

Attorney General of New Mexico

PO Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508

> 505/827-6000 Fax 505/827-5826

TOM UDALL Attorney General MANUEL TIJERINA Deputy Attorney General

August 7, 1998

BY TELEFAX AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Mr. James B. Harris Thompson & Knight 1700 Pacific Avenue Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 75202-4963

Re: City of Albuquerque, et al. v. Sparton Technology, Inc., No. CIV-97-0206-LH and consolidated cases Soil Vapor Extraction Design Issues

Dear Jim:

Enclosed is of discussion of design issues pertaining to the Soil Vapor Extraction Workplan. The discussion is submitted on behalf of the plaintiffs in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Charles de Saillan Assistant Attorney General

cc: Counsel of Record

Confidential Settlement Document - Not for Public Release Inadmissible Under Federal Rules of Evidence Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation

SVE DESIGN ISSUES

Based on our discussions held on July 30, 1998 regarding the Sparton SVE workplan, the following design issues were raised and are reiterated and expanded here for your convenience in understanding our position.

The current SVE system is working well for remediating a limited area of the site (a radius of approximately 50 feet). The thermal and catalytic destruction of contaminants appear to have worked well for the initial phase of the project without the need for additional treatment equipment.

As anticipated, the concentration of contaminants of interest in the extracted vapor has declined dramatically since the start-up of the SVE system. This is typical of vapor extraction systems. As a result of this decline in vapor concentrations, the SVE system has entered a new phase of operation. The concentration of VOCs in the extracted vapor is now low enough that the vapor could be discharged to the atmosphere without treatment. The City of Albuquerque air quality division has indicated that the air quality discharge will be regulated based on the published ACGIH TLV for the contaminants from the discharge stack. The concentration of each contaminant detected in the June sampling event was below the TLV and could therefore be discharged without treatment. This factor, and others, make this a good time to reevaluate the options for SVE treatment of the soils.

We understand that Sparton's current remediation approach is to continue operation of the existing SVE system and to move the vacuum to other existing vapor extraction wells, one at a time. This approach will work for treating small areas of known impact. However, there are several drawbacks to this approach including the inability to treat zones of contamination not yet characterized and the expenditure of excessive and unnecessary costs for completing this

1

....

Confidential Scttlement Document - Not for Public Release Inadmissible Under Federal Rules of Evidence Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation

remediation. The current approach will cost more than alternative approaches and will achieve less in terms of soil clean-up. This issue and others are discussed below.

1.) The time required to achieve the soil gas goals with the current system will result in significantly higher project costs in comparison to alternative approaches

As stated above, there is nothing inherently wrong with moving the system between adjacent vacuum extraction wells if:

- a) the site has been fully characterized to make certain that each zone of contamination is addressed;
- b) the zones of influence for adjacent extraction wells overlap;
- c) the system is moved successively around the network until all zones are cleaned to below 10 ppmv; and
- d) adequate monitoring systems are in place to document progress and completion.

The issue of time relates to items a) and c). If this site were fully characterized, it is probable that many locations above 10 ppmv would be identified. Many areas of the lower vadose zone will be over 10 ppmv due to residual product and contact with contaminated water (the two effects cannot be easily separated). Thus, the system will have to be moved to many locations and each location will need to be treated for a sufficient time period to achieve the stated goals using the existing low flow SVE system. Additional operational time for the existing SVE system can be easily translated into cost, and a long duration of operating the existing SVE system will be unnecessarily costly for Sparton.

2

Confidential Settlement Document - Not for Public Release Inadmissible Under Federal Rules of Evidence Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation

2. A far more extensive characterization of the vadose zone contamination will be needed.

We have identified locations in the upper vadose zone where previous studies have indicated potential contamination. If one or more of these locations show contamination, it must be assumed that contaminants traveled from the source area(s) to the newly identified "hot spot". It will then be necessary to evaluate the area between the source(s) and the "hot spot", or assume that it is contaminated and place new extraction wells on a maximum of 70 feet centers (based on a radius of influence of 50 feet) from the source area to each "hot spot". Similarly, the deep vadose zone will have to be characterized to determine where "hot spots" exist at depth. As discussed in the July 30 meeting, a robust SVE system that fills the gaps between known areas of contamination reduces much of the concern regarding characterization. An SVE system with an effective radius of 50 feet level of site characterization.

3. The operation and maintenance costs for the existing system are high relative to effectiveness.

As discussed above, the existing SVE system is well suited for soil gas with high concentrations of VOCs. It apparently performed well in this capacity for the first months of operation. Now that VOC concentrations from VR-1 are low enough to discharge without treatment, the internal combustion engine is no longer well suited to the task. That problem is that it consumes a large quantity of fuel for a very low vapor extraction rate. A conventional SVE blower with Confidential Settlement Document - Not for Public Release Inadmissible Under Federal Rules of Evidence Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation

> an electric drive could achieve much higher flow rates for lower operating costs. Cost savings can be expected in the following areas:

- a) Lower operating costs can be expected (with much higher flow rates);
- b) Shorter time period to reach goals and consequently less operating cost.
- c) Equipment costs for a conventional SVE system will be lower than the probable resale value of the existing internal combustion system:
- d) Less characterization of the vadose zone soils will be required if a robust SVE system is operated;
- e) Fewer sampling locations will be required to verify performance:
- f) Compliance sampling cost to meet air quality requirements for the City of Alburquerque will be reduced for two reasons. First, only one discharge sample will be required at each sampling event in comparison to the samples now taken before and after the treatment unit. Second, the project will be completed in a shorter period of time reducing the total number of air samples needed.

The issues raised above regarding Sparton's current plan for operating the SVE system can be overcome with relatively minor changes to the existing setup. Sparton has in place an efficient extraction well, the required piping, and much of the required monitoring network for implementation of a more robust SVE system.

The primary change would be to replace the existing internal combustion SVE blower system with a larger capacity electrically-driven system. Given the good condition of the existing system, it seems likely that it has a residual value greater than the cost of an electrically-driven SVE system. Off-gas treatment will not be needed based on the City's air quality standards as discussed above. We propose a system with a positive displacement blower with 500 scfm capacity at three inches of vacuum (mercury). A complete system of this capacity, with all

P. 06

Confidential Settlement Document - Not for Public Release Inadmissible Under Federal Rules of Evidence Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation

auxiliary equipment and instrumentation, would cost about \$7,000-8,000. We have contacted vendors and obtained quotes on this equipment and would be willing to share this information with Sparton if desired. The system would be skid-mounted and could be moved into the same location as the existing system, connected to existing SVE piping, connected to electric power, and started.

The likely upper capacity limit for VR-1 is about 400 scfm (at the proposed vacuum). This would leave about 100 scfm for "hot spot" treatment, as needed. "Hot spot" treatment would be primarily used to reduce the time required to reach the remediation goals, by individually treating highly contaminated areas. The system connected to VR-1 would provide an estimated radius of influence of 300 to 350 feet. This reaches most of the areas where potential "hot spots" have been identified, and it has the potential to treat areas beneath the building.

An added benefit of the higher flow SVE system would be the potential to desiccate some of the interbedded clay layers within the vadose zone. These layers will be the most difficult to remediate with SVE, and desiccation will accelerate their treatment. Desiccation will also occur with the existing system, but it will occur at a much slower pace.

The greatest savings for the proposed SVE approach will be in reduced costs for operating the SVE system. The proposed SVE system can be powered and operated for a lower cost than the existing system, and the length of time over which these costs will be incurred will be significantly reduced. All aspects translate to lower overall cost. The confidence that we have in Sparton being able to achieve the stated vadose zone goals will be increased with the use of a more robust SVE system, and the extensive need for site characterization will be reduced.

5