

RedTPDF-2001

**Subject: Initial Rough Draft, Sampling Plan**

**Date:** Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:32:10 -0700

**From:** "Walker, Connie" <CWalker@TechLawInc.com>

**To:** "Stephanie\_Kruse@nmenv.state.nm.us" <Stephanie\_Kruse@nmenv.state.nm.us>,  
"Steve\_Pullen@nmenv.state.nm.us" <Steve\_Pullen@nmenv.state.nm.us>,  
"Dreith, June" <JDreith@TechLawInc.com>

Steve and Stephanie

Attached is the initial rough draft Sampling Plan for Triassic Park. It has not undergone internal QC yet; if edits are made, we'll forward the revised version to you immediately. However, we didn't want to delay provision of the draft deliverable, understanding that you needed this ASAP. Again, it is probably more general than a typical SP, and allows the facility some leaway (with NMED approval, of course), but as worded, it should take it out of the realm of being a condition (subsequent). Please feel free to call if you have any questions.

Also, Attachment F, as you know, does not entirely jive with the upfront body of the permit. I was told way back when NOT to modify the WAP, but to instead put revisions/requirement changes upfront on the permit. However, it is kind of confusing to see one thing up front and another in the Attachment (e.g. we don't allow them to make WSPF changes without a modification request, but the Attachment allows this). I would be HAPPY to do a quick redline/strikeout of the Attachment to correct inconsistencies (this shouldn't be too major). Let me know if you'd like this done!

Connie Walker

<<sampling plan2.doc>>

[ ] sampling plan2.doc	<b>Name:</b> sampling plan2.doc <b>Type:</b> Winword File (application/msword) <b>Encoding:</b> base64
------------------------	--