

## **Department of Energy**

Carlsbad Field Office
P. O. Box 3090
Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

FEB 3 2011

Mr. D. K. Ploetz, Manager Central Characterization Project Washington TRU Solutions, LLC P.O. Box 2078 Carlsbad, NM 88221–2078



Subject: Evaluation of the Revised CAP for CBFO CAR 11-015 from Surveillance S-11-10,

SRS/CCP

Dear Mr. Ploetz:

Enclosed are the results of the Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) evaluation of the revised Corrective Action Plan (CAP) written in response to CBFO Corrective Action Report (CAR) 11-015, which was identified during Surveillance S-11-10 of the Savannah River Site Central Characterization Project (SRS/CCP). The results of the evaluation are documented on the enclosed CAR Continuation Sheet, which indicates approval. Please provide supporting documentation to CBFO as evidence of completion of the corrective actions by the due date noted in the CAP.

If you have questions, please contact me at (575) 234-7491.

Sincerely,

Dennis S. Miehls

Acting Director of the Office of Quality Assurance

much

#### Enclosure

cc: w/enclosure			
J. R. Stroble, CBFO	*ED	E. Feltcorn, EPA	ED
M. Navarrete, CBFO	ED	S. Ghose, EPA	ED
T. Morgan, CBFO	ED	R. Lee, EPA	ED
N. Castaneda, CBFO	ED	S. Zappe, NMED	ED
D. Haar, WTS/CCP	ED	S. Holmes, NMED	ED
V. Cannon, WTS/CCP	ED	T. Kesterson, DOE OB WIPP NMED	ED
A. J. Fisher, WTS/CCP	ED	D. Winters, DNFSB	ED
M. Walker, WTS/CCP	ED	P. Gilbert, LANL-CO	ED
Y. Salmon, WTS/CCP	ED	G. Lyshik, LANL-CO	ED
J. Hoff, WTS	ED	P. Y. Martinez, CTAC	ED
M. A. Mullins, WTS	ED	P. Hinojos, CTAC	ED
H. Crapse, DOE-SR	ED	G. White, CTAC	ED
T. Peake, EPA	ED	WIPP Operating Record	ED
M. Eagle, EPA	ED	CBFO QA File	
R. Joglekar, EPA	ED	CBFO M&RC	
		*ED denotes electronic distribution	



ATTACHMENT II
Page 1 of 1

#### **CBFO Form 3.1-2**

# **CAR CONTINUATION SHEET**

CBFO FOIIII 3.1-2	AITOONTINOATION OFFEET	
1. CAR No: 11-015	2. Activity No: S-11-10	3. Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u> .
•	of Proposed Corrective Actions:  The proposed corrective actions detailed in response to CBFO Corrective Actions	
11-015. The CAP was submitted via D. K. Ploetz, Manager, Central Chara	URS/WTS letter CP:11:01063, dated acterization Project Retrieval, Characte Senior Quality Assurance Specialist, C	January 26, 2011, from Mr. erization and
Italicized text, taken verbatim from the actions and evaluations performed by	he CAP, is used to show the correlation the audit team.	n between the proposed corrective
Proposed Remedial Actions: CCP has identified the following rem	nedial actions in response to the CAR c	condition:
the location of dense or sharp/hea	CCP-SO-070, Revision Original, clarif avy objects must be recorded in Radiog a effect until CCP-TP-053 is revised to	graphy Data Sheets. The Standing
•	ort SRLBR0002 to identify the location and SR57171701.	n of dense or sharp/heavy materials
CBFO CAP Evaluation For Proposed	l Remedial Actions: Acceptable	
Proposed Investigated Actions: Extent		
Only four Standard Large Boxes (SLi reviewed preliminary information as: the waste consisted of metal debris. V	B2s) have been run through the Large sociated with other Standard Large Bo When additional SLB2s are characteriz in accordance with Standing Order Co	oxes (SLB 2's) and noted that some of zed through the Large Container
4.4.3) [E.1]) is based on ensuring the SLB2 are met. As recognized by CBF compliant with TRAMPAC requirements the potential to puncture these ST There were no dense waste materials	cations of sharp/heavy objects contain at TRAMPAC requirements for protect FO in the reference, containers SR5705 ents. There are no sharp or heavy obje SLB2s under normal conditions for tra s, (i .e., impenetrable objects) which pr met. CBFO clarified in the reference to	ting the containment integrity of the 53103 and SR57171701 are both fully ects in the payload container which ensport, as verified by radiography. revented the Data Quality Objectives

of documentation, specifically recording the location of dense waste material, sharp/heavy objects. For this reason, as discussed in the Remedial Actions section of this Corrective Action Plan, CCP has revised BDR SRLBR0002 to identify the location of heavy/sharp materials inside containers SR57053103 and SR57171701.

CBFO CAP Evaluation For Proposed Investigated Actions: Acceptable

ATTACHMENT II
Page 1 of 1

CBFO Form 3.1-2

## **CAR CONTINUATION SHEET**

1. CAR No: 11-015	2. Activity No: S-11-10	3.	Page	 of	<u>2</u> .	
	·	<u> </u>		 		

### Proposed Root Cause Determination:

The subjectivity of the term "sharp/heavy objects" led to different interpretations by CCP and CBFO on the way that sharp/heavy objects should be defined. CCP interpreted sharp/heavy objects based on Revision 2 of the TRUPACT-III TRAM PAC (Section 2.6.1) which states: "Sharp or heavy objects in the payload container shall be blocked, braced, or suitably packaged as necessary to provide puncture protection for the payload container packaging these items." Based on the nondestructive examination performed by CCP's qualified radiographers, there were no sharp/heavy objects in the payload container that could puncture the SLB2 payload container, as documented in Section 5 of Attachment 2 for the BDR. Therefore, CCP's interpretation was that the requirement in Section 4.4.3 [E.1] of CCP-TP-053 to record location did not apply. CBFO had a different interpretation of what constitutes a sharp/heavy object; under this interpretation, the requirement to identify the location of sharp/heavy objects applied regardless of whether container integrity is in question.

CCP agrees that the definition of what constitutes a sharp/heavy object is subjective and therefore is open to different interpretations. In order to address this condition, CCP will develop guidelines/examples for a qualified real-time radiographer to use in making their determinations, as discussed below in the Actions to Prevent Recurrence. These guidelines will be consistent with the changes being made to CCP-TP-053 as currently being implemented by Standing Order CCP-SO-070.

CBFO CAP Evaluation For Proposed Root Cause Determination: Acceptable

### Proposed Actions to Prevent Recurrence:

CCP has determined that the following actions are appropriate to prevent recurrence of the CAR condition:

- a) Revise CCP-TP-053, Section 4.4.3 [E .l] to read: "Locations of dense waste material, sharp/heavy objects if container is to be rejected for transportation packaging issues." Either this or similar wording will be used to clarify the intent of what is to be recorded.
- b) Revise CCP-TP-053 to include TRAMPAC requirements for the SLB2.
- c) Develop guidelines/examples for a qualified real-time radiographer to use in making a determination about what constitutes a sharp/heavy object and determining whether it is adequately blocked, braced or packaged.
- d) Provide documented training to the real-time radiographers who are qualified to NDE boxes on the application of these guidelines/examples.

CBFO CAP Evaluation For Proposed Actions to Prevent Recurrence: Acceptable

The results of the evaluation indicate that the remedial actions, investigative actions, root cause determination, and actions to prevent recurrence should adequately address the CAR condition. Therefore, it is recommended that the revised CAP for CAR 11-015 be approved.

Evaluation Performed By: Perf Martinez

2/3/2011 Date: