JAN-16-1998 08:58

SPARTON CORPORATION

SPARTON

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY

January 16, 1998

Mr. Mark E. Weidler, Secretary State of New Mexico Environment Department Harold Runnels Building 1190 St. Francis Drive P. O. Drawer 26110 Santa Fe, NM 87502-0110

Mr. Samuel Coleman, Director Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 Suite 1200 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202-2733 Mayor Jim Baca 1 Civic Plaza N.W. 11th Floor Albuquerque, NM 87103

Mr. Juan Vigil
Bernalillo County Manager
1 Civic Plaza N.W.
10th Floor
Albuquerque, NM, 87103

Dr. William M. Turner New Mexico's Trustee for Natural Resources P. O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, NM 87502

Re: Sparton Technology, Inc.

Gentlemen:

As I am sure your attorneys have advised you, the settlement discussions before Magistrate Judge Robert J. DeGiacomo reached an impasse in December. Nevertheless, Sparton remains committed to the proposals it made to Secretary Weidler in July of 1996 - namely the implementation of an on-site soil vapor extraction (SVE) system, enhancement of on-site containment, and installation of an off-site containment well. In Sparton's judgment, these three remediation activities are both reasonable and ones that it can financially afford.

After the settlement discussions in Santa Fe in September of 1996, the only conceptual impediment to implementation of an off-site containment well was finding a mechanism to economically deal with recovered and treated groundwater. Unfortunately, over a year later that issue remains unresolved.

We are encouraged, however, by the fact that the City of Albuquerque has recently advised us that it supports the placement of an infiltration gallery in the Calabacillas Arroyo. We are also encouraged by the fact that NMED has promised to expedite review of our Groundwater Discharge Permit Application filed on December 24, 1997.

Discussions during the remediation process before Magistrate Judge Robert J. DeGiacomo did result in substantial agreement as to initial implementation of one of these three remediation activities, namely an on-site SVE program. Accordingly, we will begin an on-site soil vapor extraction program within the next 30-45 days, as negotiated during the mediation discussions and consistent with the proposal described in a letter to

JAN-16-1998 08:58

SPARTON CORPORATION

1 517 787 1822 P.03/03

SPARTON

January 16, 1998 Page 2

NMED OGC

Michael Donnellan dated October 31, 1997 and in two letters received from Michael Donnellan dated October 24, 1997 and November 7, 1997.

We also intend to undertake some additional on-site testing in order to better locate the "center of mass" of groundwater impacts. Your representatives will be invited to attend.

We ask for your cooperation in these efforts. If you have any questions or would like to discuss the matter further, please feel free to give me a call.

Respectfully,

K. Jan/Appel Secretary

RJA:jc

CC:

John Zavitz (505) 766-8517 Wendy Blake (202) 514-8865 Gary O'Dea (505) 768-4525 Patrick Trujillo (505) 768-4245 Ana Marie Ortiz (505) 827-1628 Charles de Saillan (505) 827-4440 Michael T. Donnellan (202) 514-8395 ofy sent & Course and Chankleye

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

ALBUQUERQUE, CITY OF, et al.,

NMED OGC

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC., et al.,

Ŷ JAN 1998 RECEIVED

Legal

NO. CIV 97-206 LH/JHG CONSOLIDATED WITH

NO. CIV 97-208 LH/JHG NO. CIV 97-210 LH/JHG NO. CIV 97-981 LH/JHG

Defendants.

INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

This cause is assigned to me for scheduling, case management, discovery, and other non-dispositive motions. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as amended in 1993, as well as the local rules of the Court shall apply to this law suit. Civility and professionalism will be required of counsel. Counsel should read "A Lawyer's Creed of Professionalism of the State Bar of New Mexico".

The parties, appearing through counsel or pro_se, shall "meet and confer" no later than February 6, 1998 to formulate a provisional discovery plan. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). The time for discovery, generally 120 to 150 days, will run from the Rule 16 initial scheduling conference. The provisional discovery plan shall be filed with the Court no later than February 12, 1998. The parties will cooperate in preparing an Initial Pre-trial Report (IPTR) which will follow the sample IPTR form obtainable from the Court Clerk. The blanks for dates should not be filled in. Plaintiff, or Defendant in removed cases, is responsible for

¹ Note the specific requirements of the assigned trial judge.

Submitting the ORIGINAL IPTR with a COPY of the Provisional Discovery Plan directly to my office by February 12, 1998, if not, copies are to be FAXED TO 505-527-6919 BY THAT DATE.

Good cause must be shown and Court approval obtained for any modification of the IPTR schedules.

Initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be made within ten days of the meet and confer session.

A Rule 16 scheduling conference will be held in Clerk's Conference Room 10018, Tenth Floor, United States Courthouse and Federal Building, 500 Gold Avenue SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico on Wednesday, February 18, 1997 at 1:30 p.m. The Rule 16 scheduling conference Counsel shall be prepared to discuss discovery needs and scheduling, all claims and defenses, the use of scientific evidence and whether a Daubert² hearing is needed, initial disclosures, and the timing of expert disclosure and reports under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(1) (2). We shall also discuss settlement prospects and alternative dispute resolution possibilities. Client attendance is not required.

Pre-trial practice in this cause shall be in accordance with the foregoing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Joe A. Galvan UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

² Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 113 S.Ct. 2786 (1993).