memorandum

DATE: January 25, 2001
REPLY TO ATTN OF: CBFO:QA:MLC:VW:01-0626:UFC:2300
SUBJECT: CBFO Surveillance Report S-01-04 of Hanford

TO: Mark French, RL

The Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) conducted a surveillance of the Hanford Site waste quality assurance program on January 16, 2001. The surveillance team concluded that the Hanford Site Quality Assurance Program relating to organization was adequate in accordance with the CBFO QAPD. The audit team also concluded that Hanford procedures were being satisfactorily implemented and that the evaluated processes were effective. The CBFO surveillance report is attached.

There were no CBFO corrective action reports, observations, or recommendations issued as a result of the surveillance.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this report, please contact me at (505) 234-7423.

[Signature]
Samuel A. Vega
Quality Assurance Manager
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cc: w/attachment
K. Watson, CBFO
L. Chism, CBFO
D. Winters, DNFSB
M. Eagle, EPA
S. Monroe, EPA
S. Zappe, NMED
B. Walker, EEG
P. Crane, FH
J. Maupin, FH
M. Gerle, WID (Operating Record)
T. Bowden, CTAC
S. Calvert, CTAC
TRANSURANIC WASTE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

Prepared by: Steven D. Calvert
Surveillance Team Leader

Date: 1/23/01

Approved for Issue by: Lee Calvins
Samuel A. Vega
CBFO Quality Assurance Manager
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CBFO Surveillance S-01-04 was conducted to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the Hanford Site (Hanford) quality assurance program as it relates organization. The surveillance was conducted at Hanford on January 16, 2001. The surveillance team determined that the activities evaluated relating to quality assurance were satisfactorily implemented and effective.

The surveillance team identified no conditions adverse to quality. No Corrective Action Reports (CARs), Observations, or Recommendations were issued as a result of this surveillance.

2.0 SCOPE

CBFO Surveillance S-01-04 was conducted to evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the Hanford Transuranic Waste Quality Assurance Program relating to the organizational structure of the TRU Waste Project.

3.0 SURVEILLANCE TEAM AND INSPECTOR

Steven D. Calvert
Gary Walvatne
Surveillance Team Leader, CTAC
EPA Inspector

4.0 SURVEILLANCE PARTICIPANTS

A list of personnel contacted during the course of the surveillance is provided as Attachment 1 of this report.

5.0 SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE RESULTS

5.1 Surveillance Activities

Details of surveillance activities, along with the specific objective evidence reviewed and the results of the reviews are contained within the surveillance checklists. The checklists are maintained as QA records. No conditions adverse to quality were identified.

The surveillance team evaluated the activities to verify compliance to NQA-1 – 1989 Edition, Basic Requirement 1 and Supplementary Requirement 1S-1. The surveillance team determined that Hanford adequately defined the current organizational structure of the project. As a result, the surveillance team concluded that the QA program requirements related to the activities evaluated during the surveillance were adequate, satisfactorily implemented and effective.
5.1.1 Organization

The surveillance team evaluated the adequacy of documents depicting the Hanford TRU Waste organization. The Hanford Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) for the Transuranic Waste Certification Program HNF-2599, Revision 3; and the Hanford Site Transuranic Waste Certification Plan, HNF-2600, Revision 4 depict the current organizational structure and define the responsibilities of the TRU program at Hanford. The Hanford documents adequately incorporate the requirements of NQA-1 – 1989 Edition, Basic Requirement 1, and Supplementary Requirement 1S – 1. The Hanford TRU Project organizational structure has been satisfactorily implemented and is effective.

6.0 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

The surveillance team identified no deficiencies during the surveillance that required the issuance of Corrective Action Reports (CARs), Observations, or Recommendations.

7.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Personnel Contacted During the Surveillance
## PERSONNEL CONTACTED DURING SURVEILLANCE S-01-04

### INEEL PERSONNEL CONTACTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ORG/TITLE</th>
<th>PRE-AUDIT MEETING</th>
<th>CONTACTED DURING AUDIT</th>
<th>POST AUDIT MEETING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crane, Paul J.</td>
<td>TRU Site Project Manager</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French, Mark</td>
<td>DOE-RL TRU Program Manager</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greager, Tim</td>
<td>TRU Program/Alternate Site Project Manager</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huggins, Stewart</td>
<td>TRU QA/QC Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maupin, Jim</td>
<td>Site Quality Assurance Officer</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meier, Kirsten</td>
<td>Facility Quality Assurance Officer/WSCF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nance, Sheri</td>
<td>Site Project Office Quality Assurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>