Amo

### Attorney General of New Mexico



PO Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

> 505/827-6000 Fax 505/827-5826

TOM UDALL Attorney General

March 17, 1997

MANUEL TIJERINA
Deputy Attorney General

#### BY OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mr. James B. Harris Thompson & Knight 1700 Pacific Avenue Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 74201-4693

Re: Motion to Consolidate <u>United States Sparton Technology</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, No. CIV-97-0210-M; <u>State of New Mexico v. Sparton Technology</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, No. 97-0208-JC; <u>City of Albuquerque v. Sparton Technology</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, No. CIV-97-0206-LH

Dear Jim:

This letter is to serve on Sparton Technology, Inc. a copy of the enclosed Joint Motion to Consolidate, and Memorandum in support thereof. We intend to file the Motion in the above-referenced cases in accordance with Rule 7.3(a) of the Local Civil Rules of the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico. I understand from our telephone conversation of March 6, 1997 that the Motion is opposed.

If you have any questions on this matter, you may call me at (505) 827-6939.

Sincerely,

Charles de Saillan

Assistant Attorney General

cc: Counsel of Record

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, and THE BERNALILLO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0206-LH

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT
DEPARTMENT, and
THE NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF
THE NATURAL RESOURCES TRUSTEE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0208-JC

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0210-M

#### JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, and in accordance with Rule 7 of the Local Civil Rules

of this Court, the United States, the State of New Mexico, the

New Mexico Environment Department, the New Mexico Office of the Natural Resources Trustee, the City of Albuquerque, and the Bernalillo County Commissioners (the "Plaintiffs"), hereby respectfully move this Court for an order consolidating the above-captioned actions. As set forth more fully in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of this Motion, these actions involve common questions of both law and fact, and consolidation of these actions would promote judicial economy and efficiency.

In accordance with Rule 7.2(a) of the Local Civil Rules of this Court, on March 6, 1997, counsel for the Plaintiff, State of New Mexico, contacted counsel for the Defendant and determined that this Motion is opposed.

Respectfully submitted,

LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General for Environment and Natural Resources

By:

DAVID FISHEL

Trial Attorney

Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources

Division

Post Office Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 514-1707

JOHN J. KELLY

Assistant U/S. Attorney for the Distract of New Mexico

By:

JOHN W. ZAVITZ

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Post Office Box 607

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

(505) 766-3341

Attorneys for the United States

TOM UDALL

Attorney General of New Mexico

By:

CHARLES DE SAILLAN

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Enforcement Division

Post Office Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

(505) 827-6939

Attorneys for the State of New Mexico

ANA MARIE ORTIZ
Special Assi Special Assistant Attorney General

Assistant General Counsel

New Mexico Environment Department

1190 St. Francis Drive

Post Office Box 26110

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-6110

(505) 827-2990

Attorney for the New Mexico Environment Department

TOM UDALL Attorney General of New Mexico

By:

CHARLES DE SAILLAN

Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Division Post Office Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

(505) 827-6939

Attorneys for the New Mexico Office of the Natural Resources Trustee

ROBERT M. WHITE City Attorney

By:

ROSEMARY A. COSGROVE Assistant City Attorneys Post Office Box 2248 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 (505) 768-4500

Attorneys for the City of Albuquerque

TITO D. CHAVEZ County Attorney

By:

PATRICK F. TRUJILLO Assistant City Attorney One Civil Plaza, N.W.

Tenth Floor

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

(505) 768-4073

Attorneys for the Bernalillo County Commissioners

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, and THE BERNALILLO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0206-LH

Plaintiffs,

٧.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, )
THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT )
DEPARTMENT, and )
THE NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF )
THE NATURAL RESOURCES TRUSTEE, )

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0208-JC

CIVIL ACTION NO.

CIV-97-0210-M

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

On February 19, 1997, the United States, the State of New Mexico, the New Mexico Environment Department, the New Mexico

Office of the Natural Resources Trustee, the City of Albuquerque, and the Bernalillo County Commissioners (the "Plaintiffs"), filed these actions seeking injunctive relief to address an imminent and substantial endangerment to health and the environment under sections 7002(a)(1)(B) and 7003(a) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 6972(a)(1)(B) and 6973(a). The actions also seek identical injunctive relief under various other provisions of state and federal law, as well as restitution of costs.

Pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure, and in accordance with Rule 7 of the Local Civil Rules
of this Court, the Plaintiffs have jointly moved this Court for
an order consolidating the above-captioned actions. This
Memorandum is submitted in support of that Motion.

#### I. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

Consolidation. When actions involving a common question of law or fact are pending before the court, it may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all the matters in issue in the actions; it may order all the actions consolidated; and it may make such orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

FED. R. CIV. P. 42(a).

Whether to grant a motion to consolidate is committed to the discretion of the trial court. Shump v. Balka, 574 F.2d 1341, 1344 (10th Cir. 1978). The court "initially should determine that the cases to be consolidated 'involve[e] a common question of law or fact.' "Servants of the Peraclete, Inc. v. Great

American Insurance Co., 866 F. Supp. 1560, 1572 (D.N.M. 1994).

If the cases involve a common question of law or fact, the court "should then weigh the interests of judicial convenience in consolidating the cases against the delay, confusion, and prejudice consolidation might cause." Id.

#### II. ARGUMENT

#### A. These Actions Involve Common Questions of Law and Fact

These actions involve common questions of both law and fact, and those common questions predominate over other issues in the actions. The primary count in each of these actions is for injunctive relief to address an imminent and substantial endangerment under RCRA. The State, City, and County Plaintiffs have filed their actions under section 7002(a) (1) (B) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a) (1) (B), while the United States has filed its action under section 7003(a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(a). The language in these two provisions is virtually identical, and they have been interpreted interchangeably. See, e.g., Zands v. Nelson, 779 F. Supp. 1254, 1263 (S.D. Cal. 1991); see also SEN. REP. No. 284, 98th Cong., 1st Sess. 56-57 (1983) (the citizen suit provisions "are intended to allow citizens exactly the same broad substantive and procedural claim for relief which is already available to the United States under section 7003").

In each of these actions, the primary issue will be to determine the appropriate injunctive relief to address the alleged imminent and substantial endangerment to health or the environment. In each of these actions, the factual and expert

identical. Although these actions include various additional counts for injunctive relief under federal and state law, the Plaintiffs are seeking the same injunctive relief under each of these counts.

#### B. <u>Consolidation of These Cases Will Promote</u> <u>Judicial Efficiency</u>

Consolidation of these actions will promote judicial economy and efficiency. It will avoid duplicative proceedings in different courtrooms on the same issues based on the same evidence. It will also avoid separate and potentially conflicting judgments. It will thereby reduce the costs expended by the Court, by the Plaintiffs, and by the Defendant.

On the other hand, consolidation of these actions will not create any significant delay, confusion, or prejudice. None of these actions is any further along than any of the others, so there is no risk of delaying one action by consolidating it with the others. Moreover, because each of these cases is at a very early stage its proceedings, consolidation will not cause confusion, nor will consolidation create any prejudice to any of the parties.

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiffs respectfully move this Court for an order consolidating these actions.

Respectfully submitted,

LOIS J. SCHIFFER
Assistant Attorney General for
Environment and Natural Resources

By:

DAVID FISHEL / Trial Attorney

Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources /Division

Post Office Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 514-1707

JOHN J. KELLY
Assistant U.S. Attorney for the
District of New Mexico

Bv

JOHN W. ZAVITZ

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Post Office Box 607

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

**√**505) 766-3341

Attorneys for the United States

TOM UDALL

Attorney General of New Mexico

By:

CHARLES DE SAILLAN

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Enforcement Division

Post Office Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

(505) 827-6939

Attorneys for the State of New Mexico

Special Assistant Attorney General Assistant General Counsel New Mexico Environment Department 1190 St. Francis Drive Post Office Box 26110

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-6110

Attorney for the New Mexico Environment Department

TOM UDALL Attorney General of New Mexico

By:

CHARLES DE SAILLAN

(505) 827-2990

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Enforcement Division

Post Office Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

(505) 827-6939

Attorneys for the New Mexico Office of the Natural Resources Trustee

ROBERT M. WHITE City Attorney

By:

GARY A. O'DEA

ROSEMARY A. COSGROVE Assistant City Attorneys Post Office Box 2248

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

(505) 768-4500

Attorneys for the City of Albuquerque

TITO D. CHAVEZ County Attorney

By:

PATRICK F. TRUJILLO Assistant City Attorney One Civil Plaza, N.W.

Tenth Floor

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 (505) 768-4073

March \_\_\_\_, 1997

Attorneys for the Bernalillo County Commissioners

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of March, 1997, a copy of the foregoing Joint Motion to Consolidate and Memorandum in support thereof were sent by overnight mail to:

James B. Harris Thompson & Knight 1700 Pacific Avenue Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 75201-4693

and by first class mail to:

James P. Fitzgerald Rodey, Dickason, Sloan, Akin & Robb, P.A. P.O. Box 1888 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Charles de Saillan