

2

Red TPDF 2001

Subject: [Fwd: Attachment F1 and Part 2-training]

Date: Thu, 04 Jan 2001 17:12:35 -0700

From: steve pullen <steve_pullen@nmenv.state.nm.us>

To: Stephanie Kruse <stephanie_kruse@nmenv.state.nm.us>

I'm just forwarding this so that more than one of us has it. I will place these into the appropriate files.

Subject: Attachment F1 and Part 2-training

Date: Thu, 4 Jan 2001 15:29:08 -0700

From: "Dreith, June" <JDreith@TechLawInc.com>

To: "steve_pullen@nmenv.state.nm.us" <steve_pullen@nmenv.state.nm.us>

Attached are Attachment F1 and Part2 of the draft permit. I have modified Attachment F1, section 4.7.2 to address Ms. Robinson concerns. The personnel training section of Part 2 of the draft permit has also been modified according to Charlotte's and Susan's concerns. If you have any questions please call.

June

<<Attachment F1.doc>> <<master.0917.2 Redline(1).doc>>



Attachment F1.doc

Name: Attachment F1.doc

Type: Winword File (application/msword)

Encoding: base64



master.0917.2 Redline(1).doc

Name: master.0917.2 Redline(1).doc

Type: Winword File (application/msword)

Encoding: base64

ATTACHMENT F1

4.0 WASTE ANALYSIS PLAN

The Triassic Park Hazardous Waste Disposal Facility (the facility) is a commercial facility that receives hazardous waste generated off-site for treatment, storage, and disposal. This waste analysis plan establishes facilityPermittee requirements for accepting and characterizing hazardous waste generated both off-site and on-site. The waste analysis plan requirements are established in the New Mexico Hazardous Waste Management Regulations at 20 NMAC 4.1.500 incorporating 40 CFR 264.13, 20 NMAC 4.1.800 incorporating 40 CFR 268.7, and 20 NMAC 4.1.900 incorporating 40 CFR 270.14(b)(3). The most recent revision of this waste analysis plan will be maintained at the facility as part of the facilityPermittee Operating Record. The facilityPermittee will continually upgrade the waste analysis plan with regard to the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) regulations contained in 40 CFR 268.

Section 4.1 identifies wastes which will be accepted at the facility and wastes which are prohibited. Section 4.2 lists criteria for waste acceptance and management. Sections 4.3 and 4.4 contain pre-acceptance procedures for initial acceptance of hazardous waste received from off-site generators and management procedures for incoming shipments of waste. The various waste analysis protocols that will be required at the facility are contained in Section 4.5. Sampling and analytical methods and protocols for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) are discussed in Sections 4.6 and 4.7. Section 4.8 explains the facilityPermittee's waste tracking system. Section 4.9 summarizes notification, certification, and recordkeeping requirements related to waste analysis.

4.1 PERMITTED AND PROHIBITED WASTE

Section 4.1.1 identifies hazardous waste permitted for acceptance at the facility. Hazardous waste prohibited at the facility is identified in Section 4.1.2.

4.1.1 ——— Permitted Waste

The facilityPermittee will treat, store, and/or dispose only those hazardous wastes listed in Part A of the facility permit application. Only hazardous waste which meets the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) treatment standards identified in 40 CFR 268, Subpart D, or can be treated at the facility to meet these

standards, will be accepted. These treatment standards are applicable to both primary contaminants and underlying constituents.

4.1.2 ~~Prohibited Waste~~

The ~~Facility~~Permittee will not accept the following wastes from off-site generators:

- ~~•~~ **dioxin-contaminated wastes.** - Wastes listed in 40 CFR 268.31;
- ~~•~~ **certain PCB-contaminated liquids.** - Ignitable PCB-contaminated liquids or liquids with PCB concentrations greater than or equal to 50 ppm;
- **certain PCB-contaminated soils.** - Soils with PCB concentrations greater than or equal to 500 ppm will not be accepted at the facility, except ~~except~~ for those soils (or other wastes) which are defined as ~~for~~ bulk PCB-contaminated remediation waste. Before the ~~facility~~Permittee accepts other wastes containing PCB concentrations greater than 500 ppm, the ~~facility~~Permittee will obtain a permit from EPA for management of Toxic Substances Control Act (**TSCA**) wastes. A copy of this permit will be transmitted to the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) before such waste is accepted
- ~~•~~ **organic liquids/sludges.** - ~~Liquids/sludges with an organic concentration of 10 percent or greater by weight or liquids/sludges that have not been treated (prior to receipt at the facility) to applicable LDR treatment standards;~~
- ~~•~~ **explosives.** - Any substance or article, including a device, which is designed to function by explosion (i.e., an extremely rapid release of gas and heat) or which, by chemical reaction within itself, is able to function in a similar manner even if not designed to function by explosion. This includes materials defined as explosives in 40 CFR 143;
- ~~•~~ **radioactive/nuclear materials.** - Materials regulated by the NMED or the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division and defined in 20 NMAC 3.1 Subpart 14, or materials regulated under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954,

as amended (including source, special nuclear materials and byproduct materials as defined in 10 CFR 20.1003);

- **medical waste.** - Waste including infectious/biologic/pathogenic solid waste generated in the diagnosis, treatment, or immunization of human beings or animals, in research pertaining thereto, or in the production or testing of biologicals. This also includes infectious waste as defined in NMAC 9.1.105.L.;
- **municipal solid waste.** - Wastes including garbage, refuse, sludges, wastes, and other discarded materials as defined in 40 CFR 761.3 and residential and commercial solid wastes generated within a community as identified in 40 CFR 240.101 and 40 CFR 241.101;
- **construction and demolition debris.** - Waste identified in 40 CFR 243.101 and 40 CFR 246.101 as building materials, packaging, and rubble resulting from construction, remodeling, repair, and demolition operations on pavements, houses, commercial buildings and other structures;
- **certain hazardous debris.** - Hazardous debris which does not meet the LDR treatment standards;
- **special waste.** - Waste identified in NMAC 9.1.105.ZZZ. as nonhazardous solid wastes requiring unique handling, transportation, or disposal requirements other than that normally used for municipal solid waste to ensure protection of the environment and the public health, welfare, and safety (e.g., asbestos waste);
- **certain lab packs.** - Lab packs which contain wastes (identified in 40 CFR 268, Appendix IV) excluded from lab packs under the alternative treatment standards of 40 CFR 268.42(c);
- **compressed gases.** - Gases stored at pressures higher than atmospheric; and
- **unknown or unidentified waste.** - These wastes cannot be accepted at the Facility except by special provision and direction from the NMED Secretary (e.g., emergency clean-up operations) or until full characterization has been performed.

4.2. CRITERIA FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT AT THE FACILITY

Waste managed at the facility must meet the ~~facility~~Permittee's criteria for acceptance and management. Waste analysis (or, in some cases, acceptable process knowledge) will be used to ensure determination of:

- ~~_____~~ complete characterization of the waste;
- ~~_____~~ compliance with LDR treatment standards, including, where applicable, underlying constituents. If the waste stream does not meet the LDR treatment standards, the waste will be rejected if the ~~facility~~Permittee does not have the appropriate treatment capability to bring it into compliance;
- ~~_____~~ compliance with the ~~facility~~Permittee's regulatory and operational limits (e.g., the waste is not included in the permitted wastes listed in Part A of this application, or the waste does not meet other operational boundaries established by this WAP). ~~or it exceeds the 40 CFR, Subparts BB allowable concentrations for air emissions, or exceeds the allowable concentration of PCBs, or contains biodegradable sorbents, or is incompatible with other wastes or containers).~~

4.3 PRE-ACCEPTANCE PROCEDURES FOR OFF-SITE WASTE

Before a waste stream is accepted, all off-site generators will be required to provide a complete waste characterization (Section 4.3.1). After evaluating the paperwork supplied by the generator (Section 4.3.2), the ~~facility~~Permittee will send a representative sample of the waste to an independent laboratory for analysis and will evaluate the analytical results (Section 4.3.3). Finally, the ~~facility~~Permittee will notify the generator that the ~~facility~~Permittee will accept the waste stream (Section 4.3.4).

4.3.1 ~~_____~~ Waste Characterization Information Provided by the Generator

The activities associated with pre-acceptance of off-site waste streams are shown in Figure 4-1.

The generator must provide the following waste characterization information for each waste stream:

- ——— a completed Waste Profile Form signed by an authorized agent of the generator. An example of a Waste Profile Form is contained in Vol. II, Appendix H, of this application. This form may be changed if the facility Permittee believes that more information is warranted or if there are changes in regulations governing the facility;
- ——— other documentation that supports the information presented on the Waste Profile Form (e.g., Material Safety Data Sheets);
- ——— waste analysis data used to characterize the waste and/or process knowledge documentation;
- ——— a description of the process that generated the waste;
- ——— a completed Land Disposal Restriction Notification;
- ——— all other supporting data required by 40 CFR 268.7;
- ——— all required certifications; and
- ——— a representative sample of the waste, of adequate volume for analysis.

In certain cases, generators may meet waste analysis requirements by supplying "acceptable knowledge". Acceptable knowledge includes process knowledge and waste analysis. Process knowledge includes detailed information of a waste obtained from existing published or documented waste analysis data or studies on hazardous wastes generated by processes similar to that which generated the waste, or industry or trade association hazardous waste profile studies, or EPA documents. Examples of waste streams where process knowledge may be adequate for characterization are K-listed wastes (hazardous wastes from specific sources), which are identified by comparing the specific process that generated the waste to those processes listed in 40 CFR 261.32. The application of process knowledge is appropriate where the physical/chemical make-up of the waste is ~~well-known~~ well known and consistent. Process knowledge is often used in conjunction with physical and analytical analysis.

If waste analysis is used to characterize the waste, the generator must, at a minimum, supply the following waste analysis data for each sample:

- —•— identification of the sample medium (e.g., aqueous, sludge, soil);
- —•— brief description of the sampling strategy, including
 - a description of the sampling technique (i.e., biased or random);
 - rationale for selection of the number and location of samples;
 - a description of the statistical approach, if any; and
 - the sample type (i.e., grab or composite);
- —•— identification of the analytical methods that were used and the rationale for the selection of these parameters;
- —•— final laboratory reports including case narratives, waste analyses, and quality assurance/quality control analyses; and
- —•— identification of the laboratory which performed the waste analyses.

4.3.2 — Paperwork Evaluation

The facilityPermittee will evaluate all of the waste characterization paperwork to determine if it adequately represents the physical and chemical characteristics of the waste stream and whether the waste stream is appropriate for management at the facility. As part of the pre-shipment process, the facilityPermittee will work with the off-site waste generator to ensure that all necessary waste analyses and waste characterization information are provided to meet the applicable requirements for acceptance.

If waste analysis was used to characterize the waste, the facilityPermittee will evaluate the data to determine that:

- —•—appropriate extraction and preservation techniques were used;
- —•—appropriate sampling strategies were used;
- —•—appropriate sample types were collected (e.g., to demonstrate compliance with the LDR treatment standards, hazardous waste regulations require that grab samples be collected for nonwastewaters and composite samples be collected for wastewaters);
- —•—appropriate parameters were selected for analysis;
- —•—appropriate analytical methods were used;
- —•—recommended holding times were met; and
- —•—detection limits were below applicable standards (e.g., the LDR standards); and
- —•—the quality of the analytical data is adequate for making a waste determination based on an evaluation of the final laboratory reports.

If the data supplied are not adequate to provide a complete characterization of the waste stream, the facilityPermittee will either require additional information from the generator or will not agree to accept the waste.

All of the waste characterization information supplied by the generator will be maintained in the facilityPermittee's Operating Record. In addition, the facilityPermittee's evaluation of this information and the results of the independent analysis will be maintained in the Operating Record.

4.3.3 **Representative Sample Analysis and Evaluation**

After evaluation and approval of the waste characterization data paperwork (see Section 4.3.2), ~~the~~ representative sample submitted by the generator will be analyzed by an ~~off-site~~ independent-qualified ~~laboratory~~ other than the one used by the generator. Based upon the ~~facility~~Permittee evaluation of the information supplied by the generator, the ~~facility~~Permittee will inform the laboratory of the medium type (e.g., liquid, aqueous, solid) and appropriate parameters for analysis. The rationale for selection will be maintained in the ~~facility~~Permittee Operating Record.

The ~~facility~~Permittee will select parameters for analysis to ensure that the criteria for acceptance identified in Section 4.2 are met. The analysis will include testing for each hazardous waste contained in the waste stream, as identified by EPA waste code, and for each underlying hazardous constituent, as identified in 40 CFR 268.48, Table 4-1 (see Section 4.5.42).

The generator's Waste Profile Form will be compared with the results of the independent analysis of the representative sample and with the facility's permit to ensure that the waste is acceptable for storage, treatment, and/or disposal at the facility. Should there be a discrepancy between the analytical results and the generator information, the ~~facility~~Permittee will contact the generator to resolve the discrepancy. The generator will not be authorized to ship the waste until all discrepancies are resolved. If the discrepancies cannot be resolved with the information provided by the generator, the ~~facility~~Permittee will request a new Waste Profile Form and any additional information that may be required to characterize the waste adequately. In addition, the ~~facility~~Permittee may require the generator to submit additional samples of the waste for analysis. If the generator cannot supply adequate information to provide a complete characterization of the waste stream the ~~facility~~Permittee will not accept the waste.

4.3.3.1 **Major Ddiscrepancies**

~~Major~~ discrepancies include the following:

- ~~analytical~~ results indicating that the generator applied an incomplete or wrong waste code to the waste stream;
- ~~analytical~~ results indicating that the generator submitted incomplete or wrong information on the LDR Notification Form;

- —• — analytical results including constituents or underlying hazardous characteristics that are not explained by a description of the process; and
- —• — other information indicating that the waste stream is not characterized properly.

In the event of a major discrepancy, the facilityPermittee will reject the paperwork and require the generator to analyze the waste in accordance with a sampling plan that is consistent with the guidance in EPA document SW-846, *Test Methods for the Evaluation of Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods*, Chapter 9.

The facilityPermittee will require the generator to resubmit the waste characterization information listed in Section 4.3.1 and one or more additional representative samples for analysis.

4.3.3.2— Minor Discrepancies

—Minor discrepancies include any other waste characterization discrepancy. In the event of a minor discrepancy, the facilityPermittee will work with the generator to resolve the discrepancy. For example, uncertainties regarding sorbents will be handled as minor discrepancies. The facilityPermittee will contact the generator if the Waste Profile Form does not indicate whether a sorbent was added to the waste, or it indicates that a sorbent was added but does not specify the name and type of sorbent and whether it is biodegradable.

If the generator cannot provide this documentation, the waste must be tested to determine if it contains a biodegradable sorbent. If the waste is determined to contain a biodegradable sorbent, it will be rejected.

4.3.3.3— Additional Waste Acceptance Conditions

—In addition to complete characterization of the waste, the facilityPermittee will also evaluate the waste to ensure that it can be managed at the facility. Waste analysis will be conducted where necessary to ensure:

- —• — the waste is not prohibited (e.g., the waste is included in Part A of this application, is not listed in Section 4.1 as a prohibited waste, or does not exceed allowable PCB concentrations or include dioxins);
- —• — the LDR treatment standards contained in 40 CFR, 268, Subpart D, including the standards for underlying hazardous constituents, are met;

- —• — the general requirements contained in 40 CFR 264.17 for ignitable, reactive, and/or incompatible waste are met;
- —• — the special requirements for bulk and containerized liquids contained in 40 CFR 264.314 are met; and
- ~~• the waste does not exceed Subpart BB air emission standards for equipment leaks; and~~
- the waste does not contain biodegradable sorbents, as required in 40 CFR 264.314(e).

All major and minor discrepancies, discrepancy resolutions, and compliance with the additional waste acceptance conditions listed above will be documented in writing and maintained in the facilityPermittee Operating Record.

4.3.4 — Notification and Approval of Waste Shipment

After the facilityPermittee determines that the waste stream meets the pre-acceptance requirements, the facilityPermittee will send a written notification to the generator. This notification will include:

- —• — a statement that the waste is acceptable for shipment;
- —• — a unique identifier number for the waste stream, assigned by the facilityPermittee (see Section 4.10);
- —• — instructions to put the unique identifier number on all shipment paperwork and all future waste characterization data that are submitted for the waste stream;
- —• — a requirement to notify the facilityPermittee at least 24 hours before shipping, so that the facilityPermittee can ensure that there are sufficient resources and capacity to manage the shipment when it arrives;
- —• — a statement that the facilityPermittee reserves the right to delay shipments beyond the 24-hour time-frame;

- —• — instructions to ensure safe management of the waste (e.g., packaging or labeling requirements not otherwise required by regulations);
- —• — if the generator has treated the waste prior to shipment to meet applicable LDR treatment standards, a requirement that the generator develop and follow a written waste analysis plan which describes the procedures used; and
- —• — a requirement that the generator retain on-site a copy of all notices, certifications, demonstrations, waste analysis data, and other documentation produced pursuant to characterization of the waste stream for five years from the date that the waste was last sent to the facilityPermittee.

Once the facilityPermittee has completed pre-acceptance requirements and has determined that a waste stream is acceptable for shipment, the on-site laboratory will be notified in writing. The notification will include the waste type, waste stream identifier, physical form, packaging, and how the waste is to be managed. This information will be used by the laboratory as follows:

- —• — the waste stream identifier will be used to track the samples in relation to the waste stream;
- —• — the waste type and management methods (storage, solidification, evaporation, and/or disposal) will be used to help determine the analytical methods that will be employed for fingerprint analysis; and
- —• — the physical form and packaging will determine the most applicable sampling methods.

Using this information, the on-site laboratory will designate a sampling and analytical protocol specific to each waste stream. The unique identifier number for the waste stream will be used to track all activities for the waste stream. Individual shipments from within the waste stream will receive an additional identifier to enable the facilityPermittee to tie information back to the specific shipment as well as to the waste stream.

4.4 PROCEDURES FOR INCOMING WASTE ACCEPTANCE

The activities associated with incoming waste shipments (typically, in drums, roll-off boxes, vacuum trucks, and tanker trucks) are shown in Figure 4-2. These procedures will be used for both initial shipment of a waste stream as well as for waste streams that have previously been accepted by the facilityPermittee from the same generator and process. The facilityPermittee will review the waste shipment paperwork and resolve paperwork discrepancies (Section 4.4.1), and visually inspect the waste inside the containers and roll-off boxes (Section 4.4.2). Waste analyses for incoming shipments consist of fingerprint analysis and an annual analysis to update characterization of the waste stream (Section 4.4.3). Based on the facilityPermittee's evaluation of the waste stream, a determination to accept or reject the waste will be made (Section 4.4.4).

4.4.1 ———Paperwork Review

Upon receipt of a waste shipment, the truck will be routed to a parking area outside the facility gate while documents are reviewed. The facilityPermittee will:

- ———review all paperwork for completeness to verify that all required documentation is present and signed as necessary;
- ———compare the information in the manifest, the Waste Profile Form, the LDR Notification Form, and pre-acceptance waste characterization information for consistency;
- ———compare the number of containers, the volume or weight of the waste, and the waste labels on each container with the manifest for consistency; and
- ———review all paperwork to verify that the unique identifier number for the waste stream is on all the waste shipment paperwork and all accompanying waste characterization data.

If the facilityPermittee determines that the paperwork is complete and consistent, the waste shipment will be routed to the truck sampling station, a staging area inside the facility gate.

If the facilityPermittee determines that the paperwork is incomplete or inconsistent, the waste shipment will be routed to a segregated, secure area inside the facility gate pending resolution of the discrepancies. An attempt will be made to resolve discrepancies with the waste generator or transporter

within 24 hours. In those instances where a discrepancy with the manifest cannot be resolved within 15 days of receiving the waste, a letter will be submitted to NMED describing the discrepancy and the attempts made to reconcile it. A copy of the manifest or shipping paper at issue also will be provided to NMED, as specified in 40 CFR 264.72(b). If the ~~facility~~Permittee is unable to resolve the manifest discrepancies, the waste will not be accepted.

The ~~facility~~Permittee will resolve significant manifest discrepancies in accordance with 40 CFR 264.72. Manifest discrepancies are differences between the quantity or type of hazardous waste designated on the manifest and the quantity or type of hazardous waste contained in the shipment received at the facility.

Significant discrepancies in quantity are:

- ♦ ~~•~~ **bulk waste.** - Variations greater than 10 percent in weight; and
- ~~•~~ **batch waste.** - Any variation in piece count, such as a discrepancy of one drum in a truckload.

Significant discrepancies in type are obvious differences which can be discovered by inspection or waste analysis, such as waste solvent substituted for waste acid, or toxic constituents not reported on the manifest or shipping paper.

All discrepancy resolutions will be documented in writing and maintained in the facilityPermittee Operating Record.

4.4.2 ~~•~~ **Visual Inspection**

After all paperwork discrepancies have been resolved, the facilityPermittee will physically open and inspect the waste inside all drums and roll-off boxes for color, similar physical appearance (e.g., single phase, bi-layer, multi-layer), and physical state (e.g., solid, semi-solid, or liquid). This information will be compared with the waste characterization information provided by the generator and the physical appearance of the representative sample. If the color and/or viscosity of bulk wastes (solids and sludges) appear inconsistent, the facilityPermittee may elect to perform additional chemical tests, i.e., composite samples would be taken from within the different areas of coloration or viscosity.

The facilityPermittee will inspect a minimum of 10 percent of all drums of each waste stream per shipment (but not less than one drum per waste stream), and each roll-off container or tanker truck.

The facilityPermittee will physically open all containers of hazardous debris and inspect the contents to ensure that the waste shipment matches the waste that is expected. Prior to acceptance of hazardous debris the facilityPermittee will require the generator to provide a certification that the waste has been treated in accordance with the requirements defined for the treatment of hazardous debris in 40 CFR 268. Hazardous debris is visually inspected because it is exempted from the representative sample waste analysis requirements discussed in Section 4.7.2. This visual inspection will ensure that the waste stream matches the description provided by the generator.

Certain loads may not be sampled, at the discretion of the facilityPermittee manager or laboratory supervisor, for environmental and safety reasons (e.g., severe weather which

causes unsafe working conditions). In these cases, the generator or his agent will be required to provide a signed certification that the load conforms to the Waste Profile Form. This variance from established procedure will be documented in the facilityPermittee Operating Record.

If a discrepancy is found, the facilityPermittee will contact the waste generator for resolution (see Section 4.4.1). The results of visual inspections and all discrepancy resolutions will be documented in writing and maintained in the facilityPermittee Operation Record.

4.4.3 ———Waste Analysis for Incoming Shipments

Waste analysis for incoming shipments consists of fingerprint tests (Section 4.5.4) and an annual analysis to ensure correct characterization of each waste stream (Section 4.5.3).

4.4.3.1— Fingerprint Ttests

—Fingerprint analysis (see Section 4.5.4) will be conducted on each waste stream in each shipment prior to shipment acceptance. Fingerprint analysis will be conducted generally for parameters that will give information that can be used to help verify that a waste stream received from off-site matches the expected characteristics of the waste.

Because the facilityPermittee already knows the detailed chemical and physical properties of a waste, the appropriate fingerprint or spot check parameters can be chosen easily, since the purpose of the fingerprint is only to verify that the waste received is the waste expected.

— Appropriate fingerprint parameters will be selected based on the pre-acceptance waste characterization data, shipment paperwork, physical form of the waste, and the visual inspection of the contents of containers and bulk waste.

Fingerprint analysis will also include parameters as necessary to ensure that the waste is within the facilityPermittee's regulatory and operational acceptance limits. To select additional sample parameters, the facilityPermittee will consider:

- ———compliance with applicable regulatory and permit requirements. (This may require selection of parameters not reported by the generator);

- ——— identification of incompatible and inappropriate wastes; and
- ——— process and design considerations.

While the incoming shipment is staged at the sampling station, laboratory personnel, or other trained personnel, will review the laboratory requirements for the specific waste stream. After completion of this review, sampling personnel will obtain the necessary samples in the manner prescribed by laboratory requirements. Sampling will be conducted in accordance with approved site operating procedures. These procedures will detail the sampling requirements, sample labeling, chain-of-custody requirements, any necessary sample preservation requirements, and other sampling components (see Section 4.6).

As noted, fingerprint analysis helps the facilityPermittee minimize the potential to receive waste that is unacceptable. Therefore, the level of analysis required for a waste shipment is a function of the facilityPermittee's knowledge about the waste generation process and the waste generator. Fingerprint analysis will be conducted for at least one qualitative and one quantitative parameter (see Section 4.5.5). The facilityPermittee may elect to perform additional fingerprint tests to achieve a higher level of confidence that a full waste characterization is achieved. If discrepancies are noted between the received waste and the Waste Profile Form, the waste will be further analyzed using additional fingerprint parameters. Discrepancies that can result in the facilityPermittee requiring additional analysis include non-conformance with the results of required testing or a change in color, texture, liquid content, or other characteristics that can be observed upon receipt.

The facilityPermittee will follow the parameter selection process described in Section 2.2 of the EPA guidance document, *Waste Analysis at Facilities That Generate, Treat, Store, and Dispose of Hazardous Wastes*, April 1994.

Each waste stream in each shipment will be sampled in accordance with the following sampling rate, at a minimum:

- ——— **bulk waste.** - One sample will be collected from each shipment of bulk waste (one shipment of bulk waste is considered to be one truck load or one roll-off box). If, upon visual inspection, the color and viscosity of solids or sludges appear inconsistent, the FacilityPermittee may elect to obtain additional samples. These samples would be composites from within the different areas of color or viscosity; and

- ~~_____~~ **batch waste.** - One sample will be collected from each ten waste drums in each waste stream in each shipment. If there are less than ten waste drums in the waste stream, one drum will be sampled. One sample will be collected from each drum if the waste appears to be inconsistent with the pre-acceptance waste characterization data.

The facilityPermittee can increase this sampling rate for any reason. For example, the facilityPermittee may decide to collect additional samples if the waste appears to be inconsistent with the pre-acceptance characterization data. In some instances, the facilityPermittee may elect to waive one or more analyses under the following conditions:

- ~~_____~~ the transported waste is a portion of a continuously shipped, well documented waste stream, such as waste produced from a consistent, non-variable process or contaminated soils from a specific remedial action;
- ~~_____~~ the waste has been approved for receipt by NMED on an emergency basis; or
- ~~_____~~ facilityPermittee personnel at the point of generation sampled, or oversaw the sampling of, the waste, and the fingerprint test/supplemental analyses have been conducted. (In cases where a generator is sending very large or continual shipments, the facilityPermittee may elect to station personnel at the point of generation to obtain samples prior to or during loading of the waste).

Prior to waiving sampling and analysis requirements, however, the facilityPermittee will request a variance from NMED and will not dispose of the waste until NMED approval is received.

4.4.3.2 ~~_____~~ **Annual Analysis**

As part of the facilityPermittee's QA/QC procedures (see Section 4.7), the representative sample analysis for each waste stream from each generator will be repeated annually. Repeating this pre-acceptance procedure will ensure that the analysis is accurate and up-to-date and that the waste stream has remained within the operational bounds of the facility. This annual analysis will be performed by an independent laboratory. This analysis will be repeated more frequently if the facilityPermittee believes, or has been informed by the generator, that the process generating the waste stream has

~~changed. The generator will be required to provide the facility with a revised Waste Profile Form and a representative sample of the changed waste prior to the first shipment of the waste after a process change.~~In the case of a change in the waste generation process the waste stream will be managed as a new waste stream in accordance with the requirements of this waste analysis plan.

4.4.4 ~~_____~~ Acceptance/Rejection Determination

4.4.4.1 Discrepancy Resolution

—Upon completion of the fingerprint analysis, a determination will be made as to whether or not the wastes are consistent with the pre-acceptance waste characterization information and within acceptance limits of the facility and specific management units.

If any of the analyses determine the waste is not within a specific management unit's operational acceptance limits, the waste will not be accepted by the ~~facility~~Permittee for that unit. If the results of the analysis conflict with the waste profile information, the ~~facility~~Permittee may take any or all of the following actions:

- ~~_____~~ resample the waste, if necessary, and perform a second fingerprint test. The ~~facility~~Permittee manager has discretion to accept the waste if the second fingerprint results match those on the waste profile sheet. The discrepancy between results will be explained and included in the ~~facility~~Permittee Operating Record for that waste stream or shipment;
- ~~_____~~ perform further characterization as necessary to verify the composition of the waste by sending a sample to a qualified independent analytical laboratory; and/or
- ~~_____~~ reject the entire waste shipment or the nonconforming portion of the shipment.

4.4.4.2 Shipment Acceptance Procedures

—Once the decision has been made to accept a waste shipment, the appropriate papers will be signed for the generator, and the waste stream will be transported by truck to an appropriate management unit.

4.5 WASTE ANALYSIS

Tables 4-21 through 4-43 specify parameters which will be analyzed to ensure that all criteria for waste acceptance and management are met. ~~If analytical methods other than those contained in this section are found to be necessary, the facility will refer to the most current version of EPA document SW-846 to select appropriate methods. All analytical methods contained in this section will be updated to conform with updates recommended in SW-846 or by the American Society for Testing and Materials~~

(ASTM) The facility Permittee will use approved analytical methods from SW-846, the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), or other approved method.

Sections 4.5.1 Attachment F2 identifies the parameters and analytical methods which will be used to test hazardous waste managed at the facility. Requirements for the pre-acceptance analysis of a representative sample of waste generated off-site and for the annual analysis are discussed in Sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3 of Attachment F1, respectively. Section 4.5.4 of Attachment F1 contains requirements for fingerprint testing. Section 4.5.5 of Attachment F1 contains waste analysis requirements specific to storage, treatment, and disposal units. Section 4.5.6 of Attachment F1 contains requirements for waste analysis of waste generated on-site. [Note: 4.5.1 has been removed and is incorporated into Attachment F2.]

TABLE 4-1 EXAMPLE PARAMETERS AND METHODS FOR PRE-ACCEPTANCE REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE ANALYSIS*		
Waste Parameters	Extraction/ Sample Preparation	Method1
Volatile Organic Compounds	5021 5031 5032 5035	8260
Semivolatile Organic Compounds	3510 3520	8270
Organochlorine Pesticides	3510 3520	8081/8270
PCBs	3520	8082/8080
TCLP: Organics	1311	8260/8270/8080/8150
Chlorinated Herbicides	81512	8151
Reactive Cyanide		9014
Reactive Sulfide		9034
Water		ASTM C566
Ignitability		1010/1030
Flashpoint		1010/1020A
Corrosivity to metals		1110 pH paper pH electrometer 9040A/9041A/9045A
pH		9040A/9041A/9045A
Dioxins		8280
Total Metals	3000 1311	6000 series 7000 series
Liner Compatibility Tests		9090A
Extractable volatiles	3500	8260
Extractable semivolatiles	3500	8270
Notes: ¹ Most current revision of SW-846 will be used. ⁴ Method 8151 contains the extraction, cleanup, and determinative procedures for these analytes. *This table represents examples only. Final determination of methods will be made dependent upon the waste form, expected constituents, and available information regarding the waste.		

TABLE 4-2 ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR FINGERPRINT SAMPLES*		
Test	Method and Description	Qualitative or Quantitative
Flammability Potential Screen	ASTM D4982	Qualitative
Free Liquids	Paint filter test, penetrometer, or visual/9095	Qualitative
Ignitability	Match test, Pansky-Martens closed cup or Set-a-flash 1010/1020A	Qualitative
Miscibility	50/50 mixture with water	Qualitative
Water Mix	ASTM D5058 Test Method C	Qualitative
Chlorinated Solvents	Colorimetric test or Beilstein test	Quantitative
Cyanide	Electrode or colorimetric test (ASTM D5049 Test Method B)	Quantitative
PCBs	Colorimetric test/8080	Quantitative
Specific Gravity	Hydrometer/Method dependent on material composition and physical state	Quantitative
Sulfide screen	ASTM 4978	Quantitative
*This table represents examples only. Final determination of methods will be made dependent upon the waste form, expected constituents, and available information regarding the waste.		

TABLE 4-3 ADDITIONAL ANALYTICAL METHODS*		
Method	Reference	Description
Paint Filter Test	EPA 9095	This test will determine the free liquids that are contained within the waste matrix and will be used as a control parameter for wastes that are to be landfilled.
Heavy Metals	6010A/7470	This test determines the concentration of heavy metals.
Free Cyanides	APHA 412G, H	This test determines if cyanides could potentially be reactive under acidic conditions.
Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure ¹	Extraction Method 1311/3010A	Determines if waste, or stabilized waste, contains level of restricted constituents above BDAT treatment standards.
Total Organic Halogens	EPA 9020	Determines if the waste potentially contains LDR constituents above BDAT standards for •California List• wastes.
PCBs	EPA 8080	Determines if PCBs are contained in the waste matrix and determines the concentration.
IR Scan	ASTM D2621, D4053	Determines the presence of organics and provides a rough estimate of their concentration.

Analytical method chosen is dependent upon constituent being determined (i.e., Organics 8260, 8270, 8080).
*This table represents examples only. Final determination of methods will be made dependent upon the waste form, expected constituents, and available information regarding the waste.

4.5.2 ~~————~~ **Representative Sample Analysis**

The ~~facility~~Permittee will select appropriate parameters from Tables 4-21, ~~4-32~~, and 4-43 for representative sample analysis (see Section 4.3.3) to ensure ~~that—thatthat~~—the representative sample of the waste matches the paperwork submitted by the off-site generator and that all three facility criteria are met.

Hazardous debris, as defined in 40 CFR 268.2(g), that has already been treated to meet the LDR treatment standards as described in 40 CFR 268.45 does not have to meet the representative sample analysis requirements if the ~~facility~~Permittee determines that the generator provided waste characterization information that demonstrates that the proper EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers were applied and indicates whether or not the LDR treatment standards have been met.

4.5.3 ~~————~~ **Annual Analysis**

The representative sample analysis for each waste stream from each generator will be repeated annually at an ~~off-site~~independent laboratory not used by the generator (see Section 4.4.3.2).

4.5.4 ~~————~~ **Fingerprint Analysis**

Fingerprint testing (see Section 4.4.3.1) is an abbreviated analysis and is used to confirm that an incoming shipment of waste received at the facility is the actual waste expected and that it matches the expected chemical content for that waste. Parameters for analysis will be selected specifically for each waste stream based on the information supplied by the generator, the physical form of the waste, and the ~~facility~~Permittee's evaluation of the waste. These parameters will be analyzed at the on-site laboratory. Analyses which are not within the on-site laboratory's capability will be sent to an independent ~~off-site~~laboratory for analysis.

All samples taken for fingerprint analysis will be subject to the tests for physical appearance, pH, and radioactivity (see Table 4-21). In addition, all samples will be subject to a minimum of

one additional qualitative and one additional quantitative analysis, based on a consideration of the facilityPermittee's waste acceptance criteria. Supplemental analyses may be conducted to further characterize the waste; this determination will be made by the faecilityPermittee.

4.5.5 ———Additional Analysis for Specific Management Units

———4.5.5.1 Overview of waste management procedures in permitted hazardous waste management units

—Upon completion of the fingerprint analysis, and supplemental analyses if conducted, waste will be transferred to the appropriate staging area. Prior to interim or final disposition of the waste, however, additional analyses may be required to ensure that requirements for permitted hazardous waste management units are met.

——Analysis necessary for specific management units is generally conducted as part of the pre-acceptance procedure (see Section 4.7.2). Appropriate parameters will be selected from Tables 4-32 and 4-43. The faecilityPermittee will use a combination of process knowledge and analytical results to obtain the information needed prior to placing waste in one of the management units. The faecilityPermittee may elect to use other EPA approved analytical methods if it is felt that information other than that obtainable by these methods is needed to manage the waste safely.

——All hazardous waste management units will have specific ignitability, reactivity, and compatibility requirements which must be met. Acceptable knowledge or waste analysis will be used to determine whether a waste stream is ignitable, reactive, or incompatible with other wastes when stored or mingled. In addition, acceptable knowledge or waste analysis will be used to determine whether the waste stream is compatible with the container or tank in which it is placed, or with the liner of the evaporation pond or landfill. Specific ignitability, reactivity, and compatibility tests will be conducted as part of the representative sample analysis, and may be repeated in the fingerprint test, for wastes assigned to specific management units. Management of these wastes is discussed in Vol. I, Section 5.5 of this application. Ignitability, reactivity, and compatibility determination is discussed in Section 4.5.1.2.

——The faecilityPermittee will conduct compatibility tests as part of the representative sample analysis procedure on an incoming waste stream specific to each management unit and specific to other waste streams with which it may be combined.

Special requirements for specific management units are discussed in Sections 4.5.5.12 through 4.5.5.35.

~~Wastes will be treated in the evaporation pond and in the stabilization tanks. Dilution of restricted wastes will not be used as a substitute for adequate treatment for non-toxic hazardous characteristic waste. If toxic characteristic wastes and listed wastes are amenable to the same type of treatment and aggregation is a part of treatment, then the aggregation step does not constitute impermissible dilution.~~

4.5.5.2 Waste Analysis Requirements Specific to Storage Units.

~~Wastes will be stored in the drum storage building, the roll-off container storage area, and the liquid waste storage tanks. Containerized wastes that are not compatible with other wastes may be accepted but will be segregated within the storage area. Waste analysis or acceptable knowledge will be used to ensure that stored waste is compatible with other wastes and with the container or tank in which it is placed. Waste characterization is accomplished through the representative sample analysis, the yearly update of the representative sample analysis, and on-going fingerprint analysis. The ignitability, reactivity, and incompatibility of each waste stream will be determined using procedures listed in Table 4-2 to ensure that stored waste is compatible with other wastes and with the container or tank in which it is placed. Spills or releases of hazardous waste and/or fluids removed from the leak detection systems will be tested to determine if the recovered material is hazardous.~~

~~Procedures from Table 4-3 will be used to determine whether a hazardous waste stored in containers will be analyzed to determine whether it must comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 264, Subpart CC. If it must comply, the container will be managed to meet Container Level 1, and Level 2, or Level 3 standards as appropriate. Waste which must comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 264, Subpart CC, will not be placed in storage tanks.~~

~~The facility Permittee will ensure that containers are either at least 90 percent full when placed in the landfill, or are crushed, shredded, or similarly reduced in volume to the maximum practical extent.~~

4.5.5.23 Waste Analysis requirements Requirements Specific to the Evaporation Pond

~~Liquid waste streams may be placed in the evaporation pond for drying before they are sent to the stabilization tanks for solidification. Waste placed in the evaporation pond will be~~

~~tested to ensure that it meets all applicable LDR standards. Following evaporation of the pond liquids, sludge will be removed from the bottom with trash pumps or hand excavation equipment. Waste will be tested after removal from the evaporation pond and prior to treatment in the stabilization tanks to characterize the waste and to determine the proper reagent admixture.~~

Waste will be characterized, using Tables 4-1 through 4-3, before it is placed in the evaporation pond. —A determination of ignitability, reactivity, and incompatibility with other wastes with which the waste may be combined and with the pond liner will be made. It will also be tested to ensure that the LDR standards are met and that the waste placed in the pond does not contain volatile organic concentrations equal to or greater than 500 ppmw.

—Because evaporation in the pond may change the chemical composition of the waste, or different waste streams may be combined in the pond, analysis to ensure that the LDR standards are met will be conducted on a waste stream after it leaves the pond. Applicable knowledge will be used to determine appropriate parameters for analysis. If, after treatment, a waste displays a characteristic for the first time, the characteristic waste code will be added to the LDR Notification Form and facility/Permittee records. The waste will be retreated, if necessary, to meet the characteristic treatment standard before land disposal.

Dilution of restricted wastes will not be used as a substitute for adequate treatment for non-toxic hazardous characteristic waste. If toxic characteristic wastes and listed wastes are amenable to the same type of treatment and aggregation is a part of treatment, then the aggregation step does not constitute impermissible dilution.

4.5.5.34 Waste Analysis Requirements Specific to the Stabilization Tanks.

—Waste treated in the stabilization tanks is characterized to determine the hazardous constituents contained in the waste and to ensure that waste placed in the stabilization tank is compatible with the tank liner and with the previous waste type treated. Acidic or caustic material may be neutralized by the stabilization process.

In addition to the representative sample provided by the generator during the pre-acceptance period, a second representative sample of any waste requiring stabilization prior to placement in the landfill (or a sample of waste coming from the evaporation pond for stabilization) must be supplied. This sample will be used for bench-scale testing to determine regulated constituent leaching based on varying admixtures and

ratios (i.e., to determine treatability of wastes). The stabilization process will result in a dry and structurally stable material that is suitable for compaction and landfilling.

—Bench-scale tests will be conducted as part of the representative ~~sample~~ sample analysis for incoming waste streams which will go directly to the stabilization tanks, or for a waste stream from the evaporation pond. Selection of treatment reagents and quantities will be established according to the waste profile and the post-treatment LDR requirements. Stabilization agents that will be tested include, but are not limited to, lime, fly ash, and Portland cement.

The waste will also be treated to ensure that it does not contain volatile organic concentrations equal to or greater than 500 ppmw.

~~—Acceptable knowledge or waste analysis will be used to ensure that waste placed in the stabilization tank is compatible with the tank liner and with the previous waste type treated. Acidic or caustic material may be neutralized by the stabilization process.~~

—The EPA universal treatment standard (see 40 CFR 268.48) will be met for wastes treated on-site. Waste streams that carry more than one characteristic or listed EPA Hazardous Waste Number will be treated to the most stringent treatment requirements for each hazardous waste constituent, including underlying hazardous constituents. When wastes with different treatment standards are combined solely for the purpose of treatment, the most stringent treatment specified will be met for each hazardous constituent in the combined waste.

~~—After stabilization, wastes will be retested prior to placement in the landfill to determine whether they meet LDR requirements. If LDR requirements are not met, the waste will be retreated. After testing, stabilized waste will be placed in roll-off containers and placed on the roll-off pad until cured.~~

~~—4.5.5.45 —Waste Aanalysis Rrequirements Specific to the Landfill.~~

The stabilized waste will be retested prior to placement in the landfill to determine whether it meets LDR standards as set forth in 40 CFR 268, Subpart D. 40 CFR 268.40 states that a waste identified in the table "Treatment Standards for Hazardous Wastes" may be land disposed only if it meets the requirements found in the table. For each waste, the table identifies one of three types of treatment standard requirements:

- All hazardous constituents in the waste or in the treatment residue must be at or below the values found in the table for that waste ("total waste standards"); or
- The hazardous constituents in the extract of the waste or in the extract of the treatment residue must be at or below the values found in the table ("waste extract standards"); or
- The waste must be treated using the technology specified in the table ("technology standard") which are described in detail in 40 CFR 268.42, Table 4-1.

In cases where treatment standards are based on concentrations in the waste extract, the facilityPermittee will use toxicity characteristic leaching procedures (TCLP, see 40 CFR 261, Appendix II) to determine if the waste meets the standards. The sampling and analysis protocols outlined in Sections 4.5 through 4.7 of this permit application will apply to all wastes to ensure compliance with LDR standards. Parameters for analysis will be determined by the characterization of the waste before analysis. All information obtained to document LDR compliance will be maintained in the facilityPermittee Operating Record.

In addition to other required procedures and analyses, on an annual basis the facilityPermittee will randomly sample and analyze a minimum of 10 percent of incoming waste streams that are to be directly landfilled to verify conformance with the LDR requirements. These additional samples will be analyzed for the specific regulated hazardous constituents contained in the hazardous waste stream. The data generated from these samples, in conjunction with the generator-supplied data, will be used to verify conformance with the LDR requirements.

FacilityPermittee personnel, either at the facility or at the point of generation, will collect these samples. The samples will be split into a minimum of two aliquots. One will be retained and the other analyzed for conformance with the applicable LDR requirements. If the results of the analysis indicate that the waste does not conform with the applicable LDR requirements, the retained sample will be analyzed, generator-supplied information re-evaluated, and an evaluation made of the potential for the waste's variability based on the process that generates the waste stream.

The retained sample will subsequently be analyzed, the generator-supplied information re-evaluated, and an evaluation made of the potential for the waste's variability based on the process that generated the waste stream. These factors, along with an

evaluation of the QA/QC data from the laboratory (both the generator's and the ~~facility~~Permittee's), will be used to determine if the subject waste stream is eligible for continued disposal at the facility or if additional treatment is necessary prior to disposal. Disposal of the waste stream will be discontinued until the discrepancy regarding compliance with the LDR requirements has been resolved and the generator has demonstrated that its on-going program for compliance with LDR requirements is adequate.

Procedures to meet LDR standards for specific wastes include the following:

- ~~_____~~ **lab packs.** - Prior to disposal, hazardous wastes contained in lab packs will be treated to meet applicable treatment standards for each waste type identified. Procedures to determine applicable treatment requirements, and the subsequent treatment of lab wastes to applicable standards, will be consistent with procedures implemented for other waste types. Lab packs will also be analyzed to ensure that they do not contain hazardous wastes listed in 40 CFR 264, Appendix IV. In cases where hazardous lab pack wastes are combined with non-hazardous lab pack wastes prior to or during treatment, the entire mixture will be treated to meet the most stringent treatment standard for each hazardous constituent before being disposed of in the landfill;

- ~~_____~~ **ignitable or reactive wastes.** - Ignitable or reactive hazardous waste will be tested to ensure that it will not be placed in the landfill until the waste has been rendered non-ignitable or non-reactive by treatment;

- ~~_____~~ **characteristic wastes.** - Generator process knowledge and/or analytical data will be used to determine whether characteristic ~~wstes~~wastes meet the applicable treatment standards or to demonstrate that the waste has been treated by the appropriate specified treatment technology. In accordance with 40 CFR 268.41, where treatment standards are based on concentrations in the waste extract, generators shipping waste to the ~~facility~~Permittee will determine if their wastes meet treatment standards; and

- ~~_____~~ **bulk liquids.** All hazardous wastes will be tested for the presence of free liquids (paint filter test) to ensure that no free liquids are placed in the landfill. No containers holding free liquids will be placed in the

landfill unless the container is in a lab pack, or the container was designed to hold liquid for use other than storage, such as a battery or capacitor, or the container is very small, such as an ampule;

- Reactive wastes. - Reactive wastes will not be placed in the landfill until they have been rendered nonreactive by treatment;
- Incompatible wastes. - Incompatible wastes will be sufficiently separated when placed in the landfill to ensure that they do not combine to cause adverse reactions. These wastes will be managed to ensure that they meet the requirements specified in 40 CFR 264.313 and 274.17. This management includes placing incompatible wastes in non-adjacent landfill grids and treatment of potentially noncompatible wastes prior to landfilling placed in different cells in the landfill; and
- hazardous debris. - Hazardous debris will not be treated at the facility. Therefore, the facility Permittee will only accept hazardous debris that has been treated and certified to meet the LDR treatment standards specified in 40 CFR 268.45(b) or (c) by the generator prior to shipment to the facility Permittee.
- listed waste. - Listed waste will not be placed in the landfill until it has been shown to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 268.40.

4.5.6 Waste Analysis Requirements for Waste Generated On-Site

4.5.6.1 Overview of Waste Generated on-Site

The facility Permittee is expected to generate some waste on-site through waste treatment, day-to-day facility operations, leachate, or releases of hazardous waste to the environment.

Waste generated on-site will be assumed to be RCRA-regulated until process knowledge and/or sampling and analysis can be used to determine the actual nature of the waste. Sampling and analysis will be accomplished in accordance with the requirements this waste analysis plan.

The facility Permittee will select waste analysis parameters to confirm the identity of waste streams generated at the facility. The selection of waste analysis parameters will typically be

based on knowledge of the physical and chemical processes that produced the waste stream. If there is doubt as to the specific source, the facilityPermittee will use the waste tracking system to identify all possible sources and to develop a list of specific parameters for laboratory analysis. Acceptable knowledge and analytical testing as necessary will be used to ensure compliance with LDR requirements and provide waste compatibility and other information to determine appropriate waste management activities.

After analysis, the waste will be returned to the unit from which it came or sent to another appropriate unit. The facilityPermittee will ensure that all on-site generated waste sent to the landfill meets all LDR treatment standards.

Treated waste is considered newly generated waste because hazardous waste treatment at the facility will result in a change in the physical and/or chemical character or composition of the waste. Waste analysis requirements for managing waste after treatment and before disposal in the landfill are discussed in Sections 4.5.2, 4.5.3, and 4.6.3.5.4. Treated waste will be recharacterized, using waste analysis or acceptable knowledge as appropriate and it will be tested to ensure that LDR treatment standards are met before disposal in the landfill. Waste analysis requirements are discussed in Section 4.5.5.5.

Day-to-day operations at the facility will produce some waste on-site from day-to-day operations (e.g., paint and paint strippers, laboratory chemicals and equipment, vehicle maintenance). This waste will be characterized using acceptable knowledge, or waste analysis if the source cannot be definitively determined. If it is hazardous waste, it may be sent to the evaporation pond or stabilization tanks for treatment as appropriate, and disposed in the landfill. If it is not hazardous waste, it will be sent off-site for disposal.

~~Landfill and evaporation pond fluids that pass through the primary liners are leachates in accordance with the definition in 40 CFR 260.10, which identifies these leachates as multi source leachates. These leachates are managed as wastes when they enter and are ultimately removed from either the Leachate Collection and Removal System, the Leak Detection and Removal System, and the Vadose Zone Monitoring System.~~

~~Leak detection and removal/vadose zone monitoring for evaporation pond leachate is discussed in Vol. I, Sections 2.6.1.2 and 2.6.4.3 of this application. Procedures for the removal of evaporation pond sludge are discussed in Section 2.5.4.3. Sludge will be removed by vacuum truck on a~~

~~regular basis, analyzed, and sent to the stabilization tanks for solidification. Evaporation pond leachate that does not meet applicable LDR requirements will then be treated in the stabilization unit before landfilling. Procedures to control failure of the evaporation pond are contained in Section 6.3.5.3 of this application.~~

~~Leachate generated from the landfill will be pumped out of the unit sumps into tanks or tanker trucks. It will then be tested to assure compliance with LDR requirements defined in 40 CFR Part 268 for F039 listed wastes. Parameters and methods for analysis of the leachate are provided in the Vadose Zone Monitoring System Work Plan (submitted separately)~~

~~A **release** is defined as "any spilling, leaking, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, pumping, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing of hazardous waste (including hazardous constituents) into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other closed receptacles containing hazardous wastes or hazardous constituents)". The various types of releases and management procedures for each release are discussed below. Section 4.5.6.3 briefly discusses further disposition of this waste.~~

~~The facility Permitter has a number of Areas/activities with the potential to generate releases of hazardous waste. are identified in Table 4-14. The areas identified are shown in Volume III, Drawing 4, of this application. Table 4-14 also identifies release types and associated media. Management protocols for releases generated on-site are discussed below:~~

- ~~• **spills and leaks.** - Spills and leaks may occur during ordinary facility operations (e.g., release of fluid from a leaking drum to the cell trench and sump in the drum handling unit, a spill at any loading or unloading area, or overtopping at the evaporation pond).~~

~~Provisions for the detection, characterization, and management of spills and leaks are discussed in Vol. I, Sections 2.0, 5.4.2, 6.3.5.2, and 6.3.7 of this application. If ~~spills~~ If spills and/or leaks are identified during inspections, the materials will typically be removed from the system, characterized, and managed appropriately. If necessary, the contaminated area will be sampled to ensure that all contaminated materials are removed.~~

- ~~_____~~ **decontamination rinse water.** - Personal protection equipment (PPE), as well as other equipment (e.g., trucks, sampling equipment, industrial absorbents used during spill or leak clean-up, emergency equipment), may become contaminated during the course of site operations such as the handling of wastes, the transfer of waste to another unit, or emergency operations. The water used to rinse this equipment will be analyzed to determine if it is a hazardous waste and if the equipment has been adequately decontaminated. Provisions for the detection, characterization, and management of decontamination rinse water are discussed in Vol. I, Sections 5.2.5 and 5.2.10, and Vol III, Section 9.1.2, of this application. Rinse water will be removed to the truck wash area. Rinse water and residues will be chemically analyzed and handled in an appropriate manner;

- ~~_____~~ **run-on/run-off.** - ~~Facility~~ Permittee stormwater control is provided by a network of surface run-on and run-off diversion channels and collection and detention basins (see Vol III, Drawing 25 of this application). To control the run-off from the facility, several collection channels and culverts will be built to divert discharges from storm events to a stormwater retention basin (see Section 2.7 of the Operations and Maintenance Plan, submitted separately). Procedures for management of run-on/run-off are discussed in Volume I, Sections 2.5.1.6, 2.6.1.4, and 5.4.2. Contaminated water will be characterized, treated in the evaporation pond and/or stabilization bins, and disposed of in the landfill in compliance with appropriate regulations. Sampling will be conducted upstream of the stormwater retention basin to determine the point where hazardous constituents were introduced into the stormwater. Appropriate corrective actions will be implemented to prevent further contamination during future stormwater events.

~~Section xx of Vol. I of this application specifies the sampling procedures that will be followed to properly characterize any contaminated run-on/run-off water.~~

- ~~_____~~ **investigation derived wastes.** - IDW may include drill muds, cuttings, and well installation purge waters associated with the investigation of spills and releases; purge waters, soils and other materials from regularly scheduled sampling activities associated with waste management units and the vadose zone monitoring system; and contaminated PPE. All IDW will be assumed to be hazardous waste until site or material specific information becomes available. IDW will be stored near

the point of generation in appropriately labeled containers for no greater than 90 days and ~~shall~~ will be appropriately analyzed to determine whether it is either a characteristic or listed hazardous waste. Analysis of materials associated with the IDW may be used also to characterize the IDW. —An example of associated analysis for urine waters from the vadose zone monitoring system would be the final analytical results for the samples collected to satisfy regularly scheduled monitoring requirements.

- ~~_____~~ **contaminated soil.** - Soil means unconsolidated ~~earthen~~ earthen material consisting of clay, silt, sand or gravel size particles as classified by the US Natural Resource Conservation Service, or a mixture of such materials with liquids, sludges or solids which is inseparable by simple mechanical removal processes and is made primarily of soil by volume based on visual inspection. Contaminated soil is soil impacted by a hazardous constituent release. Soil may become impacted by a release either at the surface or subsurface. If the contaminated soil exists at the surface, the appropriate response is described in the Contingency Plan in the Permit Application. If the contaminated soil exists subsurface, the appropriate response will be developed by NMED as permit conditions. Contaminated soils that are managed as hazardous wastes will be analyzed and managed in accordance with the Phase IV, Part 2 LDR rule alternative LDR treatment standards for contaminated soil contained in 40 CFR 268.49.

- ~~_____~~ **air emissions.** - Procedures for detection of hazardous gases and volatile ~~organics~~ organic at the landfill are discussed in Vol. I, Sections 2.5.1.8 and 6.2.2 of this application. Procedures to minimize wind dispersal of dust throughout the facility are identified in Section 5.4.8. This section also discusses pollution control systems in the stabilization unit to minimize the release of ~~particulates~~ particulate to the atmosphere. The facility Permittee will apply to NMED for a new source air emissions permit before start-up of operations.

- ~~_____~~ **Leachate.** - Leachate collected from the storage units or the stabilization building is treated as a spill or release. Leachates Leaches as used here refer to landfill and evaporation pond fluids. The definition of leachate in 40 CFR 260.10, collected from the Leachate Collection and Removal System, the Leak Detection system, or the Vadose Zone Monitoring System sumps.

Leak detection and removal/vadose zone monitoring for evaporation pond leachate is discussed in Vol. 1, Sections 2.6.1.2 and 2.6.4.3 of this application. Procedures for the removal of evaporation pond leachate are discussed in Section 2.5.4.3. Leachate will be removed by vacuum truck on a regular basis, combined with leachate from the landfill and treated in the stabilization tanks to remove free liquids and to ensure that LDR treatment standards are met.

Leak detection and removal/vadose zone monitoring for landfill leachate is discussed in Vol 1, Sections 2.5.1.3, 2.5.1.4, and 2.5.1.5. Leachate generated from the landfill will be pumped out of the unit sumps into the temporary leachate storage tank. It will then be tested to assure compliance with LDR requirements defined in 40 CFR 268 for F039 listed wastes.

Leachate will be transferred daily from both the landfill and the surface impoundment sumps and combined in temporary storage tanks for management purposes. The combined leachate will be analyzed monthly for the F039 underlying hazardous constituents to determine whether it meets LDR treatment standards and can undergo evaporation in the surface impoundment prior to stabilization.

Leachate may also be collected from the Vadose Zone Monitoring Wells. These wells will be monitored monthly; if any fluids are present they will be sampled and analyzed for all F039 constituents. Biennially, the wells will be analyzed for all the Ground Waste Monitoring List identified in 40 CFR 264, Appendix IX.

Leachate sampling and analysis will follow the sampling and analytical procedures and recordkeeping requirements contained in the Vadose Zone Monitoring System Work Plan and this section.

~~THE FACILITY PERMITTEE WILL APPLY TO NMED FOR A NEW SOURCE AIR EMISSIONS PERMIT BEFORE START-UP OF OPERATIONS.~~

~~4.5.6.2 SELECTION OF WASTE ANALYSIS PARAMETERS. WASTE GENERATED ON-SITE WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE RCRA-REGULATED UNTIL PROCESS KNOWLEDGE AND/OR SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS CAN BE USED TO DETERMINE THE ACTUAL NATURE OF THE WASTE. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTIONS 4.7 THROUGH 4.9.~~

~~THE FACILITY PERMITTEE WILL SELECT WASTE ANALYSIS PARAMETERS TO CONFIRM THE IDENTITY OF WASTE STREAMS GENERATED AT THE FACILITY. THE SELECTION OF WASTE ANALYSIS PARAMETERS WILL~~

~~TYPICALLY BE BASED ON KNOWLEDGE OF THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROCESSES THAT PRODUCED THE WASTE STREAM. IF THERE IS DOUBT AS TO THE SPECIFIC SOURCE, THE FACILITYPERMITTEE WILL USE THE WASTE TRACKING SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY ALL POSSIBLE SOURCES AND TO DEVELOP A LIST OF SPECIFIC PARAMETERS FOR LABORATORY ANALYSIS. ACCEPTABLE KNOWLEDGE AND ANALYTICAL TESTING AS NECESSARY WILL BE USED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH LDR REQUIREMENTS AND PROVIDE WASTE COMPATIBILITY AND OTHER INFORMATION TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE WASTE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.~~

~~AFTER ANALYSIS, THE WASTE WILL BE RETURNED TO THE UNIT FROM WHICH IT CAME OR SENT TO ANOTHER APPROPRIATE UNIT. THE FACILITYPERMITTEE WILL ENSURE THAT ALL ON-SITE GENERATED WASTE SENT TO THE LANDFILL MEETS ALL LDR TREATMENT STANDARDS.~~

~~BOTH THE LANDFILL AND SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT F039 LEACHATES WILL BE ANALYZED SEPARATELY AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH AT THE POINT OF GENERATION. THESE LEACHATES WILL BE ANALYZED FOR ALL CONSTITUENTS SPECIFIED IN 40 CFR PART 264, APPENDIX IX USING APPROPRIATE METHODS SPECIFIED IN SW-846. THE PURPOSE OF ANALYZING THESE LEACHATES IS TO IDENTIFY THOSE CONSTITUENTS THAT WILL BE MONITORED IN THE VADOSE ZONE MONITORING SYSTEM.~~

~~LEACHATES WILL BE TRANSFERRED DAILY FROM BOTH THE LANDFILL AND THE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT AND COMBINED IN TEMPORARY STORAGE TANKS FOR MANAGEMENT PURPOSES. THE COMBINED LEACHATE WILL BE ANALYZED FOR THE F039 UNDERLYING HAZARDOUS CONSTITUENTS TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE LDR UST PRIOR TO STABILIZATION TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY CAN UNDERGO EVAPORATION IN THE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT. LEACHATES THAT DO NOT MEET THE UST WILL UNDERGO STABILIZATION WITHOUT EVAPORATION. ESCAPED LEACHATES THAT HAVE BEEN RELEASED (SEE DISCUSSION ABOVE) ARE HAZARDOUS WASTES VIA THE CONTAINED-IN RULE.~~

~~ANALYSIS OF THE F039 LEACHATE WILL FOLLOW THE SAMPLE COLLECTION AND QA/QC PROCEDURES OF SECTION 4.6 (SAMPLING PLAN), THE LABORATORY QA/QC PROCEDURES OF SECTION 4.8 (ANALYTICAL METHODS), AND THE RECORDING AND REPORTING PROCEDURES OF SECTION 4.9 (NOTIFICATION, CERTIFICATION, AND RECORDKEEPING).~~

~~4.6 SAMPLING PLAN~~

~~Prior to beginning operations, the facilityPermittee will submit the facilityPermittee sampling plan. The plan will be developed based upon the guidance provided in Chapter 9 of SW-846. The overall plan will take into account the regulatory and scientific objectives identified in this waste analysis plan. Based upon these objectives, the sampling strategy will ensure that the data~~

collected will minimize the potential for accepting waste that is unsuitable for management at the facility.

The sampling program will take into account the different types of waste constituents and the various waste matrices that may be encountered. By taking these variables into account, the ~~facility~~Permittee will identify the protocols by which sample locations will be selected and the methods most appropriate for collecting samples from the different waste streams.

The current revision of SW-846, ASTM methods, or other approved methods will be used, and site procedures will be revised as necessary to incorporate new requirements.

Sampling methods and collection techniques which will be included in the sampling plan are discussed in Section 4.6.1. Section 4.6.2 discusses the plan's quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures.

4.6.1 Sampling Methods

Sampling methods will follow Appendix I of 40 CFR, Part 261. Table 4-5, Sampling Methods, lists waste matrices and appropriate sampling methods that will be used at the facility. The methods and equipment used for sampling wastes will vary with the form and consistency of the material to be sampled.

4.6.2. Collection Techniques

This section discusses decision-making for selection of sample locations (Section 4.6.2.1) and sample types (Section 4.6.2.2).

4.6.2.1 Selection of Ssample Llocations

—The ~~facility~~Permittee will collect samples from containers and roll-off boxes using either random (i.e., probability) or biased (i.e., authoritative) sampling methods.

With random sampling, every unit in a population (e.g., every drum from a given waste stream in a shipment) has a theoretically equal chance of being selected for sampling. Consequently, data generated by these samples are unbiased estimators of the range of concentrations in a population. If a sufficient number of samples are taken, they would be representative of the average concentrations within the entire population.

There are a number of ways that samples can be randomly selected from a population of drums or from a particular location in a roll-off of non-liquid waste. In the case of drums, drum numbers could be randomly drawn, while for a roll-off of non-liquid

waste, the container could have numbers assigned to an imaginary grid and the numbers selected using a random-numbers table.

With biased sampling, a preference is given to selecting only certain units in a population. This technique requires the sampler to use discretion and to have knowledge of the waste. The sampler selects the sample locations from areas where contamination is known or suspected (e.g., the sampler could collect a biased sample from areas where there is layering or differences in color or consistency). Also, the facilityPermittee may use a field screening instrument to bias the sample location, (e.g., a photoionization detector could be used to select locations having higher volatile organic concentrations). EPA-approved ASTM method D140-70 identifies the procedure for estimating the number of containers that should be sampled.

The facilityPermittee will collect random samples from containers and roll-off boxes if the wastes are expected to be fairly ~~homogeneous~~ wastehomogeneous waste streams. Biased samples will be collected if the wastes are expected to be or are found to be during the visual inspection fairly heterogeneous. For some waste streams, the facilityPermittee may use both sampling techniques.

The facilityPermittee will document the sampling technique that is used to locate each waste sample collected pursuant to this waste analysis plan. The facilityPermittee will maintain this information in the facilityPermittee Operating Record.

4.6.2.2 Sample Ttypes

—Samples of the waste will be collected as either composite or grab samples. The facilityPermittee will develop procedures for the collection of composite and grab samples before the facility becomes operational; these procedures will be included in the facilityPermittee sampling plan.

In composite sampling, a number of samples are initially collected from a waste and combined into a single sample which is then analyzed for the constituents of concern. Composite sampling is a valid method for homogeneous samples and tends to minimize the between-sample variation, much like the maximization of the physical size of a sample. This has the effect of reducing the number of samples that must be analyzed to verify the contents of a waste shipment. Composite samples can also be obtained from a waste that has stratified; however, a composite would only be made from samples obtained from the same strata within the waste. Composite samples will be taken with clean

limited to those listed in the EPA Waste Analysis Plan guidance manual.

The ~~facility~~Permittee will submit a Health and Safety Plan approved by a certified industrial hygienist to NMED for review and approval prior to accepting any waste at the facility. The Health and Safety Plan will be reviewed annually and updated as needed. The facility operations will comply with all applicable health and safety regulations in 20 CFR 1910, 20 CFR 1926, and 11 NMAC 5.1-5.4.

4.6.3.2 Chain-of-Custody Protocols for ~~t~~Racking Samples

—The integrity of the sampling/analytical scheme will be maintained by following chain-of-custody procedures from the point of sample collection through analytical data reporting to sample disposal. The possession and handling of samples will be traceable from the time of collection through analysis and final disposition.

A sample is considered to be in a person's custody if it is:

- —• — in a person's physical possession;
- —• — in view of the person after taking possession; or
- —• — secured in a container sealed by the responsible person so that it cannot be tampered with during transport to the designated destination or during storage after being secured by that person in an area of restricted access.

The sampler will place a sample label on each sample container. The label will include the following information:

- —• — sample number, a unique identifier that is traceable to the waste stream and shipment;
- —• — name of collector (sampler);
- —• — date and time of collection; and
- —• — place of collection.

Labels will be affixed to sample containers prior to or at the time of sampling and will be filled out at the time of collection.

Sample chain-of-custody seals will be required if the sample is designated to leave the possession of ~~facility~~Permittee personnel for transport to an analytical laboratory. The seal will include the same information as the sample label. The seal will be

attached in such a way that it is necessary to break it in order to open the sample container. In addition, chain-of-custody seals will be affixed to sample storage containers in a similar manner in order to prevent tampering prior to shipment from the facilityPermittee to off-site analytical laboratories. Samples and storage containers which require seals must be sealed prior to leaving the possession of facilityPermittee personnel.

To establish the documentation necessary to trace sample possession from the time of collection, a chain-of-custody record will be filled out and will accompany every sample. A sample chain-of-custody record is provided in Vol. II, ~~Appendix ???~~ of this application.

If the sample is to be shipped off-site for analysis, it will be accompanied by a sample analysis request sheet. The sample analysis request sheet will include the information necessary to identify the sample and the analyses requested by the facilityPermittee. Samples shipped off-site for analysis will be packaged and shipped in accordance with DOT transportation requirements.

Laboratory samples will be maintained in a secure area and retained until holding times expire, as listed in SW-846, or three months, whichever comes earlier. After the holding time or three month holding period has expired, samples will be disposed at the facility with compatible waste batches. Records of the date the samples are removed from storage and the date and method of disposal will be maintained at the facility until completion of post-closure care. In cases where samples are not analyzed within their holding times, the facilityPermittee will resample.

4.6.3.3 QA Rreview of Pprocedures to Eensure Pproper Uuse of Equipment

—Standard operating procedures will be developed for the use, decontamination, and storage of sampling equipment used to characterize waste shipped to the facility. The standard operating procedures will include the sampling equipment to be used, instructions for use, and the applications for use of the equipment for collection of samples from specific media and types of shipping containers. The procedures and QA standards for waste sample collection will be included in the standard operating procedures.

4.6.3.4 Protocols For Equipment Maintenance

—The protocols for equipment maintenance will be included in the standard operating procedures. Protocols will be developed,

as described in the preceding paragraph, for use, decontamination, and storage of equipment.

4.6.3.5 — Identification Of Required Techniques For Specific Media

—The sampling methods and equipment used for collecting samples from specific media will be selected in accordance with the guidelines included in 40 CFR, Part 261, Appendix I, and in the EPA guidance manual, *Waste Analysis at Facilities That Generate, Treat, Store, and Dispose of Hazardous Waste*, Chapter 2. Alternative sampling methods may be used with prior approval of NMED.

4.6.3.6 — Field Sampling QA/QC Procedures

—Blank and duplicate samples will be obtained during waste characterization sampling to confirm that sample collection and handling procedures meet the QA/QC standards outlined in the standard operating procedures and data quality objectives included in the ~~facility~~Permittee sampling manual. Duplicate samples will be collected at a minimum frequency of 10 percent (one for every 10 samples). Field blanks and equipment blanks will be collected at a minimum frequency of 5 percent (one for every 20 samples). Trip blanks will be included with all sample kits where samples are sent to off-site laboratories for chemical analysis. The field QA samples are described below:

- —• —• **field blanks.** - Field blanks are prepared in the field by filling a clean container with pure de-ionized water and appropriate preservative (if required for a specific activity). Contaminants found may indicate airborne contamination, contaminated equipment, or cross-contamination during sampling. A minimum of one field blank will be collected for every 20 waste samples collected;

- —• —• **trip blanks.** - Trip blanks are sample containers that are prepared with an inert material such as de-ionized water and carried into and out of the field, but not opened at any time during the sampling event. Contaminants detected in the trip blank may indicate that the source where the sample was prepared or the container that transported the trip blank was contaminated. A trip blank will accompany all sample shipping containers sent from and to off-site laboratories;

- —• —• **equipment blanks.** - Equipment blanks are prepared in the field prior to sampling by running de-ionized

water over sampling equipment and placing it into a clean sample container. Contamination in this type of sample will indicate that the sampling equipment is contaminated. A minimum of one equipment blank will be collected for every 20 waste samples collected; and

- **field duplicates.** - Field duplicates are independent samples that are taken from the same location at the same time and are used to measure the effectiveness of obtaining representative samples. A minimum of one field duplicate will be collected for every 10 waste samples collected.

4.6.3.7 Documentation Of Sampling Activities

—Sampling activities, including observations and field procedures, will be recorded on appropriate forms and kept on file at the facility. Copies of the completed forms will be maintained in a bound and sequentially numbered file. The record of waste stream sampling activities will include:

- the date;
- the time of arrival and departure;
- weather conditions (including estimated temperature and wind direction);
- the name of the sample collector;
- daily activities and times sampling was conducted;
- observations;
- a record of samples collected, with sample designations and locations specified;
- field monitoring data, including health and safety monitoring;
- a list of equipment used and calibration records, if appropriate;
- a list of additional data sheets completed; and
- the signature of personnel completing the field record.

Each sample collected during waste stream sampling activities will be identified by a unique sample designation. The sample designation will be included on the sample label. QA samples will be designated with a "Q" (QA/QC samples) at the end of the sample designation, followed by one of the following to indicate the type of QA sample:

- **D.** - "D" will be used for a duplicate sample;

- ~~_____~~ coordinating internal and external assurance audits;
- ~~_____~~ reviewing procedures and QA plans of outside laboratories used. QA/QC practices will be considered during the selection of independent analytical laboratories. QA/QC practices that will be reviewed include written procedures, certification, internal and external audits, personnel training, and chain-of-custody procedures; and
- ~~_____~~ development, updating, and implementation of the laboratory QA plan.

4.7.2 ~~_____~~ Facility Permittee Laboratory QA/QC Plan

~~Prior to beginning operations, _____~~ The facility Permittee will maintain on site ~~develop~~ procedures which will comprise the laboratory QA/QC plan. The facility Permittee will also maintain ~~develop~~ a QA manual for operation of the on-site laboratory. ~~The manual will be submitted to NMED for review.~~

~~The results of chemical analysis of waste samples generated analyzed by the on-site laboratory be performed in accordance with the laboratory QA manual and QA/QC plan. will not be used as part of the waste acceptance evaluation process prior to NMED's review of the QA manual.~~

The overall QA objective for measurement data is to ensure that data of known and acceptable quality are provided. All measurements will be made to yield accurate and precise results representative of the media and conditions measured. QA objectives for precision, accuracy, and completeness ~~are will be~~ established for each measurement variable, where possible, and ~~are will be~~ included in the QA manuals of the on-site and off-site laboratories where waste samples will be submitted for chemical analysis. The laboratory procedures, practices, and qualifications ~~are will be~~ included in the QA manual for each laboratory.

~~The laboratory QA plan will also include descriptions of procurement, sample management, bottle preparation, detection and quantification limits, evaluation of QC samples, data verification, reporting, and sample and records management. Other procedures which will be included in this plan are:~~

- ~~_____~~ • laboratory quality assurance;
- ~~_____~~ • equipment calibration;
- ~~_____~~ • laboratory QA/QC samples;

- ~~• laboratory QC;~~
- ~~• analytical procedures; and~~
- ~~• laboratory maintenance.~~

The Permittee laboratory QA Plan must be based on guidance found in "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Data Operations (EPA QA/R-5). QA/R-5 is readily available from the U.S. EPA website (www.epa.gov). The following elements of the Permittee's laboratory QA plan must comply with EPA QA/R-5:

- project organization
- laboratory QA organization
- quality objectives and criteria
- training and certification requirements
- analytical methods
- QC requirements
- equipment/instrumentation testing, inspection, and maintenance
- equipment/instrumentation calibration
- supplier and vendor QA/QC
- data acquisition requirements
- data management
- data review, validation, and verification
- reconciliation with quality objectives and criteria

4.7.2.1 Laboratory Quality Assurance

~~—~~The ~~facility~~Permittee laboratory and each off-site laboratory will maintain an internal quality assurance program, as documented in its laboratory quality assurance manual. The laboratories will use a combination of blanks, surrogates, duplicates, MS/MSD (matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate) and laboratory control samples, BS/BSD (blank spike/blank spike duplicate), to demonstrate analytical QA/QC. Control limits will be established for individual chemicals or groups of chemicals based on the long-term performance of the test methods. ~~The~~ ~~S~~specific procedures to be completed and the laboratory control limits ~~are will be~~ included in the QA manual for each laboratory.

4.7.2.2 Equipment Calibration

~~—~~The laboratory equipment calibration procedures, calibration frequency, and calibration standards will be in accordance with EPA (or equivalent method) specified test methodology requirements and ~~are will be~~ documented in the laboratory's QA manual in accordance with EPA QA/R-5. All instruments and

equipment used by the laboratory will be operated, calibrated, and maintained according to manufacturers' guidelines and recommendations. Operation, calibration, and maintenance will be performed by personnel who have been properly trained in these procedures. A routine schedule and record of instrument calibration and maintenance will be kept on file at the laboratory.

4.7.2.3 Laboratory QA/QC samples

~~—~~Analytical procedures ~~will~~ shall be evaluated by analyzing reagent or method blanks, surrogates, MS/MSDs, BS/BSDs, and/or laboratory duplicates, and other method specified quality control as required or appropriate for each method. The laboratory QA/QC samples and frequency of analysis to be completed will be in accordance with EPA or equivalent method protocols and are ~~will~~ be included in the QA manual for each laboratory, in accordance with EPA QA/R-5.

The laboratory QA manuals and procedures ~~will~~ incorporates data quality objectives (DQOs) to verify that waste characterization data obtained by the methods established in this waste analysis plan meet regulatory requirements with regard to regulatory compliance and ~~facility~~ Permittee waste management requirements.

The following DQOs are established for the sampling and analysis of waste managed by this ~~facility~~ Permittee;

- Identify and quantify the hazardous constituents in the waste to ensure compliance with 40 CFR 264 and the requirements of the facility permit, and
- Compare the contaminant concentrations in the waste with the specified characteristics of 40 CFR 261 in order that the waste may be managed in accordance with ~~facility~~ Permittee requirements.

To ensure that the laboratory data quality objectives are met, the following analyses will be completed in the laboratory to monitor the analytical process in accordance with EPA QA/R-5 and SW-846:

- ~~—~~ **laboratory duplicate samples.** - Laboratory duplicate samples ~~will~~ shall be analyzed to monitor for intralaboratory precision of data generated. These samples will be analyzed at a rate of no less than five percent (one for every 20 samples) of the total samples with at least one replicate if fewer than 20 samples are analyzed for any particular parameter;

- ~~_____~~ **spiked samples (MS/BS).** - Spiked samples ~~will~~ shall be analyzed to monitor analytical precision. Spiked samples will be tested on no less than a five percent (one for every 20 samples) basis for any particular parameter. At least one spiked sample will be run if fewer than 20 samples are analyzed;
- ~~_____~~ **control charts.** - Control charts ~~will~~ shall be utilized to establish laboratory control limits to monitor and review the accuracy of the data generated as a result of spike analyses. Control limits reflect long-term data accuracy trends and will be modified as new data are acquired;
- ~~_____~~ **method/reagent blanks.** - Method/reagent blanks ~~will~~ shall be prepared using samples of purified water or reagents which will then subjected to the entire sample analytical procedure to monitor potential contamination of samples due to contamination in the laboratory or laboratory equipment. Method or reagent blanks will be included with each set of samples;
- ~~_____~~ **laboratory equipment blanks.** - Laboratory equipment blanks ~~will~~ shall be analyzed to monitor potential contamination of samples due to improper or ineffective cleaning of equipment. These samples ~~will~~ shall be analyzed at a rate of no less than five percent (one for every 20 samples) of the total samples;
- ~~_____~~ **quality control samples.** - QC samples ~~will~~ shall be analyzed to monitor for accuracy of data generated. EPA QC samples or samples purchased from a reputable independent source ~~will~~ shall be submitted to off-site laboratories as blind samples for chemical analysis of a set of selected analytes approved by NMED at the beginning of the facility operation and also at regular intervals during the facility operating life;
- ~~_____~~ **surrogates.** - Surrogates ~~will~~ shall be analyzed in accordance with EPA guidelines for organics analysis. Surrogate recovery is a measure of the effectiveness of the analytical process. Surrogates ~~will~~ shall be tested on no less than a five percent (one for every 20 samples) basis for any analysis of organic compounds;
- ~~_____~~ **calibration standards and devices.** - Calibration standards and devices ~~will~~ shall be used in accordance

with the manufacturers' recommended guidelines to calibrate laboratory instrumentation; and

- ~~_____~~ **internal standards** - Internal standards prepared in the laboratory ~~will~~ shall be referenced against external standards to measure accuracy.
- additional quality control sample requirements specific to a method will shall also be performed and assessed.

Laboratory QC procedures ~~are will be~~ included in the laboratory QA manuals prepared by each laboratory. All laboratory procedures included in the QA manuals must comply with EPA QA/R-5 and SW-846.

4.7.2.4 Laboratory Quality Control

~~—~~QC objectives for the analytical data are a means of checking and controlling the sources of error in analytical data results.

The criteria for data evaluation include assessing the data accuracy, precision, completeness, representativeness, and comparability. The criteria are described below:

- ~~_____~~ **accuracy.** - Accuracy is a measure of the error between chemical analytical results and the true sample concentrations. Accuracy is a measure of the bias in a system and will be expressed as the percent recovery of LCS and spiked samples. Accuracy for spikes will be presented as percent recovery and will be calculated as follows:

$$\%R = (S-U) \times 100\%C_{sa}$$

where

%R = percent recovery

S = spike sample analytical result

U = sample analytical result

C_{sa} = known spike concentration

- ~~_____~~ The accuracy data quality objectives (DQOs) for accuracy for each analytical method are as defined in the method. The permittees shall perform all mandatory and recommended QC and apply all mandatory and recommended QC limits will be presented in the laboratory QA manual;

- ~~_____~~ **precision.** - Precision is a measure of data variability. Variability can be attributed to sampling activities and/or chemical analysis. Relative percent difference (RPD) will be used to assess the precision of the sampling and analytical method and will be calculated as follows:

$$RPD = [(C_1 - C_2) / ((C_1 + C_2) / 2)] \times 100$$

where

RPD - relative percent difference

C₁ = larger of the two concentrations

C₂ = smaller of the two concentrations

- ~~_____~~The precision DQOs for precision for each analytical method are as defined in the method. The permittees shall perform all mandatory and recommended QC and apply all mandatory and recommended QC limits will be presented in the laboratory QA manual;

- ~~_____~~**completeness.** - Completeness will be evaluated to assess whether a sufficient amount of acceptable valid data is obtained. Completeness is described as the ratio of acceptable measurements. Completeness will be calculated as follows:

$$C = (\text{Number of samples having acceptable data}) / (\text{total number of _____ samples analyzed}) \times 100\%$$

where

C = completeness

The acceptable completeness percentage for each parameter of interest will be 100%. An acceptable data point shall be provided for every analyte or parameter of interest. An acceptable data point is defined as one in which all QC criteria associated with the parameter or analyte of interest were met.

- ~~_____~~The DQOs for completeness will be presented in the laboratory QA manual;

- ~~_____~~**representativeness.** - Representativeness is a qualitative parameter related to the degree to which the sample data represent the specific characteristics of concern. Procedures in sample collection will be implemented to assure representative samples, such as repeated measurements of the same parameter from the same waste stream in the same shipping container over several distinct sampling events. Any procedures or variations that may affect the collection or analysis of representative samples will be noted and the data qualified as appropriate; and

- **comparability.** - Comparability is a qualitative parameter related to whether similar sample data can be prepared. To assure comparability, analytical results will be reported in appropriate units for comparison with other data (such as past studies or clean-up standards), and the standard collection and analytical procedures included in this waste analysis plan will be implemented. Any procedures or variations that may affect comparability shall be noted, and the data will be qualified as appropriate.

4.7.2.5 Analytical Procedures

Specific QA/QC procedures to be used for sampling, chain-of-custody, calibration, analytical methods, reporting, internal QC, audits, and preventative maintenance are will be included in the laboratory QA manual and shall comply with the EPA QA/R-5.

Laboratory procedures and methods to be used will contain all of the information presented in the EPA document, SW-846, for each method. The format for each method are will be similar to that used in SW-846. If there is no appropriate SW-846 method ASTM, or other approved methods will be employed. The laboratory procedures and methods also will include the following:

- **scope.** - A description of the scope of applicability of the procedure;
- **principal.** - A brief description of the steps to be taken and/or the theory involved in the laboratory analysis;
- **interference.** - A description of known interfering agents that would cause difficulty in the laboratory analysis;
- **apparatus.** - A listing or description of equipment required to perform the laboratory analysis;
- **reagents.** - A listing of the reagents required, a description of the steps involved in preparing the reagents, and instructions on storage requirements and retention times;
- **procedures (instructions).** - An enumeration of the sequence of activities to be followed. The topics include sample preparation or pretreatment, sample storage requirements, instrument set-up, standardization

or calibration, sample analysis, calculations, and glassware-cleaning procedures. The procedure includes any precautions, explanation, or clarifications needed to properly perform the analysis. These include safety precautions, the frequency of standardization required, the acceptance criteria or procedures for determining the acceptability of standard curves, clarification or special techniques critical to the analysis, and the procedure the analyst uses to determine the reliability of sample results based on the standard curves;

• ~~_____~~ **quality control requirements.** - A listing of the QC checks to be performed and the acceptance criteria used to evaluate the QC data; and

• ~~_____~~ **reference.** - A listing of the publications from which the information was derived in preparing the laboratory method. All references pertain to these documents. As a rule, laboratory methods are derived from the following publications:

- ~~_____~~ *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, American Public Health Association;
- ~~_____~~ *Annual Book of Standards*, American Society for Testing and Materials;
- ~~_____~~ *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste*, US Environmental Protection Agency;
- ~~_____~~ *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste*, SW-846, US Environmental Protection Agency;
- ~~_____~~ *National Functional Guidelines for Organics Data Review*; and
- ~~_____~~ *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganic Analyses*.

Editions used will be those currently specified in 40 CFR, as updated.

4.7.2.6 Laboratory Maintenance

~~_____~~ The analytical laboratory ~~will~~ shall have in place a procedure that details the steps to be taken to calibrate and standardize instruments to ensure that analytical data produced are accurate. Records of all calibrations, preventative maintenance, and service calls will be readily available from the laboratory files. Calibration procedures ~~will follow~~ must comply with the method procedures outlined in the EPA document, SW-846, or the *Annual Book of ~~ASTM~~ Standards*.

A procurement procedure that identifies methods to be used to document and control the purchase of materials, parts, and services will be implemented by the laboratory and will be presented in the laboratory QA manual in accordance with EPA QA/R-5. The procedure will include identifying the quality of laboratory chemicals and equipment, management approval of procedure items, inspection of shipments for compliance with requirements, and isolation of nonconforming items to be returned to vendors. The quality of all equipment will conform to the requirements specified in the most current edition of the EPA document, *Handbook of Analytical Quality Control in Water and Wastewater Laboratories*, the Federal Register, or other regulatory agency publications. This procurement procedure will serve to ensure that spare parts routinely required will be readily available.

4.7.3 — Requirements for Off-Site Laboratories

The ~~facility~~ Permittee will document that the following conditions are met for each off-site laboratory performing waste analyses for the ~~facility~~ Permittee:

- ~~the~~ the laboratory ~~shall~~ will not be the same laboratory that was used by the generator;
- ~~the~~ the laboratory must be approved by the ~~facility~~ Permittee;
- ~~the~~ the laboratory must use the analytical methods identified in Section 4.5;
- if there is more than one analytical method for a specific test identified in Section 4.5, the laboratory must follow the guidance in Chapter Two of the current version of EPA document SW-846 to determine the appropriate analytical method; and
- ~~the~~ the laboratory must follow the QA/QC requirements described in this waste analysis plan.

4.8 WASTE TRACKING

To identify and track the waste managed ~~at the facility~~ by the Permittee, a facility-specific number will be assigned to each waste stream and to each shipment within that waste stream. Each waste shipment will be tracked using a unique alphanumeric designation. This designation will identify the generator, a

sequential number specific to the shipment, substance and source and the delivery date (or, in the case of site-generated waste, the date the waste entered the system). An example is presented below:

ABC-0001-043099

where

ABC identifies the generator

0001 identifies the waste stream, source, and shipment

043099 is the date the waste was delivered.

The waste numbering system will assist in the tracking of waste as it moves through the facility. The number will be recorded on:

- —• — all incoming paperwork from the generator;
- —• — samples received from the generator;
- —• — samples taken on site; and
- —• — site-generated records.

The date will not be recorded until the waste actually arrives on site. This numbering system will allow the facilityPermittee to track a specific waste with regard to analyses conducted, necessary treatment, and the final disposition of the waste. In addition, assigning a unique designation to each generator and a unique number to each waste stream from that generator will make possible determining the amount of waste from a given waste stream that has been received by the facilityPermittee. Individual shipments from within the waste stream will receive an additional identifier to enable the facilityPermittee to tie information back to the specific shipment as well as to the waste stream. The system will allow the facilityPermittee to locate the current position of the waste at the facility, including the location of the waste in the landfill.

Tracking waste in this manner will allow the facilityPermittee to determine the efficiency and accuracy of a generator's profiling efforts and the rejection rate for incoming waste. This information will be used to assist facilityPermittee operations in determining the rate of fingerprint analysis required for a given generator.

The facilityPermittee number will designate waste generated on site. All other numbering and tracking will be the same for all waste managed at the facility. The tracking system will be maintained in the facilityPermittee records as either hard copy or electronically (computer database).