in April 2003 (SNL/NM April 2003) and approved by the NMED in December 2003 (Moats December 2003). The Site Operational Boundary Closure Addendum to the LE VCM Final Report was submitted to the NMED in August 2005 (SNL/NM August 2005) and approved by the NMED on October 25, 2005 (Bearzi October 2005). With the submittal of the Waste Management Addendum to the LE VCM Final Report in the February 22, 2006 CWL Quarterly Closure Progress Report (SNL/NM February 2006), as Appendix B, all LE VCM regulatory deliverables have been submitted. With the completion of the VCMs, technical meetings will be held on an as-needed basis. The public will continue to be informed of significant events through the Environmental Restoration (ER) Project public meeting process.

Installation of the cover as an interim measure was requested in April 2004 (Wagner April 2004) and approved with conditions in September 2004 (Kieling September 2004); the cover was completed in September 2005 in accordance with the conditions of approval. All field activities have been completed at the CWL, with the exception of installation of new groundwater monitoring wells CWL-BW5 and CWL-MW9 through MW11 and decommissioning of groundwater monitoring wells CWL-BW4A, CWL-MW4, MW5U/L, and MW6U/L which are detailed in Section 2.0 below. Long-term monitoring under the NMED-approved CWL Post-Closure Care Permit (NMED October 2009) will commence after NMED approval of final closure.

2.0 Status of Closure

The Final Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Closure Report documents the completion of all closure activities specified in the “Risk-Based Approval Request, 40 CFR 761.61(c) Risk-Based Method for Management of PCB [Polychlorinated Biphenyl] Materials” (SNL/NM October 2001), approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in June 2002 (Cooke June 2002). The Final TSCA Closure Report was submitted to the EPA and NMED on November 2, 2006 (SNL/NM November 2006).

Upcoming CWL Closure Plan reporting activities include revising and submitting the Final Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Closure Report, to be submitted after NMED
approval of the Corrective Measures Study (CMS) Report has been received. The Final RCRA Closure Report will document both the backfilling of the former CWL and installation of the cover.

On May 21, 2007, the NMED issued, for public comment, the draft post-closure care permit for the CWL. Also included in the public notices were the CMS Report and the Closure Plan amendment (changes to Chapter 12 revising the closure process). On July 19, 2007, DOE and Sandia responded in opposition to the issuance of the CWL post-closure care permit as drafted and offered a number of comments, the most important of which were related to groundwater and vadose zone monitoring. In addition, DOE and Sandia requested that a public hearing be scheduled to address these outstanding issues.

The post-closure care permit negotiations that included a CWL Closure Plan amendment addressing the replacement of four groundwater monitoring wells, the CWL Post-Closure Care Permit, and the CWL Final Remedy, were completed on October 15, 2009 and documented in the settlement agreement and Final Order In the Matter of Application for a Post-Closure Care Hazardous Waste Permit for the Chemical Waste Landfill, Sandia National Laboratories No. NM5890110518 (Final Order) (NMED October 2009). NMED issued a “Notice of Approval Final Remedy and Closure Plan Amendment Chemical Waste Landfill” on October 16, 2009.

Sandia completed the planning and contracting activities associated with the installation of the four new groundwater monitoring wells (CWL-BW5 and CWL-MW9 through MW11) and decommissioning of groundwater monitoring wells CWL-BW4A, CWL-MW4, MW5U/L, and MW6U/L according to the approved Closure Plan Amendment. Representatives of the NMED participated in a pre-drilling site field visit on February 24, 2010 and confirmed the location of the four wells to be installed in the field. A GIS map was provided after the meeting that reflected the well locations pin flagged in the field. Drilling field work began the week of April 5, 2010. By the end of April groundwater monitoring wells CWL-BW5, CWL-MW9, and CWL-MW11 were installed and wells CWL-BW4A, CWL-MW4, CWL-MW5U/L, and CWL-MW6U/L were decommissioned. During the next reporting period the remaining monitoring well (CWL-MW10) will be installed and all four new wells will be developed.

3.0 Water Monitoring Assessment

CWL semi-annual groundwater monitoring activities were performed in April 2010. Final validated analytical results associated with this groundwater sampling event are pending receipt by SNL/NM personnel. The report describing groundwater sampling activities and presenting analytical sample results from the second FY10 semi-annual groundwater assessment period will be summarized in the September 2010 Environmental Restoration (ER) Consolidated Quarterly Report.

No soil-gas sampling was performed at the CWL during this reporting period. Soil-gas sampling is not required under the Closure Plan but will be a requirement for post-closure care (Kieling, December 2003).
4.0 Projected Activities for the Upcoming Quarter

Drilling, well installation, well development, and plugging and abandonment field work associated with the replacement of four groundwater monitoring wells at the CWL, according to the approved Closure Plan Amendment, represents final CWL closure activities and will be completed during the next quarter. After completion of all related field work, Sandia and DOE will prepare and submit the CWL Final RCRA Closure Report, including a Well Installation and Decommissioning Report documenting the 2010 field activities as an appendix.
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Executive Summary

Section IV.B of the Compliance Order on Consent (the Order), between the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and Sandia Corporation (Sandia) for Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico (SNL/NM), effective on April 29, 2004, stipulates that a select group of groundwater monitoring wells be sampled for perchlorate at SNL/NM (NMED April 2004). This report summarizes the perchlorate monitoring completed during the first quarter of Calendar Year 2010 (CY2010) (January, February, and March 2010) in response to the requirements of the Order. During the first quarter of CY2010, groundwater samples were collected from CYN-MW6, LWDS-MW1, TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, and TA2-W-27.

CYN-MW6 is one of the seven wells in the Burn Site Groundwater (BSG) monitoring well network and was sampled for perchlorate for the fourteenth time. LWDS-MW1 is in the Technical Area V (TA-V) Groundwater Investigation study area and was sampled for the fourth time for perchlorate based on requirements stipulated in an April 2009 letter from the NMED (NMED April 2009). TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, and TA2-W-27 are in the Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater (TAG) Investigation study area and were sampled for the third time for perchlorate based on NMED requirements (NMED April 2009). TA1-W-03 is also in the TAG Investigation study area and was required to be sampled for perchlorate based on NMED requirements (NMED April 2009) beginning in July 2009. However, before a sample could be collected, TA1-W-03 required well maintenance and was sampled for perchlorate for the first time during this reporting period.

All samples were submitted to General Engineering Laboratories (GEL) for perchlorate analysis using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 314.0 (EPA November 1999). No perchlorate was detected in the environmental samples from LWDS-MW1, TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, or TA2-W-27 at a method detection limit of 4 micrograms per liter (µg/L). No perchlorate has been detected during four consecutive quarterly sampling events at LWDS-MW1, so this well will be removed from the perchlorate screening well network. In March of 2010 the environmental samples from CYN-MW6 revealed perchlorate at concentrations of 4.59 µg/L. The source for the perchlorate in the groundwater at CYN-MW6 is unknown although a natural source may be present.

Because perchlorate concentrations in monitoring well CYN-MW6 have exceeded the screening level since March 2006, DOE/Sandia had initiated a negotiation process with the NMED (SNL/NM March 2007) to determine the frequency of continued monitoring. In November 2008, DOE/Sandia received approval from NMED to discontinue quarterly monitoring and proceed with semiannual sampling for perchlorate at CYN-MW6 (NMED November 2008).
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1.0 Introduction

Section IV.B of the Compliance Order on Consent (the Order), between the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and Sandia Corporation (Sandia) for Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico (SNL/NM), effective on April 29, 2004, stipulates that a select group of groundwater monitoring wells be sampled for perchlorate at SNL/NM (NMED April 2004). This report summarizes the perchlorate screening monitoring completed during the first quarter of Calendar Year 2010 (CY2010) (January, February, and March 2010) in response to the requirements of the Order. The outline of this report is based on the required elements of a “Periodic Monitoring Report” described in Section X.D. of the Order (NMED April 2004).

In November 2005, DOE/Sandia submitted a letter report on the status of perchlorate screening in groundwater at SNL/NM monitoring wells (SNL/NM November 2005). The purpose of that letter report was to summarize previous correspondence and sampling results, and to outline proposed future work to comply with NMED requirements for perchlorate screening in groundwater. Per the letter report, quarterly reports will be submitted for wells actively in the perchlorate-screening monitoring-well network. Based on NMED response (NMED January 2006), DOE/Sandia will submit each quarterly report within 90 days following the quarter that the data represent. In November 2008, DOE/Sandia received approval from NMED to proceed to semiannual reporting (NMED November 2008), and then upon further consideration NMED once more required quarterly reporting (NMED April 2009). This did not alter the previously negotiated frequency for CYN-MW6, an existing Burn Site Groundwater (BSG) study area well that has been under the sampling and reporting requirements of the Order since the well was installed, which will remain at semiannual sampling and reporting.


Groundwater monitoring well CYN-MW6 has now been sampled 14 times; TA-V well LWDS-MW1 has been sampled four consecutive quarters; TAG wells TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, and TA2-W-27 have been sampled three consecutive quarters; and TA1-W-03 has been sampled once (Figure 1). The Order requires that new wells be sampled for perchlorate for a minimum of four quarters (NMED April 2004). Reporting will continue as long as a groundwater monitoring well remains in the perchlorate-screening monitoring well network unless negotiated otherwise with NMED.

The April 30, 2009 NMED letter, required that TAG monitoring well TA1-W-03 be sampled for perchlorate and this well should have been sampled during two previous quarterly events. However, two attempts were made to sample this well on July 16th and 24th, 2009 and a groundwater sample could not be collected due to unstable turbidity measurements. Since July 2009, SNL/NM personnel have completed a borehole camera survey of this monitoring well, which determined that the well casing was in good physical condition. Following the camera survey TA1-W-03 was redeveloped in February 2010 to remove the silt and clay material that produced the turbid water (Sanders and Skelly February 2010).
Figure 1. Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico Current Perchlorate-Screening Monitoring-Well Network (January, February, and March 2010)
2.0 Scope of Activities

This report provides perchlorate screening results from the first quarter of CY2010 (January, February, and March 2010) for the wells currently active in the perchlorate screening program as shown on Figure 1 and listed in Table 1. It should be noted that CYN-MW6 is currently being sampled on a semi-annual basis and all other wells are being sampled quarterly. Per the requirements of Table XI-1 of the Order, a well with four consecutive quarters of non-detect results at the screening level/method detection limit (MDL) of 4 micrograms per liter (µg/L) is removed from the requirement of continued monitoring for perchlorate. Data from numerous wells identified in the Order have satisfied this requirement and, therefore, these wells have been removed from the perchlorate screening program. Data for these wells were provided in previous reports, and are not discussed in this current report. Wells discussed in previous perchlorate screening reports include: CYN-MW1D, CYN-MW5, CYN-MW7, CYN-MW8, MRN-2, MRN-3D, MWL-BW1, MWL-BW2, MWL-MW1, MWL-MW7, MWL-MW8, MWL-MW9, NWTA3-MW2, and SWTA3-MW4.

### Table 1
Current Perchlorate-Screening Monitoring-Well Network  
First Quarter of CY2010  
(January, February, and March 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Number of Consecutive Sampling Events&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Remaining Number of Sampling Events&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sampling Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYN-MW6</td>
<td>03-Mar-2010</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>TBD&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>17-FEB-2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>26-FEB-2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>11-JAN-2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>12-JAN-2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>13-JAN-2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>14-JAN-2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bennett&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; Pump</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

<sup>a</sup> Includes this sampling event.

<sup>b</sup> Per the requirements of Table XI-1 of the Order (NMED April 2004) a well will be removed from the perchlorate-screening monitoring-well network after four quarters unless perchlorate is detected above the screening level/MDL of 4 µg/L. If perchlorate is detected above the screening level/MDL in a specific well, monitoring will continue at that well at a frequency negotiated with the NMED.

<sup>c</sup> TBD = To be determined. This well has been sampled for the required initial four quarters. Because perchlorate concentrations in this well have exceeded the screening level, DOE/Sandia and the NMED have agreed to further characterization requirements in the BSG study area (NMED February 2010).
DOE/Sandia performed groundwater sampling at seven wells on the dates listed in Table 1. CYN-MW6 was installed after the Order was finalized and was therefore required to be sampled for perchlorate as a “new” well; the other six wells were specifically required by NMED’s April 2009 letter (NMED April 2009). Groundwater sampling activities were conducted in conformance with procedures outlined in the investigation-specific sampling and analysis plans (SAP) entitled:

- “Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater Investigation, Mini-SAP for FY10, 2nd Quarter Sampling, January 2010” (SNL/NM December 2009b),
- “Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater Investigation, Mini-SAP for TA1-W03, FY10, 2nd Quarter Sampling, February 2010” (SNL/NM February 2010a),
- “TA-V Groundwater Monitoring Mini-SAP for Second Quarter, Fiscal Year 2010” (SNL/NM January 2010), and

As described in the Mini-SAPs, groundwater sampling was performed in conformance with current Sandia Environmental Management, Long Term Environmental Stewardship (LTES) Project field operating procedures (FOPs). A portable Bennett groundwater sampling system was used to collect the groundwater samples. The sampling pump and tubing bundle were decontaminated prior to installation into monitoring wells in accordance with procedures described in FOP 05-03, “LTES Groundwater Sampling Equipment Decontamination” (SNL/NM August 2007a). Wells TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, and TA2-W-27 were purged a minimum of one saturated screen volume before sampling in conformance with FOP 05-01, “LTES Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling and Field Analytical Measurements” (SNL/NM August 2007b). Wells CYN-MW6 and LWDS-MW1 are low-yield monitoring wells, and were purged dry and allowed to recover before sampling to ensure a representative groundwater sample.

Field water-quality measurements for turbidity, potential of hydrogen (pH), temperature, specific conductance (SC), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were obtained from the well prior to collecting groundwater samples. Groundwater temperature, SC, ORP, DO, and pH were measured with a YSI Model 620 Water Quality Meter. Turbidity was measured with a HACH Model 2100P turbidity meter. Purging continued until four stable measurements for turbidity, pH, temperature, and SC were obtained. Groundwater stability is considered acceptable when:

- Turbidity measurements are within 10 percent, or less than 5 nephelometric turbidity units (NTUs),
- pH is within 0.1 units,
- Temperature is within 1.0 degrees Celsius, and
- SC is within 5 percent.

Field Measurement Logs documenting details of well purging and water quality measurements were submitted to the Sandia Customer-Funded Records Center.

The groundwater samples were submitted to General Engineering Laboratories (GEL) for chemical analysis for perchlorate using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 314.0 (EPA November 1999). The sample identification, Analysis Request/Chain-of-Custody (ARCOC) form number, and the sample shipment date are provided in Table 2. The analytical report from GEL, including certificates of analyses (COA) (Appendix A), analytical methods, MDLs, practical quantitation limits (PQLs), dates of analyses, results of quality control (QC) analyses, and data validation findings (Appendix B) have been submitted to the Sandia Customer-Funded Records Center.
Table 2
Sample Details for the First Quarter of CY2010 Perchlorate Sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Sample Identification</th>
<th>ARCCOC Number</th>
<th>Associated Groundwater Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYN-MW6</td>
<td>088180-020</td>
<td>612580</td>
<td>BSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>088141-020</td>
<td>612560</td>
<td>TA-V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>088220-020</td>
<td>612604</td>
<td>TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>088014-020</td>
<td>612513</td>
<td>TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>088015-020</td>
<td>612514</td>
<td>TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>088016-020</td>
<td>612515</td>
<td>TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>088020-020 088021-020</td>
<td>612517</td>
<td>TAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
ARCCOC = Analysis request and chain of custody.
BSG = Burn Site Groundwater.
TAG = Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater.
TA-V = Technical Area V.

3.0 Regulatory Criteria

In a given monitoring well, four consecutive non-detects (NDs) using the screening level/MDL of 4 µg/L are considered by the NMED to be evidence of the absence of perchlorate, such that additional monitoring for perchlorate in that well is not required. If perchlorate is detected using the screening level/MDL of 4 µg/L in a specific well, then monitoring will continue at that well at a frequency negotiated with the NMED. The Order (NMED April 2004) also requires that for detections equal to or greater than 4 µg/L, the DOE/Sandia will evaluate the nature and extent of perchlorate contamination, based on a screening level/MDL of 4 µg/L, and requires that the results of this evaluation be incorporated into a Corrective Measures Evaluation (CME). Section VII.C of the Order clarifies that the CME process will be initiated where there was a release to the environment and where corrective measures are necessary to protect human health or the environment.

In March 2007, DOE/Sandia received a letter from the NMED stating the requirement that DOE/Sandia “determine the nature and extent of the contamination and complete a Corrective Measures Evaluation for the perchlorate-impacted groundwater in the vicinity of CYN-MW6” (NMED March 2007). As this was based solely on the four quarters of monitoring results,
DOE/Sandia submitted a letter to the NMED in April 2007 (SNL/NM April 2007) which recommended further characterization through continued quarterly monitoring of CYN-MW6 for four additional quarters, ending in December 2007, to assure appropriate characterization of this well. In January 2008, DOE/Sandia requested a meeting with NMED to discuss the need for continued monitoring or additional characterization work, and potentially, a CME.

In preparation of discussing the perchlorate-impacted groundwater in the vicinity of CYN-MW6 and to show that the requirement “to determine the nature and extent of contamination” (NMED March 2007) has been met, DOE/Sandia provided supporting information to the NMED (SNL/NM March 2008). Perchlorate in surface soils has been characterized at Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) in the study area (SNL/NM June 2006; SNL/NM March 2008--Appendix C). Based upon these data, DOE/Sandia believe the nature and extent of perchlorate in groundwater at the Burn Site has been sufficiently characterized. Since 2004, four other monitoring wells in the vicinity of the Burn Site have been sampled and analyzed for perchlorate, including CYN-MW1D, CYN-MW5, CYN-MW7, and CYN-MW8. All of these wells were sampled for four quarters and all results were non-detect for perchlorate (SNL/NM March 2008--Appendix D).

Per the requirements of Section VI.K.1.b of the Order (NMED April 2004), a human health risk assessment has been performed to evaluate the potential for adverse health effects from the concentrations of perchlorate detected in CYN-MW6 groundwater. The maximum concentration of perchlorate in CYN-MW6 to date (8.93 μg/L) was used in the assessment. The calculated hazard quotient (HQ) of 0.35 is less than the NMED target level of a Hazard Index (the sum of all HQs) of 1.0 (NMED June 2006) (SNL/NM March 2008--Appendix E).

Because perchlorate concentrations in monitoring well CYN-MW6 have exceeded the screening level, DOE/Sandia initiated a negotiation process with the NMED (SNL/NM March 2007) to determine the frequency of continued monitoring. In November 2008, DOE/Sandia received approval from NMED to proceed with semi-annual monitoring of perchlorate in CYN-MW6 and proceed with semiannual reporting of all perchlorate results (NMED November 2008). Upon further consideration, NMED once more required that DOE/Sandia resume quarterly reporting of perchlorate results with the exception of CYN-MW6 (NMED April 2009).

In April 2009, DOE/Sandia received a letter from the NMED requiring DOE/Sandia to characterize the nature and extent of the perchlorate contamination in soils and groundwater in the BSG study area (NMED April 2009). A characterization work plan was prepared and submitted to the NMED (SNL/NM November 2009), and subsequently approved by the NMED (NMED February 2010). In the April 2009 letter the NMED had also requested that DOE/Sandia monitor perchlorate concentrations for a minimum of four quarters at several Tijeras Arroyo Groundwater and Technical Area-V monitoring wells, including TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, TA2-W-27, and LWDS-MW1 (NMED April 2009).
4.0 Monitoring Results

Table 3 summarizes current and historical perchlorate results for wells currently in the perchlorate-screening monitoring network. The analytical laboratory COA for the first quarter of CY2010 perchlorate data is included as Appendix A. Perchlorate was not detected above the screening level in TA1-W-03, and consistent with historical analytical results, perchlorate was not detected above the screening level in LWDS-MW1, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, or TA2-W-27. Consistent with historical analytical results, perchlorate was detected above the screening level/MDL in the first quarter of CY2010 in CYN-MW6. As shown in Figure 2, the concentration of perchlorate found in CYN-MW6 in March 2010 (4.59 μg/L) is similar to the concentrations found during the last sampling event, but significantly less than concentrations from previous quarters (for example, SNL/NM June 2009). The hydrograph of CYN-MW6 (Figure 3) shows that the water table is rapidly declining in this well. The decreasing perchlorate concentrations during recent sampling events may be related to declining water levels in this well.

Table 4 summarizes field water quality measurements collected immediately before the analytical sample was collected. Field water quality measurements include turbidity, pH, temperature, SC, ORP, and DO.

The analytical data were reviewed and qualified in accordance with Administrative Operating Procedure (AOP) 00-03 Revision 2, “Data Validation Procedure for Chemical and Radiochemical Data” (SNL/NM July 2007). Although validation qualifiers were assigned to several of the analytical results, no problems were identified with the analytical data that resulted in the qualification of the data as unusable. The data are acceptable and reported quality control measures are adequate. The data validation sample findings summary sheets for the perchlorate data are included as Appendix B.

No variances or nonconformances in field activities or field conditions from requirements in the groundwater monitoring mini-SAPs (SNL/NM December 2009b, January 2010, February 2010a, and February 2010b) were identified during the first quarter of CY2010 sampling activities.

5.0 Summary and Conclusions

Based on the analytical data presented in Table 3 and in previous reports, the following statements can be made:

- No perchlorate was detected in the environmental samples from groundwater monitoring wells LWDS-MW1, TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, or TA2-W-27 at a screening level/MDL of 4 μg/L.
- Since June 2004 (the start of sampling required by the Order), perchlorate has only been detected above the screening level/MDL (4 μg/L) in one of the wells (CYN-MW6) in the perchlorate-screening monitoring-well network.
- The analytical result from CYN-MW6 for the first quarter of CY2010 sampling event (4.59 μg/L) is similar to the last sampling event, but significantly less than concentrations reported since the inception of sampling for perchlorate at CYN-MW6 in March 2006 (Figure 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well ID</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
<th>ARCCO No.</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Perchlorate Result&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (µg/L)</th>
<th>MDL&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (µg/L)</th>
<th>PQL&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (µg/L)</th>
<th>MCL&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (µg/L)</th>
<th>Laboratory Qualifier&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Validation Qualifier&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Analytical Method&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYN-MW6</td>
<td>23-Mar-06</td>
<td>609578</td>
<td>075985-020</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>075986-020</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>075985-R20</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Hh</td>
<td>HT, J</td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Re-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>075986-R20</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Hh</td>
<td>HT, J</td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Re-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22-Jun-06</td>
<td>609929</td>
<td>078687-020</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>078688-020</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>078687-021</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>078688-021</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Duplicate Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-Sep-06</td>
<td>610652</td>
<td>081626-020</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>081626-R20</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Re-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-Dec-06</td>
<td>611057</td>
<td>083858-020</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>083859-020</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14-Mar-07</td>
<td>611200</td>
<td>084237-020</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27-Jun-07</td>
<td>611399</td>
<td>084833-020</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>J-, X1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>084833-R20</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Re-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-Sep-07</td>
<td>611581</td>
<td>085249-020</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>085249-R20</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Hh</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>EPA 6850M</td>
<td>Verification/Re-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-Dec-07</td>
<td>611668</td>
<td>085446-020</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>085447-020</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-Mar-08</td>
<td>611749</td>
<td>085661-020</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23-Jun-08</td>
<td>611912</td>
<td>086280-020</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-Sep-08</td>
<td>612004</td>
<td>086782-020</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02-Mar-09</td>
<td>612120</td>
<td>087047-020</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-Sep-09</td>
<td>612392</td>
<td>087734-020</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>J-</td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087735-020</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>J-</td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03-Mar-10</td>
<td>612580</td>
<td>088180-020</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to notes at the bottom of table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well ID</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
<th>ARCCOC No.</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Perchlorate Result&lt;br&gt;(µg/L)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>MDL&lt;br&gt;(µg/L)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PQL&lt;br&gt;(µg/L)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>MCL&lt;br&gt;(µg/L)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Laboratory Qualifier&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Validation Qualifier&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Analytical Method&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>10-Jun-09</td>
<td>612210</td>
<td>087464-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-Sep-09</td>
<td>612368</td>
<td>087662-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>UJ</td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087663-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>UJ</td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>08-Dec-09</td>
<td>612496</td>
<td>087970-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087971-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-Feb-10</td>
<td>612560</td>
<td>088141-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>26-Feb-10</td>
<td>612604</td>
<td>088220-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>21-Jul-09</td>
<td>612301</td>
<td>087550-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087551-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-Oct-09</td>
<td>612463</td>
<td>087872-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-Jan-10</td>
<td>612513</td>
<td>088014-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>22-Jul-09</td>
<td>612302</td>
<td>087553-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29-Oct-09</td>
<td>612464</td>
<td>087873-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-Jan-10</td>
<td>612514</td>
<td>088015-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>30-Jul-09</td>
<td>612306</td>
<td>087562-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-Oct-09</td>
<td>612466</td>
<td>087875-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087876-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-Jan-10</td>
<td>612515</td>
<td>088016-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>03-Aug-09</td>
<td>612308</td>
<td>087566-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02-Nov-09</td>
<td>612467</td>
<td>087877-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14-Jan-10</td>
<td>612517</td>
<td>088020-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>088021-020</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPA 314.0</td>
<td>Duplicate sample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Result
Values in **bold** exceed the screening level/MDL.
ND = not detected (at method detection limit).
µg/L = micrograms per liter.

Notes
Table 3 (concluded)
Summary of Perchlorate Screening Analytical Results for the Current Monitoring-Well Network, as of First Quarter CY2010.

Notes (continued)

*bMDL
Method detection limit. The minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte is greater than zero, analyte is matrix specific.

*cPQL
Practical quantitation limit. The lowest concentration of analytes in a sample that can be reliably determined within specified limits of precision and accuracy by the indicated method under routine laboratory operating conditions.

dMCL
Maximum contaminant level. Established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Primary Water Regulations [40 CFR 141.11(b)], and subsequent amendments or the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board in Title 20, Chapter 7, Part 1 of the New Mexico Administrative Code (20MAC 7.1).

NE = Not established.

fValidation Qualifier
If cell is blank, then all quality control samples meet acceptance criteria with respect to submitted samples and no qualifier was assigned.
HT = The holding time was exceeded for the associated sample analysis.
J = The associated value is an estimated quantity.
J- = The associated value is an estimated quantity with a suspected negative bias.
P2 = Insufficient quality control data to determine laboratory precision.
UJ = Analyte is absent or below the method detection limit and the associated quantitation limits (MDL and PQL) may be inaccurate or imprecise because the analysis was performed outside method specific hold time requirements.
X1 = General data quality is suspect.

gAnalytical Method
Figure 2. Perchlorate Concentrations (µg/L) over Time in CYN-MW6

The screening level/MDL for perchlorate required by the Order (NMED April 2004) is 4.0 µg/L.

Transition from quarterly monitoring to semiannual monitoring
Figure 3. Groundwater Elevations over Time at CYN-MW6
Table 4  
Perchlorate Screening Groundwater Monitoring  
Field Water Quality Measurements\(^a\), First Quarter of CY2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well ID</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Specific Conductivity (µmho/cm)</th>
<th>Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Turbidity (NTU)</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen (% Sat)</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYN-MW6</td>
<td>03-Mar-10</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>231.2</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>17-Feb-10</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>26-Feb-10</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>209.6</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>11-Jan-10</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>8.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>12-Jan-10</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>7.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>13-Jan-10</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>14-Jan-10</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

\(^a\) Field measurements made immediately before the groundwater sample was collected.

°C degrees Celsius.

% Sat percent saturation.

µmho/cm micromhos per centimeter.

mg/L milligrams per liter.

mV millivolts.

NTU nephelometric turbidity units.

pH potential of hydrogen (negative logarithm of the hydrogen ion concentration).
A human health risk assessment has been performed to evaluate the potential for adverse health effects from the concentrations of perchlorate detected in CYN-MW6 groundwater. The maximum concentration of perchlorate in CYN-MW6 to date (8.93 μg/L) was used in the assessment. The calculated HQ of 0.35 is less than the NMED target level of a Hazard Index (the sum of all HQs) of 1.0 (NMED June 2006 and SNL/NM March 2008).

DOE/Sandia will continue semiannual monitoring of perchlorate in CYN-MW6 and quarterly monitoring of perchlorate in TA1-W-03, TA1-W-06, TA1-W-08, TA2-W-01, and TA2-W-27. No perchlorate has been detected during four consecutive quarterly sampling events at LWDS-MW1, so this well will be removed from the perchlorate screening well network.

Based on recent requirements (NMED April 2009), DOE/Sandia has prepared and submitted a work plan that describes efforts to characterize the nature and extent of the perchlorate contamination in soils and groundwater in the BSG study area. In February 2010 NMED approved the work plan (NMED February 2010) and the activities described in the work plan will be implemented during the summer of 2010.

6.0 References

EPA (see US Environmental Protection Agency).


Sanders, M.R. and M.F. Skelly February 2010. Technical Memorandum to John Cochran (Department 6765) and Terry Cooper (Department 4133) “February 2010 Redevelopment of SNL/NM Monitoring Wells MRN-3D and TA1-W-03” Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico Environmental Restoration Project. February 24, 2010.


Appendix A

Analytical Laboratory Certificates of Analysis for the Perchlorate Data
**CONTRACT LABORATORY**

**ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No./Fraction</th>
<th>Pump Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time(h) Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Reference LOV (available at SMO)</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088180-005 CYN-MW6</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0303100951</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>4x1 L</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TPH Diesel (SW846-8015A/B) SVOC</td>
<td>004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-006 CYN-MW6</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0303100850</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TPH Gasoline (SW846-8015A/B) VOC</td>
<td>005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-016 CYN-MW6</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0303100863</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-020 CYN-MW6</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0303100854</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RMMA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Disposal</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Ref. No.</th>
<th>Sample Tracking</th>
<th>Spec Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return to Client</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Disposal by lab</td>
<td>Date Entered (mm/dd)</td>
<td>Verif Use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Turnaround Time**

- 7 Day
- 15 Day
- 30 Day

**Return Samples By:**

- Yes
- No
- Negotiated TAT
- QC Init

**Sample Team Members**

- William J. Gibson
- Robert Lynch
- Alfred Santillanes

**Special Instructions/QC Requirements**

- EDD: Yes
- No

**Abnormal Conditions on Receipt**

- Level D Package: Yes
- No

**Tim Jackson/Org. 4133/MS 0729/505-284-2547**

- No perchlorate verification analysis is required.
- Last well of Burn Site GMW of 2nd Quarter

**Please list as separate report.**

- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
- Org. Date Time
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: March 31, 2010

Client Sample ID: 088180-020
Sample ID: 248343007
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 03-MAR-10 08:54
Receive Date: 04-MAR-10
Collector: Client

Project: SNLSGWaer
Client ID: SNLS003
Client Desc.: CYN-MW6
Vol. Recv.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR103/23/10</td>
<td>2058</td>
<td>962516</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.00459</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT A

### FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>BSG</th>
<th>Project No.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well ID:</td>
<td>CYN-MWG</td>
<td>Date: 3-2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>Clear &amp; Cold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
<td>Dedicated pump</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Ltes</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L gals</th>
<th>·Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>148.21</td>
<td>0802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.88</td>
<td>0818</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>273.5</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.74</td>
<td>0828</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>258.1</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.57</td>
<td>0838</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>246.9</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.41</td>
<td>0848</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.03</td>
<td>0851</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.04</td>
<td>0851</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.21</td>
<td>0827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.98</td>
<td>0839</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>237.3</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.27</td>
<td>0844</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>233.9</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.53</td>
<td>0849</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>231.7</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC number(s):</td>
<td>612580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample number(s):</td>
<td>088180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Purge Volume Calculations

Well Diameter

- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons

Tubing Diameter

- 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters

Prior to measurement: 0809

3-3-10 0835
# Contract Laboratory Analysis Request and Chain of Custody

**Batch No.:** 4133/1136  
**SMO Use:** 2/7/12  
**Project/Task No.:** 125778.10.11.01  
**SMO Authorization:**  
**Contract #:** 691436  
**Waste Characterization:**  
- Send preliminary/copy report to:  
**Released by COC No.:**  
**Validation Required:**  
**Bill To:** Sandia National Labs (Accounts Payable)  

**Location:**  
**Building:**  
**Room:**  

### Reference LOV (available at SMO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No./Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time (hr)</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088141-001</td>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td>02/17/10 0830</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>VOC (SW845/8260B)</td>
<td>012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088141-016</td>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td>02/17/10 0831</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088141-020</td>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td>02/17/10 0832</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088142-001</td>
<td>TAV-TB14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>02/17/10 0830</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>VOC (SW845/8260B)</td>
<td>015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RMMA:**  
- **Yes**  
- **No**  
- **Ref. No.**  

**Special Instructions/QC Requirements**  
- Level D Package  
- Abnormal Conditions on Receipt  

**Return Samples By:**  
- **7 Day**  
- **15 Day**  
- **30 Day**  

**Sample Tracking:**  
- ** smoUse**

**Sample Disposal:**  
- **Return to Client**

**Sample Tracking:**  
- ** smoUse**

**Turnaround Time:**  
- **7 Day**  
- **15 Day**  
- **30 Day**

**Return Samples By:**  
- **Negotiated TAT**

**Signature:**

**Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular:**

- **Robert Lynch**
- **Alfred Sandilanes**

**Date/Time:**  
- **01/15**
- **01/12**
- **01/09**
- **01/05**

**Please list as separate report.**
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: March 13, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR103/04/10 1531 959791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT A

### FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAV-GWM</th>
<th>Project No:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>Date: 2-16-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td></td>
<td>2-17-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
<td>Dedicated pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L gs</th>
<th>-Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Color and appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>499.23</td>
<td>0802</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>228.8</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507.89</td>
<td>0827</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519.79</td>
<td>0833</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511.79</td>
<td>0839</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>244.6</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512.11</td>
<td>0842</td>
<td>0842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499.29</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505.00</td>
<td>0818</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506.65</td>
<td>0925</td>
<td>17.45</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507.60</td>
<td>0829</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COC number(s): 612560  
Sample number(s): 088141

### Purge Volume Calculations

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters

4.00 gals purged from tubing 0816

2-17 0816
**CONTRACT LABORATORY**

**ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tech Area</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.-Fraction</td>
<td>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</td>
<td>Depth (ft)</td>
<td>ER Site No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088220-020</td>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference LOV (available at SMO)**

- **RMMA**: Yes | No | Ref. No. | Sample Tracking | Smo Use | Special Instructions/QC Requirements | EDD | Yes | No | Abnormal Conditions on Receipt |
- **Sample Disposal**: Return to Client | Yes | Disposal by lab | Date Entered (mm/dd/yy) |
- **Turnaround Time**: 7 Day | 15 Day | 30 Day | Entered by: |-|
- **Return Samples By**: Negotiated TAT | QC Initiatives |

**Sample Team Members**

- **Name**: Robert Lynch | Signature: | Init: | Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Westom/4133/844-4013/250-7090
- **Name**: Alfred Santillanes | Signature: | Init: | Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Westom/4133/844-5130/228-0710
- **Name**: William J. Gibson | Signature: | Init: | Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Westom/4133/844-4013/739-767

**Please list as separate report.**

1. Received by Alfred Santillanes | Org. | Date | Time | Date Time
2. Received by Alfred Santillanes | Org. | Date | Time | Date Time
3. Relinquished by Alfred Santillanes | Org. | Date | Time | Date Time
4. Received by Org. | Date Time
5. Relinquished by Alfred Santillanes | Org. | Date | Time | Date Time
6. Received by Org. | Date | Time
7. Received by Org. | Date | Time
8. Received by Org. | Date | Time

**Send report to:**

Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0755/ 284-2547

**Lab Use**
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Client Sample ID: 088220-020
Sample ID: 248347001
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 26-FEB-10 09:30
Receive Date: 02-MAR-10
Collector: Client

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>ng/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following Analytical Methods were performed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Analyst Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT A

### FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>Project No.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>Date: 02-26-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>Clear &amp; Cold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
<td>Dedicated pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>359</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr Vol. Lgs</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec μmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow Lgs</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Color and appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>346.75</td>
<td>0758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347.98</td>
<td>0824</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>222.3</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.09</td>
<td>0938</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.19</td>
<td>0951</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>207.2</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.26</td>
<td>0904</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>207.4</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.27</td>
<td>0909</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>208.5</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0915</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>208.9</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0920</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0926</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0931</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>209.0</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| COC number(s):      | 612609               |
| Sample number(s):   | 088220               |

**Purge Volume Calculations**

### Well Diameter

- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons

### Tubing Diameter

- 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
## Analysis Request and Chain of Custody

### Sample Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ER Sample ID</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088014-020</td>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>01/11/10 10:11</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference LOV (available at SMO)

- Perchlorate (314.0)

### Conditions on Receipt

- Level D Package: Yes
- Special Instructions/QC Requirements: EDD: Yes

### Return Samples By

- Name: Robert Lynch
- Signature: [Signature]
- Init: [Init]
- Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Weston 4133/844-4013/250-7090

### Turnaround Time

- 7 Day / 15 Day / 30 Day / 15 Day Entered by

### RMMA

- Yes
- Ref. No.

### Sample Disposal

- Return to Client: Yes
- Disposal by Lab: Yes
- Date Entered (mm/dd/yy)

### Abnormal

- Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0766/264-2547

### Additional Notes

- Please list as separate report.

---

### Waste Characterization

- Send preliminary/copy report to:

---

### Cost Breakdown

- Contract #: 691436
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: February 1, 2010

Client Sample ID: 088014-020
Sample ID: 244469001
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 11-JAN-10 10:11
Receive Date: 12-JAN-10
Collector: Client
Project: SNLSGWater
Client ID: SNLS003
Client Desc.: TA1-W-06
Vol. Recv.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR 10/19/10 14:11 942144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

Method | Description | Analyst Comments
-------|-------------|------------------|
1       | EPA 314.0 DOE-AL |
# ATTACHMENT A

## FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>1-11-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Loss</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec μmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/gal</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Saline water appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>305.70</td>
<td>0817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>60.7 8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.02</td>
<td>0902</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>234.0</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.02</td>
<td>0916</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>233.2</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.02</td>
<td>0932</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.63</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.01</td>
<td>0948</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>216.2</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.00</td>
<td>0955</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>8.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COC number(s):** 612513

**Sample number(s):** 088014

---

**Purge Volume Calculations**

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.15 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/h X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/h X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.5 ml/h X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters

---

Disclaimer: The information provided is for illustrative purposes only and may not reflect actual measurements or calculations.
# CONTRACT LABORATORY

## ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

### AR/COC

- **612514**

### Internal Lab

- **Batch No.:** N/A
- **Dept./Mail Stop:** 4133/1126
- **Project/Task Manager:** Don Schofield
- **Project Name:** TAG-GWM
- **Record Center Code:** ER1306/DAT
- **Logbook Ref. No.:** NA
- **Service Order No.:** CPO# 010-10
- **Location:** Tech Area

### Sample Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.-Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Special Instructions/QC Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088015-020</td>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>01/12/10 0956</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RMMA

- **Yes**
- **No Ref. No.**

### Sample Disposal

- **Return to Client**
- **Disposal by lab**

### Turnaround Time

- **7 Day**
- **15 Day**
- **30 Day**

### Return Samples By

- **Negotiated TAT**
- **QC Init.**

### Sample

- **Name:** Robert Lynch
- **Signature:**
- **Init:**
- **Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular:** Weston/4133/844-4013/250-7090

### Team Members

- **Alfred Sanillanes**

### Sample Tracking

- **Date Entered (mm/dd/yy):**

### Special Instructions/QC Requirements

- **Level D Package**
- **Yes**
- **No**

### Abnormal Conditions on Receipt

- **Send report to:** Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0756/284-2547

### Please list as separate report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Org.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/10 Time 1015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/10 Time 1135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/30 Time 0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/30 Time 0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/30 Time 0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>11/30 Time 0235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Orginal Receivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Org.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org.</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Receipt

- **Send report to:** Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0756/284-2547

### Lab Use

- **Org.**
- **Date**
- **Time**

---

**Note:** The image contains a table with detailed information about a sample analysis request and chain of custody. The table includes columns for sample details, tracking, and instructions, as well as details about the sample's disposal and turnaround time. The document also includes signatures and dates for various events, indicating the progression of the sample through the laboratory system. The final section allows for additional notes about the sample's receipt and use.
# Certificate of Analysis

**Company:** Sandia National Laboratories  
**Address:** MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276  
1515 Eubank SE  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123  
**Contact:** Ms. Pamela M. Puissant  
**Project:** Level C, Groundwater Monitoring  

---

**Client Sample ID:** 088015-020  
**Sample ID:** 244469002  
**Matrix:** AQUEOUS  
**Collect Date:** 12-JAN-10 09:56  
**Receive Date:** 13-JAN-10  
**Collector:** Client  
**Project:** SNLSGWter  
**Client ID:** SNLS003  
**Client Desc.:** TA1-W-08  
**Vol. Recv.:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR1/19/10 1522 942144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The following Analytical Methods were performed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ATTACHMENT A**

**FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>Project No:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>7A1-W-09</td>
<td>Date: 1-12-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Method:**
- [x] Portable pump
- [ ] Dedicated pump

**Pump depth:** 321

**PURGE MEASUREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. L/g</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/g</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>256.7</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0832</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>226.9</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0848</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0905</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>217.5</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0924</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>217.7</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0933</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0942</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0951</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>7.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COC number(s):** 612514

**Sample number(s):** 088015

**Purge Volume Calculations**

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.66 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 13.5 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters

~ 4.00 gals purged from tubing 0817
## CONTRACT LABORATORY

### ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

**AR/COC:** 612515

- **Date:** 2010
- **Page:** 1 of 1

### Reference LOV (available at SMO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No./Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time (hr) Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>008016-001</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>01/13/10 1005</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080016-018</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>01/13/10 1006</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080016-020</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>01/13/10 1007</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080017-001</td>
<td>TAG-TB1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>01/13/10 1005</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RMMA

- **Yes:**
- **No:**
- **Ref. No.:**

### Sample Disposal

- **Return to Client:**
- **Disposal by lab:**

### Turnaround Time

- **7 Day:**
- **15 Day:**
- **30 Day:**

### Sample Tracking

- **Sample Tracking:**
- **Date Entrained (methodology):**

### Special Instructions/QC Requirements

- **EDD:**
- **Yes:**
- **No:**

### Level D Package

- **Yes:**
- **No:**

### Abnormal Conditions on Receipt

### Send report to:

- **Tim Jackson/ORG. 4138/MS 0756/284-2547**

### Sample Team Members

- **Robert Lynch**
- **Alfred Santillanes**

### *Please list as separate report.*

### *Note:

1. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
2. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
3. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
4. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
5. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
6. Replaced by
   - Org. #: 4133 Date: 11/1310 Time: 1030
# Certificate of Analysis

**Company:** Sandia National Laboratories  
**Address:** MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276  
1515 Eubank SE  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123  
**Contact:** Ms. Pamela M. Puissant  
**Project:** Level C, Groundwater Monitoring  

**Report Date:** February 1, 2010

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ion Chromatography</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR1/01/19/10</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>942144</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed:

**Method** | **Description** | **Analyst Comments**
---|---|---
1 | EPA 314.0 DOE-AL |
# ATTACHMENT A

## FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

**Project Name:** TAG  
**Project No.:**  
**Well I.D.:** TA2-W-01  
**Date:** 1-13-10  
**Weather:**  
**Method:**  
- Portable pump  
- Dedicated pump  

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol Ltrs</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmhos</th>
<th>ORP mv</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow Ltrs</th>
<th>TURB NTU</th>
<th>DO mg/l</th>
<th>Color and Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>325.23</td>
<td>0805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.86</td>
<td>0859</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>258.3</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>78.7  8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.16</td>
<td>0915</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>252.5</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>81.2  8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.40</td>
<td>0932</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>76.2  7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.46</td>
<td>0940</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>247.4</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>80.1  7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0948</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>246.7</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>82.6  8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0952</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>245.2</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>82.8  8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0956</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>245.2</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>81.6  7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>83.4  8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.57</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>83.5  8.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COC number(s):** 612575  
**Sample number(s):** 088016

### Purge Volume Calculations

**Well Diameter**
- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons

**Tubing Diameter**
- 1/4" OD: 2.4 m/V X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 m/V X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.6 m/V X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters

* Hit sediment @ bottom of well ~ 334", pulled pump cleaned and lowered again  
* Purged from tubing

**Sample:** 0840

---

The document includes a table with measurements and calculations for purge volume, as well as notes on the purge process, including tubing diameter and well diameter calculations. The purge volume is calculated to be approximately 4.00 gallons.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.-Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Container</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088026-001</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>011410101613</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088020-018</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td>0114101015</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088020-020</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td>0114101016</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-001</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td>0114101013</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-018</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td>0114101015</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-020</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
<td>0114101015</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088022-001</td>
<td>TAG-TB3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0114101013</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>VOC (SW846/8260B)</td>
<td>013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RMMA**
- □ Yes  □ No  □ Ref. No.

**Sample Disposal**
- □ Return to Client  □ Disposal by lab

**Sample Tracking**
- □ Date Entailed(mm/dd/yy)

**Smo Use**
- □ Yes  □ No

**Turnaround Time**
- □ 7 Day  □ 15 Day  □ 30 Day

**Entered by**
- □ Yes  □ No

**EDD**
- □ Yes  □ No

**Abnormal Conditions on Receipt**
- □ Yes  □ No

**Special Instructions/QC Requirements**
- □ Level D Package  □ Yes  □ No

**Negotiated TAT**
- □ QC Instr.

**Sample Team Members**
- Robert Lynch
- Alfred Santillanes
- William J. Gibson

**Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0756/ 284-2547**

**Please list as separate report.**

1. Relinquished by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38
2. Received by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38
3. Relinquished by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38
4. Received by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38
5. Relinquished by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38
6. Received by Org. 4137 Date 11/20/10 Time 10:38

**Contract Laboratory Analysis Request and Chain of Custody**

**AR/COC**
- 612517
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR 10/19/10</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>942144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed
Method Description Analyst Comments
1 EPA 314.0 DOE-AL
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: February 1, 2010

Client Sample ID: 088021-020  
Sample ID: 244469012  
Matrix: AQUEOUS  
Collect Date: 14-JAN-10 10:16  
Receive Date: 15-JAN-10  
Collector: Client  
Project: SNLSGWATER  
Client ID: SNLS003  
Client Desc.: TA2-W-27  
Vol. Recv.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC “As Received”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ATTACHMENT A

**FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>1-14-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather:</td>
<td>Cloudy &amp; damp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>297'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Ltrs</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmhos</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow Ltrs</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO ppm</th>
<th>Color and appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>277.53</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.69</td>
<td>0838</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>237.7</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.45</td>
<td>0830</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.44</td>
<td>0906</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>231.3</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.44</td>
<td>0921</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>229.8</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.43</td>
<td>0936</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.42</td>
<td>0952</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>227.7</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.41</td>
<td>0958</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.40</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>226.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.40</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COC number(s): 612517
Sample number(s): 088020, 088021

- Purge Volume Calculations

- Well Diameter:
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.66 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ___ gallons

- Tubing Diameter:
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 m/l X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 m/l X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.6 m/l X (length of tubing) = ___ milliliters

- 400 gal. purged prior to measurements 0815
Appendix B

Data Validation Sample Findings Summary Sheets for the Perchlorate Data
Memorandum

Date: February 23, 2010
To: File
From: Kevin Lambert
Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: TAG GWM
AR/COC: 612513, 612514, 612515, 912516, and 612517
SDG: 244469
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

Six samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Four samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). Data were reported for all required analytes. Problems were identified with the data package that result in the qualification of data.

1. Nitrate/Nitrate was detected in the method blank (MB) at a concentration ≥ the method detection limit (MDL) but < the practical quantitation limit (PQL). The nitrate/nitrate result for sample 244469-015 was a detect <5X the MB concentration and will be qualified “0.061U,B” at 5X the value of the MB (mg/L). The other associated sample results were detects >5X the MB result and will not be qualified.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.
Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks except as noted above in the summary section and as follows.

Nitrate/Nitrite:
Nitrate/Nitrate was detected in the equipment blank (EB) associated with samples -008 and -011 at a concentration > the MDL but < the PQL. However, it should be noted that the nitrate/nitrate result for the EB has already been qualified non-detect due to MB contamination and, thus, does not affect the field sample results.

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike (MS)

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Laboratory Replicate

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria.

Detection Limits/Dilutions

All detection limits were properly reported. The samples did not required dilution except as follows.

Nitrate/Nitrite:
Samples -004, -008, and -011 were diluted 10X for nitrate/nitrite due to high concentrations for this analysis. Sample -015 was diluted 5X for nitrate/nitrite was due to matrix interference for this analysis. All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the sample that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Other QC

EBs and a field duplicate pair were submitted on the AR/COC(s). There are no “required” review criteria for field duplicate analyses comparability; no data will be qualified as a result. It should be noted that the EB on AR/COC# 612516 is associated with the samples on AR/COC# 612517.

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
## Sample Findings Summary

**Site:** TAG GWM  
**AR/COC:** 612513, 612514, 612515, 612516, 612517  
**Data Type:** Organic, Gen Chem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Value (Concentration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088021-001</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
<td>1.0U,B2 (μg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088018-018</td>
<td>TAG-EB1</td>
<td>0.061U,B (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perchlorate analysis met QC acceptance criteria. No sample data will be qualified.

**Validated By:**  
Kevin A. Lambert  
**Date:** 02/23/10
Memorandum

DATE: March 28, 2010

TO: File

FROM: David Schwent

SUBJECT: General Chemistry Data Review and Validation - SNL Site: TAV GWM AR/COC: 612557, 612558, 612559, and 612560 SDG: 247072 Laboratory: GEL Project/Task No: 125778.10.11.01

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

The samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using EPA 314.0 (perchlorate) and EPA353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). No problems were identified with the data package that result in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable. QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times/Preservation

All Analyses: All samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All Analyses: All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

All Analyses: No target analytes were detected in the blanks.

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All Analyses: All LCS QC acceptance criteria were met.
**Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)**

**All Analyses:** All MS (PS) QC acceptance criteria were met. No MSD (PSD) analyses were performed. The replicate analyses were used as measures of laboratory precision. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

**Replicates**

**All Analyses:** All replicate QC acceptance criteria were met.

**Detection Limits/Dilutions**

**Perchlorate Analysis:** All detection limits were properly reported. No samples required dilution.

**Nitrate/nitrite Analysis:** All detection limits were properly reported. Samples 247072-002, -008, -010, and -013 were diluted 25X for nitrate/nitrite due to high concentration of the target analyte and sample -006 was diluted 5X due to matrix inference. All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the samples that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

**Other QC**

**All Analyses:** No field blanks (FBs) were submitted on the AR/COCs. The relative percent difference (RPD) of the field duplicates (FD) (samples -010) was <20%. No QC acceptance criteria for the evaluation of FDs are currently in place.

No other specific issues were identified that affect data quality.
| Sample ID | EPA 6260B (VOCs): All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified. | EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite): All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified. | EPA 314.0 (perchlorate): All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified. |

Validated By: [Signature]  
Date: 03/28/10
Memorandum

Date: April 29, 2010

To: File

From: Kevin Lambert

Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: Burn Site GWM
AR/COC: 612579 and 612580
SDG: 248343
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

Two samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). One sample was prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Data were reported for all required analytes. No problems were identified with the data package that results in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks.
**Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)**

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

**Matrix Spike (MS)**

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

**Laboratory Replicate**

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria.

**Detection Limits/Dilutions**

All detection limits were properly reported. Sample 248343-003 was diluted 10X and sample 006 was diluted 25X due to high concentrations for this analysis.

All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the sample that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

**Other QC**

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
Memorandum

Date: April 8, 2010

To: File

From: Kevin Lambert

Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: Tijeras Arroyo Assessment GWM
AR/COC: 612604
SDG: 248347
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

One sample was prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Data were reported for all required analytes. No problems were identified with the data package that results in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The sample was analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks.
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike (MS)

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria. It should be noted that the MS analysis was performed on a SNL sample of similar matrix from another SDG. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Laboratory Replicate

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria. It should be noted that the laboratory replicate analysis was performed on a SNL sample of similar matrix from another SDG. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Detection Limits/Dilutions

All detection limits were properly reported. The sample did not required dilution.

Other QC

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
Appendix A

Analytical Laboratory Certificates of Analysis for the Perchlorate Data
## Analysis Request and Chain of Custody

### SMO Use
- Date Samples Shipped: 11/09/2020
- Project/Task No.: 125778.10.11.01
- SMO Authorization:
- Contract #: PO 691436

### AR/COC
- 612580

### Location
- Tech Area

### Building
- Room

### Sample No.-Fraction
- ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail
- Pump Depth (ft)
- ER Site No.
- Date/Time (hr) Collected
- Sample Matrix
- Sample Type
- Container Type
- Volume
- Preservative
- Collection Method
- Sample Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.-Fraction</th>
<th>Pump Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time (hr) Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088180-005</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>03031000851</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>4x1 L</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-006</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>03031000850</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-016</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>03031000853</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-020</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>03031000854</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RMMA
- Yes/No Ref. No.
- Sample Tracking
- Sino Use
- Special Instructions/QC Requirements
- EDD
- Yes/No
- Level D Package
- Yes/No
- Abnormal Conditions on Receipt

### Turnaround Time
- 7 Day/15 Day/30 Day
- Entered By:

### Return Samples By
- Negotiated TAT
- QC initials

### Sample Team Members
- William J. Gibson
- Robert Lynch
- Alfred Santillanes

### Note
- Special Instructions: Sino Use
- QC initials: Tim Jackson/Org 4133/MS 0729/505-284-2547
- No perchlorate verification analysis is required.
- Last well of Burn Site GWM of 2nd Quarter

### Additional Information
- Please list as separate report.

### Receipt
- Org.
- Date
- Time
- Org.
- Date
- Time
- Org.
- Date
- Time
- Org.
- Date
- Time
- Org.
- Date
- Time
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissent
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: March 31, 2010

Client Sample ID: 088180-020
Sample ID: 248343007
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 03-MAR-10 08:54
Receive Date: 04-MAR-10
Collector: Client

Project: SNLSGWa
Client ID: SNLS003
Client Desc.: CYN-MW6
Vol. Rev.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.00459</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR 10/3/10</td>
<td>2058</td>
<td>962516</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

Method | Description | Analyst Comments |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ATTACHMENT A

## FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>BSG</th>
<th>Project No.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well LD.:</td>
<td>CYN-MWG</td>
<td>Date: 3-2-10 / 3-3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>Clear &amp; Cold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
<td>Dedicated pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>163'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>EC µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/gls</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>148.21</td>
<td>0802</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>273.5</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.88</td>
<td>0818</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>258.1</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.74</td>
<td>0828</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>246.9</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.57</td>
<td>0838</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.03</td>
<td>0848</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.04</td>
<td>0851</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>EC µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/gls</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>148.21</td>
<td>0827</td>
<td>16.43</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>237.3</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.58</td>
<td>0839</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>233.9</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.53</td>
<td>0849</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>231.2</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| COC number(s): | 612580 |
| Sample number(s): | 088180 |

### Purge Volume Calculations

Well Diameter
- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = gallons

Tubing Diameter
- 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = milliliters
CONTRACT LABORATORY
ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

AR/COC 612560
Page 1 of 1

Batch No. 4133/1136
Date Samples Shipped: 2/26/2014
Project/Task No. 125778.10.11.01
SMO Use
Project Authorization: LA
Contract #: 691436

Dept/No. Mail Stop: 4133/1136
Project Task Manager: Don Schofield
Lab Contact: Edie Keny/603-558-8171
SMO Use

Location: Tech Area
Building: Room

Sample No. Fraction
Sample Loc. Detail
ER Sample ID or
Sample Location Detail
Depth (ft)
Date/Time collected
Sample Matrix
Preservative
Collection Method
Sample Type
Parameter & Method Requested
Lab Sample ID

088141-001
LWDS-MW1
513.5 NA
02/17/10 08:30
GW G 3x40 ml HCL G SA VOC (SW846/8260B)
012

088141-018
LWDS-MW1
513.5 NA
02/17/10 08:31
GW P 250 ml H2SO4 G SA NPN (353.2)
012

088141-020
LWDS-MW1
513.5 NA
02/17/10 08:32
GW P 250 ml 4C G SA Perchlorate (314.0)
014

088142-001
TAV-TB14
NA NA
02/17/10 08:30
DIW G 3x40 ml HCL G TB VOC (SW846/8260B)
015

RMMA
Yes
Ref. No.

Sample Tracking

Sample Disposal
Return to Client
Disposal by Lab

Sample Tracking

Date Entered/Received
Level D Package
Yes

Return Samples By
Negotiated TAT

Special Instructions/QC Requirements
EDD

Abnormal Conditions on Receipt

Lab Use

*Send report to:
Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS.0756/284-2647

*Please list as separate report.
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Client Sample ID: 088141-020
Sample ID: 247072014
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 17-FEB-10 08:32
Receive Date: 18-FEB-10
Collector: Client

Report Date: March 13, 2010
Project: SNLSGWater
Client ID: SNLS003
Client Desc.: LWDS-MW1
Vol. Recv.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR103/04/10 1531 959791</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT A

### FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAV-GWM</th>
<th>Project No.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>LWDS-MW1</td>
<td>Date: 2-16-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
<td>Dedicated pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>513.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Ltrs</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow Ltrs</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Color and appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>499.23</td>
<td>0802</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507.89</td>
<td>0827</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>228.8</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519.79</td>
<td>0833</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>226.8</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>71.69</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511.79</td>
<td>0839</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>225.1</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512.11</td>
<td>0842</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.65</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>224.6</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499.29</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505.00</td>
<td>0818</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505.97</td>
<td>0822</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506.65</td>
<td>0925</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.45</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507.60</td>
<td>0829</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0830</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COC number(s):** 612560  
**Sample number(s):** 088141

### Purge Volume Calculations

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters

4.00 gals purged from tubing 0816  
2-17* 0816
### Contract Laboratory

**Analysis Request and Chain of Custody**

**Batch No:** N/A

**Dept. No./Mail Stop:** 4133/1126

**Project/Task Manager:** Don Schofield

**Project Name:** TAG-GWM

**Record Center Code:** ER/1306/DAT

**Logbook Ref. No.:** NA

**Service Order No.:** CFO# 010-10

**Location:** Tech Area

**Building:** Room

**Sample No.-Fraction:** 088220-020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time (hr) Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3/26/10 0930</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parameter & Method Requested:** Perchlorate (314.0)

**Lab Sample ID:** 612604

**Internal Lab**

**AR/COC**

**Waste Characterization:**
- Send preliminary/copy report to:

**Released by COC No.:**

**Validation Required:**

**Bill To:** Sandia National Labs (Accounts Payable)

**P.O. Box 5800 MS 0154**

**Albuquerque, NM 87185-0154**

**Reference LOV (available at SMO)**

**Sample Disposal:**
- Return to Client
- Disposal by lab

**Sample Tracking:** Smo Use

**Sample Tracking:** Sample Tracking

**EDD:**
- Yes
- No

**EDD:** Yes or No

**Level D Package:**
- Yes
- No

**Abnormal Conditions on Receipt:**

**Turnaround Time:**
- 7 Day
- 15 Day
- 30 Day

**Returned Samples By:**
- Negotiated TAT

**TC-1: 7/1:**

**Sample Team Members:**

**Signature:**
- Robert Lynch
- Alfred Sandoval
- William J. Gibson

**Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular:**
- Weston/4133/844-413/250-7090
- Weston/4133/844-5130/228-0710
- Weston/4133/844-413/239-7367

***Send report to:* Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0756/ 284-2547**

**Timeline:**

1. Received by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 09:55
2. Rejected by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 09:55
3. Rejected by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 06:52
4. Received by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 09:55
5. Rejected by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 06:52
6. Rejected by Alfred Sandoval
   - Org.: 4133
   - Date: 3/26/10
   - Time: 09:55

**Please list as separate report.**

**Lab Use:**

**Page 1 of 1**
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Client Sample ID: 088220-020
Sample ID: 248347001
Matrix: AQUEOUS
Collect Date: 26-FEB-10 09:30
Receive Date: 02-MAR-10
Collector: Client

Project: SNLSGWater
Client ID: SNLS003
Client Desc.: TA1-W-03
Vol. Recv.: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>ng/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT A

FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well ID.:</td>
<td>TA1-W-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>02-26-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather:</td>
<td>Clear &amp; Cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Lgs</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec μmho</th>
<th>ORP mv</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow Lgs</th>
<th>· T urb NTU</th>
<th>· DO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>346.75</td>
<td>0758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347.98</td>
<td>0824</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>222.3</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.09</td>
<td>0938</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.19</td>
<td>0951</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>207.0</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.26</td>
<td>0904</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>207.4</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.27</td>
<td>0909</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>208.5</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0915</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>208.9</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0920</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0926</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>209.9</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.30</td>
<td>0931</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>209.6</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COC number(s): 612604
Sample number(s): 088220

Purge Volume Calculations

- 2 4.00 gal. purged
- prior measurement 0811

Well Diameter
- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft (height of water column) = _______ gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft (height of water column) = _______ gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft (height of water column) = _______ gallons

Tubing Diameter
- 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.6 ml/ft (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
## CONTRACT LABORATORY
### ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

**AR/COC** 612513

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tech Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No-Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088014-020</td>
<td>TA1-W-06</td>
<td>319</td>
<td></td>
<td>01/11/10 10:11</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RMMA** [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Ref. No. [ ] Sample Tracking [ ] Smo Use [ ] Special instructions/QC Requirements [ ] EDD [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Level D Package [ ] Yes [ ] No

**Abnormal Conditions on Receipt**

**Return Samples By:** [ ] Negotiated TAT [ ] QC Initiates

**Return Samples By:**
- **Name:** Robert Lynch
- **Signature:** [Signature]
- **Init:** [Init]
- **Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular:** "Weston/4133/844-4013/250-7090"

**Return Samples By:**
- **Name:** Alfred Santillanes
- **Signature:** [Signature]
- **Init:** [Init]
- **Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular:** "Weston/4133/844-5130/228-0710"

---

**1.** Replaced by Mobil, Org. 4133, Date 11/30, Time 10:30
**2.** Replaced by Mobil, Org. 4133, Date 11/30, Time 10:30
**3.** Replaced by Mobil, Org. 4133, Date 01/01, Time 07:30
**4.** Replaced by Mobil, Org. 4133, Date 01/01, Time 07:30

**Please list as separate report.**

---

**Waste Characterization**
- [ ] Send preliminary/copy report to:

---

**Released by COC No.:** [ ]
**Validation Required:** [ ]

**Bill To:** Sandia National Labs (Account Prepayable)
- P.O. Box 5900 MS 0154
- Albuquerque, NM 87185-0154

---

**Lab Use**
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 66765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: February 1, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR 10/19/10</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>942144</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ATTACHMENT A

## FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TAI-W-016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>1-11-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. Ltr</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec μmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/gal</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Saline water appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>305.10</td>
<td>0817</td>
<td></td>
<td>START</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.02</td>
<td>0902</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.42</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>223.0</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206.02</td>
<td>0916</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.02</td>
<td>0932</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.63</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.01</td>
<td>0948</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>216.2</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306.00</td>
<td>0955</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.98</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>215.1</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1011 STAMING

| COC number(s):     | 612513     |
| Sample number(s):  | 088014     |

## Purge Volume Calculations

### Well Diameter
- 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = __________ gallons
- 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = __________ gallons
- 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = __________ gallons

### Tubing Diameter
- 1/4" OD: 2.4 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = __________ milliliters
- 3/8" OD: 9.7 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = __________ milliliters
- 1/2" OD: 21.5 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = __________ milliliters

---

\~ 4.00 gals purged from tubing 0832
## CONTRACT LABORATORY

### ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

**AR/COC:** 612514

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept./Mail Stop:</th>
<th>4133/1126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project/Task Manager:</td>
<td>Don Schofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record Center Code:</td>
<td>ER/1306/DAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logbook Ref. No.:</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Order No.:</td>
<td>CPO# 010-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Tech Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference LOV (available at SMO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.-Fraction</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>ER Site No.</th>
<th>Date/Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088015-020</td>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>01/12/10 0956</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>0052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RMMA

- Yes [ ] No [ ] Ref. No. [ ]

### Sample Disposal

- Return to Client [ ] Disposal by lab [ ]

### Turnaround Time

- 7 Day [ ] 15 Day [ ] 30 Day [ ]

### Return Samples By

- Negotiated TAT [ ] QC Init [ ]

### Name Signature

- Robert Lynch
- Alfred Sanillianes

### Special Instructions/QC Requirements

- EDD [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Level D Package [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]

### Abnormal Conditions on Receipt

- Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0756/ 284-2547

### Please list as separate report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received by</th>
<th>Org.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: February 1, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR10/19/10</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>942144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ATTACHMENT A

**FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA1-W-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. L gls</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L gls</th>
<th>-Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Color and appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>256.7</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0832</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>226.9</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0848</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0905</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>217.5</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0924</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>217.7</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0933</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0942</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.71</td>
<td>0951</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>218.9</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COC number(s): 612514
Sample number(s): 088015

Purge Volume Calculations:

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.68 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = ____ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.5 ml/ft X (length of tubing) = ____ milliliters

~ 4.00 gals purged from tubing 0817
## CONTRACT LABORATORY

### ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

**Batch No.**: N/A

**Dept. No./Mail Stop**: 4133/1126

**Project/Task Manager**: Don Schofield

**Project Name**: TAG-GWM

**Record Center Code**: ER/1306/DAT

**Logbook Ref. No.**: NA

**Service Order No.**: CFS/010-10

**Location**: Tech Area

**Building**: Room

---

### Reference LOV (available at SMO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ER Sample ID or Sample Location Detail</th>
<th>ER Site</th>
<th>Date/Time(hrs)</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Collection Method</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Parameter &amp; Method Requested</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088016-001</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>01/13/10 1005</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>VOC (SW/846/8260B)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088016-018</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>01/13/10 1006</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>H2SO4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>NPN (353.2)</td>
<td>007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088016-020</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>01/13/10 1007</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>250 ml</td>
<td>4C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Perchlorate (314.0)</td>
<td>005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088017-001</td>
<td>TAG-TB1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>01/13/10 1005</td>
<td>DIW</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3x40 ml</td>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>VOC (SW/846/8260B)</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### RMMA

- **Yes**
- **No**
- **Ref. No.**

**Sample Disposal**: Return to Client

**Sample Tracking**: Date Entered by

**Sample Tracking**: Date Enrolled (metric/day)

**Sample Status**: Shipped

**Special Instructions/QC Requirements**: EDD

- **Yes**
- **No**

**Abnormal Conditions on Receipt**: Level D Package

- **Yes**
- **No**

**Send report to**: Tim Jackson/ORG. 4133/MS 0766/284-9247

---

### Sample Team Members

- **Name**: Robert Lynch
- **Signature**: [Signature]
- **Init**: [Init]
- **Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular**: Westcon/4133/644-401/250-7080

- **Name**: Alfred Santillanes
- **Signature**: [Signature]
- **Init**: [Init]
- **Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular**: Westcon/4133/644-5130/228-0710

---

*Please list as separate report.*

1. Relinquished by [Signature] Org. 4133 Date 1/13/10 Time 10:30
2. Relinquished by [Signature] Org. 4133 Date 1/13/10 Time 10:30
3. Received by [Signature] Org. Date Time
4. Relinquished by [Signature] Org. Date Time
5. Received by [Signature] Org. Date Time
6. Relinquished by [Signature] Org. Date Time
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Report Date: February 1, 2010

Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR 01/19/10</td>
<td>1546 942144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed

Method | Description | Analyst Comments |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT A

**FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA2-W-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>334.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. L/1000</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec. µmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/1000</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO %</th>
<th>Color and Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>325.23</td>
<td>0805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>78.7 8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326.86</td>
<td>0859</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>258.3</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>81.2 8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.16</td>
<td>0915</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>252.5</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>75.2 7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.46</td>
<td>0932</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>80.1 7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.46</td>
<td>0940</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>247.4</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>82.6 8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0948</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>246.7</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>82.8 8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0952</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>245.7</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>81.6 7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>0956</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>245.2</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>83.4 8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.51</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>83.5 8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327.57</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>244.8</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>83.5 8.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COC number(s):** 612575
**Sample number(s):** 098016

### Purge Volume Calculations

- **Well Diameter**
  - 2" well: 0.16 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
  - 4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons
  - 6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _____ gallons

- **Tubing Diameter**
  - 1/4" OD: 2.4 m³/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
  - 3/8" OD: 9.7 m³/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters
  - 1/2" OD: 21.6 m³/ft X (length of tubing) = _____ milliliters

**Approximate Purge Volume:** 4.00 gallons from tubing O840

*Hit sediment @ bottom of well ~ 334 ft, pulled pump cleaned and lowered again restore 0829*
**CONTRACT LABORATORY**

**ANALYSIS REQUEST AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference LOV (available at SMO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample No./Fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088020-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088020-018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088020-020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088021-020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088022-001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RMMA**

- Yes ☐ No ☑ Ref. No. ☐

- Sample Tracking ☐ SMO Use ☒

- Date Entrained:mm/dd/yyyy

- EDD ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐

- Level III Package ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑

- Abnormal Conditions on Receipt ☐

**Turnaround Time**

- 7 Day ☐ 15 Day ☐ 30 Day ☐ Entered by ☐

**Return Samples By:**

- Name: Robert Lynch
  - Signature: [Signature]
  - Initial: W
  - Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Weston/4133/844-4013/250-7060

- Name: Alfred Santillanes
  - Signature: [Signature]
  - Initial: W
  - Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Weston/4133/844-5130/228-0710

- Name: William J. Gibson
  - Signature: [Signature]
  - Initial: W
  - Company/Organization/Phone/Cellular: Weston/4133/844-4013/339-7367

**As of 1/1/10**

1. Received by Org. 4133 Date 1/11/10 Time 12:00
2. Reassigned by Org. SMO Date 1/11/10 Time 10:38
3. Received by Org. Date Time
4. Reconstructed by Org. Date Time
5. Reconstructed by Org. Date Time
6. Received by Org. Date Time

**As of 1/12/10**

1. Rereleased by Org. 4133 Date 1/12/10 Time 10:38
2. Rereleased by Org. SMO Date 1/12/10 Time 12:00
3. Rereleased by Org. Date Time
4. Received by Org. Date Time
5. Received by Org. Date Time
6. Received by Org. Date Time

*Please list as separate report.*
Certificate of Analysis

Company: Sandia National Laboratories
Address: MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276
1515 Eubank SE
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Contact: Ms. Pamela M. Puissant
Project: Level C, Groundwater Monitoring

Report Date: February 1, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Analyst Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC &quot;As Received&quot;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAR10/10/10</td>
<td>1610 942144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Analytical Methods were performed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EPA 314.0 DOE-AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Certificate of Analysis

**Company:** Sandia National Laboratories  
**Address:** MS-0756, Org. 06765, Bldg. 823/Rm. 4276  
1515 Eubank SE  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123  
**Contact:** Ms. Pamela M. Puissant  
**Project:** Level C, Groundwater Monitoring  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>AnalystDate</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ion Chromatography  
**EPA 314.0 Perchlorate by IC "As Received"**  
Perchlorate | U | ND  | 0.004 | 0.02 | mg/L | 1  | MAR1 01/19/10 | 1633 | 942144 | 1 |

The following Analytical Methods were performed  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Analyst Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
ATTACHMENT A
FIELD MEASUREMENT LOG FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>TAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well I.D.:</td>
<td>TA2-W-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>1-14-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather:</td>
<td>Cloudy &amp; Damp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method:</td>
<td>X Portable pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump depth:</td>
<td>297'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURGE MEASUREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth to Water (FT)</th>
<th>Time 24 hr</th>
<th>Vol. L/24 hr</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
<th>Ec μmho</th>
<th>ORP MV</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Flow L/gls</th>
<th>Turb NTU</th>
<th>DO mg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>277.53</td>
<td>0803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.69</td>
<td>0838</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>237.7</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.45</td>
<td>0852</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>233.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.44</td>
<td>0906</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>231.5</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.44</td>
<td>0921</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>229.8</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.43</td>
<td>0936</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.42</td>
<td>0952</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15.97</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>227.7</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.41</td>
<td>0958</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.40</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>226.6</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.40</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sampling

COC number(s): 612517
Sample number(s): 088020, 088021

Purge Volume Calculations

Well Diameter
2" well: 0.15 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
4" well: 0.65 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons
6" well: 1.47 gal/ft X (height of water column) = _______ gallons

Tubing Diameter
1/4" OD: 2.4 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
3/8" OD: 9.7 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters
1/2" OD: 21.6 mL/ft X (length of tubing) = _______ milliliters

2,400 gal. purged prior to measurements 0815
Appendix B

Data Validation Sample Findings Summary Sheets for the Perchlorate Data
Memorandum

Date: February 23, 2010
To: File
From: Kevin Lambert
Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: TAG GWM
AR/COC: 612513, 612514, 612515, 912516, and 612517
SDG: 244469
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

Six samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Four samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). Data were reported for all required analytes. Problems were identified with the data package that result in the qualification of data.

1. Nitrate/Nitrate was detected in the method blank (MB) at a concentration ≥ the method detection limit (MDL) but < the practical quantitation limit (PQL). The nitrate/nitrate result for sample 244469-015 was a detect <5X the MB concentration and will be qualified “0.061U,B” at 5X the value of the MB (mg/L). The other associated sample results were detects >5X the MB result and will not be qualified.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.
Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks except as noted above in the summary section and as follows.

Nitrate/Nitrite:
Nitrate/Nitrate was detected in the equipment blank (EB) associated with samples -008 and -011 at a concentration > the MDL but < the PQL. However, it should be noted that the nitrate/nitrate result for the EB has already been qualified non-detect due to MB contamination and, thus, does not affect the field sample results.

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike (MS)

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Laboratory Replicate

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria.

Detection Limits/Dilutions

All detection limits were properly reported. The samples did not required dilution except as follows.

Nitrate/Nitrite:
Samples -004, -008, and -011 were diluted 10X for nitrate/nitrite due to high concentrations for this analysis. Sample -015 was diluted 5X for nitrate/nitrite was due to matrix interference for this analysis. All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the sample that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Other QC

EBs and a field duplicate pair were submitted on the AR/COC(s). There are no “required” review criteria for field duplicate analyses comparability; no data will be qualified as a result. It should be noted that the EB on AR/COC# 612516 is associated with the samples on AR/COC# 612517.

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
## Sample Findings Summary

**Site:** TAG GWM  
**AR/COC:** 612513, 612514, 612515, 612516, 612517  
**Data Type:** Organic, Gen Chem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>General Chemistry</th>
<th>N599 (nitrate/nitrite)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088021-001 TA2-W-27</td>
<td>1.0U,B2 (ug/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088018-018 TAG-EB1</td>
<td>0.061U,B (mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perchlorate analysis met QC acceptance criteria. No sample data will be qualified.

**Validated By:**  
Kevin A. Lambert  
**Date:** 02/23/10
Memorandum

DATE: March 28, 2010

TO: File

FROM: David Schwent

SUBJECT: General Chemistry Data Review and Validation - SNL
Site: TAV GWM
AR/COC: 612557, 612558, 612559, and 612560
SDG: 247072
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task No: 125778.10.11.01

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

The samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using EPA 314.0 (perchlorate) and EPA353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). No problems were identified with the data package that result in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable. QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times/Preservation

All Analyses: All samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All Analyses: All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

All Analyses: No target analytes were detected in the blanks.

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All Analyses: All LCS QC acceptance criteria were met.
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

All Analyses: All MS (PS) QC acceptance criteria were met. No MSD (PSD) analyses were performed. The replicate analyses were used as measures of laboratory precision. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Replicates

All Analyses: All replicate QC acceptance criteria were met.

Detection Limits/Dilutions

Perchlorate Analysis: All detection limits were properly reported. No samples required dilution.

Nitrate/nitrite Analysis: All detection limits were properly reported. Samples 247072-002, -008, -010, and -013 were diluted 25X for nitrate/nitrite due to high concentration of the target analyte and sample -006 was diluted 5X due to matrix inference. All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the samples that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Other QC

All Analyses: No field blanks (FBs) were submitted on the AR/COCs. The relative percent difference (RPD) of the field duplicates (FD) (samples -010) was <20%. No QC acceptance criteria for the evaluation of FDs are currently in place.

No other specific issues were identified that affect data quality.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>EPA 6260B (VOCs):</th>
<th>EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite):</th>
<th>EPA 314.0 (perchlorate):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified.</td>
<td>All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified.</td>
<td>All Acceptance criteria met. No sample data will be qualified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Validated By: [Signature]  
Date: 03/28/10
Memorandum

Date: April 29, 2010
To: File
From: Kevin Lambert
Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: Burn Site GWM
AR/COC: 612579 and 612580
SDG: 248343
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

Two samples were prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 353.2 (nitrate/nitrite by Cd reduction). One sample was prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Data were reported for all required analytes. No problems were identified with the data package that results in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The samples were analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks.
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Matrix Spike (MS)

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

Laboratory Replicate

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria.

Detection Limits/Dilutions

All detection limits were properly reported. Sample 248343-003 was diluted 10X and sample 006 was diluted 25X due to high concentrations for this analysis.

All associated batch QC samples were analyzed at dilution factors that resulted in relative dilution factors to the sample that were ≤5X. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

Other QC

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Numbers</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>088179-006 CYN-MW3</td>
<td>R,X1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088180-006 CYN-MW6</td>
<td>R,X1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRO, perchlorate, and nitrate/nitrite analyses met QC acceptance criteria. No data will be qualified.
Memorandum

Date: April 8, 2010
To: File
From: Kevin Lambert
Subject: Inorganic Data Review and Validation – SNL
Site: Tijeras Arroyo Assessment GWM
AR/COC: 612604
SDG: 248347
Laboratory: GEL
Project/Task: 125778.10.11.01
Analysis: General Chemistry

See the attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. This validation was performed according to SNL/NM ER Project AOP 00-03 Rev 2.

Summary

One sample was prepared and analyzed with accepted procedures using method EPA 314.0 (perchlorate). Data were reported for all required analytes. No problems were identified with the data package that results in the qualification of data.

Data are acceptable and reported QC measures appear to be adequate. The following sections discuss the data review and validation.

Holding Times and Preservation

The sample was analyzed within the prescribed holding times and properly preserved.

Calibration

All initial and continuing calibration QC acceptance criteria were met.

Blanks

No target analytes were detected in the blanks.
**Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)**

All LCS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria.

**Matrix Spike (MS)**

All MS recoveries met QC acceptance criteria. It should be noted that the MS analysis was performed on a SNL sample of similar matrix from another SDG. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

**Laboratory Replicate**

The replicate met all QC acceptance criteria. It should be noted that the laboratory replicate analysis was performed on a SNL sample of similar matrix from another SDG. No sample data will be qualified as a result.

**Detection Limits/Dilutions**

All detection limits were properly reported. The sample did not require dilution.

**Other QC**

No other specific issues that affect data quality were identified.
Perchlorate analysis met QC acceptance criteria. No sample data will be qualified.

Validated By: Kevin A. Lambert

Date: 04/08/10