6006-1006 TC



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200 DALLAS, TX 75202-2733

July 18, 2002

II Elle

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED 7000 0520 0022 2561 7702

Mr. Tony Hurst, P.E. Hurst Engineering Services 17990 Clydesdale Road Colorado Springs, CO 80908

RE:

2001 Annual Report

EPA/NMED Comments

Sparton Technology, Inc., Consent Decree Civil Action No. CIV 97 0206 LH/JHG

Dear Mr. Hurst:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the New Mexico Environment Department ("NMED"), received the 2001 Annual Report submitted May 7, 2002, by Sparton Technology, Inc. (Sparton) pursuant to Section VII, Paragraph 18, of the subject March 3, 2000, Consent Decree. EPA and NMED have reviewed the 2001 Annual Report and have determined that the subject report satisfies the requirements of the March 3, 2000, Consent Decree.

EPA and NMED have enclosed a few comments that will assist EPA and NMED in future reviews of the required annual reports. There is no need to revise the 2001 Annual Report, simply respond to the enclosed comments in a letter. If you have any questions, please contact Michael A. Hebert (EPA) at 214-665-8315 or James Bearzi (NMED) at 505-428-2512.

Sincerely yours,

Michael A. Hebert Project Coordinator U.S. EPA Region 6

James Bearzi

Project Coordinator

New Mexico Environment Department

cc: Secretary - Sparton Technology, Inc.

EPA/NMED Comments 2001 Annual Report

- 1. Figure 2.3 There is a label for MW-77 (i.e., north of MW-63) which does not correspond to any particular location.
- 2. Figure 5.15 There is a discrepancy in the contaminant history charts for MW-9 between the 2000 Annual Report to the 2001 Annual Report. Within the 2000 Annual Report, the result for TCA for the January 22, 1998, sample (i.e., < 1 ppb) was depicted in Figure 5.15. However, in the 2001 Annual Report, this data point was apparently omitted. Sparton should provide an explanation for this discrepancy.