memorandum

DATE: December 5, 2000

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: CBFO:QA:SAV:VW:00-1348:UFC 2300.00

SUBJECT: Issuance of Savannah River Site TRU Waste Characterization CAR 01-005, Revision 1

TO: Dale Ormond, DOE-SR

Based upon a request from EPA, CAR 01-005 has been revised to include reference to 194.22(b) as being one of the requirements pertaining to the condition adverse to quality. The revised CAR is attached.

Please determine and document on the attached CAR continuation sheet, your proposed corrective action plan for the CAR. Please forward the proposed corrective action plan and schedule for completions to me prior to the response due date identified in CAR block 14.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (505) 234-7423.

Attachment

cc w/attachment:
K. Watson, CBFO
L. Chism, CBFO
B. Crapse, DOE-SR
J. D'Amelio, SRS
M. Mason, SRS
M. Eagle, EPA
S. Zappe, NMED
M. Gerle, WID
D. Winter, DNFSB
J. May, CTAC
J. Schuetz, CTAC
**Corrective Action Report**

1. **CAR No.**: CAR 01-005 Rev 1
2. **Activity Report No.**: CBFO A-01-01
3. **Page** _1_ _of_ _3_


5. **CAO Assessment Team Leader**: S. Vega

6. **Responsible Organization**: Savannah River Site

7. **CAQ Was Discussed With**: D. Ormond, J. D’Amelio and M. Mason

8. **Requirement that was violated**: (See Continuation Page)

9. **Condition Adverse to Quality**:

Contrary to the requirements listed in Section 8 of this CAR, SRS is not in compliance with 40 CFR 194.22, which specifies that all data and information collected prior to the implementation of an approved QA program be qualified by one of 4 methods. SRS uses AK to assign values for plutonium isotopes to waste drums without performing confirmation of the AK values.

10. **Suggested Actions (Optional)**:

11a. Significant CAQ (Yes or No): YES

11b. Work Suspension Recommended (Yes or No): NO

11c. RCRA-Related (Yes or No): NO

12. **Types of Actions**: Remedial: _X_ Investigative: _X_ Root Cause: _X_ Actions to Preclude Recurrence: _X_

13. **CAR Initiator**: S. Davis _12/07/00_

14. **Response Due Date**: 12/22/00

15. **Concurrence**

   - **Assessment Team Leader**: S. Vega _12/1/00_
   - **Responsible Assistant Manager**: N/A _12/1/00_
   - **Quality Assurance Manager**: S. Vega _12/1/00_

16. **Corrective Actions Proposed by the Responsible Organization**: Use CAR Continuation Sheet

17. **Acceptance of Proposed Corrective Actions**

   - Assessment Team Leader
   - Date

18. **Verification of Corrective Action Completion**: (Use CAR Continuation Sheet)

19a. **Verified By**: ____________________________

19b. **Trend Cause Code**: __________

20. **Closure**

   - Quality Assurance Manager
   - Date
40 CFR 191 requires an assessment be made for a repository. Appendix C to 191 says this will be used to show compliance with 191.13 (containment).

40 CFR 194 describes how WIPP will show compliance with 191.

194.24 requires the radiological waste components (radionuclides in this case) be described. The description will include the quantity of the component and “may be derived from process knowledge, current non-destructive examination/assay, or other information and methods.

194.24 (c)(3) requires demonstration that use of process knowledge to quantify components in waste for disposal conforms with QA requirements found in 194.22.

194.24 (c)(4) requires ongoing controls to assure the limitations are not exceeded (need to track radionuclide mix to show the PA was correct).

194.22 (a)(2) requires a QA program be established for waste characterization and assumptions.

194.22 (a)(2) requires the CCA to discuss how QA will be used to assess the quality characteristics for data (QAOs).

194.22 (b) requires that data and information collected prior to the implementation of the quality assurance program are qualified in accordance with a method that employs one or more of the following methods: peer review, corroborating data, confirmatory testing or equivalent QA program.

Chapter 4 of the CCA allows AK for waste characterization (4.1.1).

Chapter 4, 4.1.3.3 describes how the CCA radionuclide inventory was estimated but will be determined quantitatively prior to shipment to confirm the estimate.

Chapter 4, 4.3.4 specifies that the QA program as provided in the QAPP and methods manual (MM not relevant for radionuclides) will be used for characterization. It states that the QAOs for NDA are in the QAPP. This is how 194.22 will be met.

Chapter 4, 4.4 restates that characterization will be performed in accordance with the QAPP and WAC.

Chapter 4, 4.4.2 allows a combination of assay methods, including AK, can be used as long as the QAOs in the QAPP are met.

Chapter 5 of the CCA (QA) states that the QAPP will be used to meet QA requirements.

WAC and WCL of the CCA describe why some components are significant and must be tracked and why some can be dismissed. It explains why there are 10 significant radionuclides. These sections do not discuss making measurements.

The requirements for NDA were in Chapter 9 of the QAPP. This material was moved to Appendix A of the WAC.

Section 1.0 of the WAC states that the WAP will provide details for characterization programs. Attachment A, A.1 states that the isotopic ratios used to quantify all radionuclides present in the waste can be made using AK. It also states that AK will meet the WAP requirements.

B4-1 and B4-3d of the WAP states that AK must be confirmed using sampling and analysis.

The ASTM methods that are the basis for assay describe how to quantify radionuclides in a container by using isotopic ratios for the radionuclides present in the waste. For use at WIPP, knowledge of these ratios can be provided by AK, but AK must be confirmed. Therefore, a site that uses isotopic ratios derived from AK must confirm that ratio by a measurement program.
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