





## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 6**

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

## State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Hazardous Waste Bureau 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6303

## CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

March 29, 2011

Gregory A. Slome Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer **Sparton Corporation** 425 North Martingale Road, Suite 2050 Schaumburg, IL 60173

EPA/NMED RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INVOCATION OF DISPUTE RE: RESOLUTION IN REGARDS TO THE 2010 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE **DOCUMENTS** SPARTON TECHNOLOGY, INC. EPA ID NO. NMD083212332

Dear Mr. Slome:

The Environmental Protection Administration (EPA) and the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) [EPA/NMED] have reviewed the Notice of Dispute Resolution dated March 2, 2011 submitted by James B. Harris on behalf of Sparton Corporation for Sparton Technology, Inc (Sparton). Dispute Resolution was invoked by Sparton in response to the January 28, 2011 EPA/NMED letter to Sparton requesting clarification to the September 20, 2010 Financial Assurance documents submitted to EPA/NMED. The Financial Assurance documents were submitted for the Sparton Facility on 9621 Coors Rd. NE, Albuquerque, NM. EPA/NMED is not requiring any further response to comments 1, 2, and 4 in the January 28, 2011 letter. EPA/NMED is still requesting that comment 3 be addressed, as clarified below.

Comment 3 required Sparton to provide a written guarantee as specified in 40 CFR 264.151(h) for the costs to cover corrective action and post-closure care. Sparton requested further explanation and justification as to why a different form of the written guarantee is now necessary. Sparton's response to this question is deemed inadequate.

Mr. Slome March 29, 2011 Page 2

As Sparton Corporation is the direct, higher-tier parent corporation of Sparton, in addition to completing the CFO's letter, Sparton Corporation is required under 40 CFR 264.143(f)(10) to provide a written guarantee. The wording of the written guarantee must be identical to the wording specified at 40 CFR 264.151(h)(1).

Under Section XXIV of the Consent Decree, Sparton is required to demonstrate financial assurance for the Work required by the Consent Decree using "one or a combination of the forms specified in 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(a)-(f)" [emphasis added]. Sparton is using a corporate guarantee from its parent company Sparton Corporation. The "form" of the corporate guarantee is specified at 40 CFR 264.143(f)(10). Specifically, this provision requires the guarantor to meet the same requirements as specified for owners and operators in paragraphs 40 CFR 264.143(f)(1) through (8) and provide a "certified copy of [a written] guarantee"; the wording of which must be identical to the wording specified at 40 CFR 264.151(h)(1). The guarantee states that the guarantor will meet the owner or operator's requirements under the Consent Decree if the owner or operator is unable to do so (see also 40 CFR 264.143(f)(10)(i) to (iii)). Item 2 in the CFO's letter dated September 20, 2010 is not equivalent to the written guarantee as required at 40 CFR 264.143(f)(10).

If you have any questions, please contact Chuck Hendrickson at 214-665-2196 or John Kieling at 505-476-6035.

Sincerely,

Chuck Hendrickson

Project Coordinator

U.S. EPA Region 6

ohn E. Kieling

Project Coordinator

New Mexico Environment Department

cc:

James Bearzi, Chief, NMED HWB Bill Olson, Chief, NMED GWQB Brian Salem, HWB NMED

Richard Langley, President, Sparton Technology, Inc., 425 North Martingale Road, Suite 2050, Schaumburg, IL 60173

James B. Harris, Attorney, Thompson & Knight LLP, 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500, Dallas, TX 75201-2533

Joseph S. Lerczak, Director, Sparton Corporation 425 North Martingale Road, Suite 2050, Schaumburg, IL 60173