

300 UNION BOULEVARD, SUITE 600, LAKEWOOD, CO 80228

PHONE: (303) 763-7188
FAX: (303) 763-4896

TECHLAW INC.

January 19, 2000



Ms. Stephanie Kruse
New Mexico Environment Department
Hazardous and Radioactive Material Bureau
2044 Galisteo
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Reference: Work Assignment No. Y513; State of New Mexico Environment Department,
Santa Fe, New Mexico; General Permit Support Contract; Triassic Park Landfill,
Revised Draft RCRA Part B Permit Review

Dear Ms. Kruse:

Per your technical directive, TechLaw has prepared the enclosed letter which provides a brief description of the scope of work (SOW) and a cost estimate for all the activities to be performed in the engineering evaluation of the revised Triassic Park Landfill Part B Permit Application. This letter and associated attachments do not constitute a new work plan but are an addendum to the previous work plan.

According to your technical directive, the scope of work will include the following activities:

1. Evaluate the revised application against the technical comments provided to NMED from TechLaw. This evaluation will be limited to the engineering sections of the permit including engineering information contained in Volume I of the application. TechLaw staff will prepare a technical document which clearly and concisely indicates if the applicant has addressed previous deficiencies.
2. Evaluate the Part B Permit Application with regard to the technical commitments made by Grandy/Marley (owner/operator of the proposed Triassic Park Landfill) and their consultants Montgomery Watson. At your direction, Mr. Greg Starkebaum of TechLaw and Mr. Patrick Corser of Montgomery Watson have had several previous engineering meetings regarding the application. During these meetings, several issues were addressed, and a consensus was made between Mr. Starkebaum and Mr. Corser. These issues should



Ms. Stephanie Kruse
January 19, 2000
Page 2

be checked to ensure they have been integrated into the revised application. Mr. Starkebaum has drafted several e-mails and memorandums to you regarding these meetings.

3. Mr. Starkebaum shall provide a brief evaluation of the items which are still deficient as to whether these issues could be addressed in the draft permit as a permit condition, as part of a compliance schedule, or if the applicant must provide additional information. TechLaw fully understands, however, that the final determination regarding these issues would be by NMED.


Staff responsibility for the above mentioned areas is provided in Attachment I. Attachment II provides an estimate on the level of effort, and Attachment III includes a total cost estimate.

It is expected that the LOE will be approximately 48 hours with Mr. Starkebaum's hours comprising the majority of hours, or approximately 40 hours. No travel costs are included as part of this technical review. (See Attachment II.)

TechLaw expects to provide NMED a final deliverable approximately two weeks after final verbal approval of this work plan addendum, or February 9, 2000, if final verbal approval is provided early the week of January 24, 2000.

Please call if you have further questions or concerns.

Sincerely,


June K. Dreith
Project Manager

attachments

cc: Mr. James P. Bearzi, NMED
Mr. Robert S. (Stu) Dinwiddie
W. Jordan, TechLaw
G. Starkebaum
D. Romero (NMED6 File)

ATTACHMENT I

TECHNICAL AND MANAGEMENT STAFF RESPONSIBILITY CHART

<u>STAFF</u>	<u>ROLE</u>	<u>AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY</u>
J. Dreith	Project Manager	Overall project management including day-to-day management of project progress, project tracking, liaison to State, including performance of activities.
G. Starkebaum	Senior Engineer, Technical Staff, Quality Control	Review submittals and draft permit sections for engineering sections, review application against previous NOD comments, ensure commitments made by applicant during technical meetings have been integrated into revised application.

ATTACHMENT II

STAFFING

<u>Name</u>	<u>Labor Category</u> ¹	<u>Activity 1</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
<u>Project Manager</u>			
J. Dreith	Manager	4	4
<u>Technical Staff</u>			
G. Starkebaum	Sr. Engineer	40	40
<u>Clerical Staff</u>			
D. Romero		4	4
		----	----
		48	48

^{1/} Provides Labor Classification for Each Staff Person

^{2/} Hours including travel time

**ATTACHMENT III
 COST ESTIMATE (TOTAL)**

NAME	P-LEVEL	HOURS	COST			
J. Dreith	P-4	4	\$ 356.12			
G. Starkebaum	P-4	40	4,094.00			
D. Romero	Clerical	4	152.24			
Total Labor		48	\$4,602.36			
Other Direct Costs:						
Reproduction			3.00			
Telephone		20 min.	4.60			
Computer			47.52			
Travel	Persons	Trips	Air Fare	Hotel	Per Diem	Car
N/A						
Total Other Direct Costs			55.12			
Total Estimated Cost						
Less N.M. Gross Receipts Tax						
N.M. Gross Receipts Tax (6.3125%)						
Total Estimated Costs including Fee			\$4,657.48			