THOMPSON & KNIGHT

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

DIRECT DIAL:

1700 PACIFIC AVENUE - SUITE 3300 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-4699 (214) 969-1750 FAX (214) 969-1751

AUSTIN FORT WORTH HOUSTON MONTERREY, MEXICO

(214) 969-1102

August 6, 1996

Ana Marie Ortiz
Assistant General Counsel
State of New Mexico
Environment Department
Harold Runnels Building
1190 St. Francis Drive
P. O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

Dear Ana:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation of yesterday in which we discussed Ed Kelley's letter of July 30, 1996 to Jan Appel. Present during that conversation for NMED were you, Dennis McQullian, and Rob Pine. Pierce Chandler and I were involved on behalf of Sparton Technology, Inc.

The purpose of the conference was to allow us to clarify NMED's request that we conduct a pilot test prior to initiation of soil vapor extraction, and to discuss the need for additional vapor probe nests to monitor the performance and effectiveness of any soil vapor extraction program that may be initiated.

We understood from Dennis and Rob that NMED was interested in a pilot test to confirm the radius of influence of any recovery wells used in the SVE program, and to estimate the concentration of VOCs that would be present in the air extracted from the unsaturated zone to aid obtaining an air permit, if needed.

We had a lengthy discussion about the radius of influence of wells used in a soil vapor extraction program at the Coors Road facility. At the end of our discussion, we agreed that our most recent SVE proposal would be supplemented to explain the type of pilot test we contemplated undertaking. We understood that our proposal would be acceptable if it included a pilot test using four observation wells, one of which would be existing groundwater monitor well no. 17, and three other wells at distances of 50, 75, and 100 feet from a four inch pilot recovery well to be placed in the sump area. Dennis suggested one of these wells be located on the north side of the old pond area.

Additionally, Dennis advised us that based on the analytical results from the observation wells, when combined with other information known about the unsaturated zone

THOMPSON & KNIGHT

Ana Marie Ortiz August 6, 1996 Page 2

in the area near the sump, that it was probable that additional vapor probe nests, which were intended to help further define the "vapor cloud," would not be necessary.

We intend to have the supplemental SVE proposal to you by Monday, August 12, 1996.

We also talked briefly about Sparton's proposal to install a single containment well at the leading edge of the plume. Rob Pine indicated he needed to review our calculations before he could determine whether our proposal was acceptable.

Yours very truly,

James B. Harris

JBH/eshd 40310 00001 LERA 49970