Dear Mr. Hall,

I am very concerned that shielded containers at WIPP allow more remote-handled waste that is dangerous to transport, store, and dispose. I request a public hearing on shielded containers, which should be a class 3 modification to allow additional public comment and hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrew Gold; Santa Fe, NM
Dear Mr. Hall:

I am very concerned about shielded containers. They would expand the space available at WIPP for remote-handled waste that is dangerous to transport, store, and dispose. Despite what the Department of Energy says, shielded containers could not be handled like contact-handled waste because damaged or leaking containers could not be overpacked.

The shielded containers request is not a proper class 2 permit modification. I request a public hearing and that shielded containers be a class 3 modification so that there would be more extensive public comment and an opportunity for a hearing.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Hoff
PO Box 295
El Prado, NM 87529
I am very concerned about shielded containers. They would expand the space available at WIPP for remote-handled waste that is dangerous to transport, store, and dispose. Despite what the Department of Energy says, shielded containers could not be handled like contact-handled waste because damaged or leaking containers could not be over-packed.

The shielded containers request is not a proper class 2 permit modification. I request a public hearing and that shielded containers be a class 3 modification so that there would be more extensive public comment and an opportunity for a hearing.

Jeanne Green
113 Los Padillas Rd
El Prado, NM 87529
Mr. Hall and Mr. Kieling,

We are very concerned about shielded containers. They would expand the space available at WIPP for remote-handled waste that is dangerous to transport, store, and dispose. Despite what the Department of Energy says, shielded containers could not be handled like contact-handled waste because damaged or leaking containers could not be overpacked.

The shielded containers request is not a proper class 2 permit modification. We request a public hearing, and that shielded containers be a class 3 modification so that there would be more extensive public comment and an opportunity for a hearing. We have attended greater-than Class C waste hearings as well as CMRR- NF hearings for LANL and find they are vital for public information and the protection of citizens' rights.

Respectfully,
Bonnie Korman and Robert Bishop
Taos
Dear Mr Hall:

I hear that you are considering allowing shielded containers of "hot" remote-handled waste at WIPP. As tempting as i'm sure it must be to throw this anti-life scourge in a repository that is currently open and on a route currently traveled by other such poison, I do not want it crossing my path in this fair city of Albuquerque. At the very least i would like to see a public hearing on shielded containers, a class 3 modification. Though i'm sure it's beyond the scope of your official job description, i would like the NM Environment Dept to outlaw production in this state of materials for which we have no constructive use and which endanger the health of current and future generations. Please add that to your mandate!

Thanks for your time & care for these matters.

Donna Detweiler
Albuquerque NM
Dear People,
I am very concerned that shielded containers at WIPP allow more remote-handled waste that is dangerous to transport, store, and dispose. I request a public hearing on shielded containers, which should be a class 3 modification to allow additional public comment and hearing. Thank you for considering all the ramifications.

Regards,
Robyn

---
Robyn McCulloch
Email: mydog@jps.net
Home/office: 575.758.3355
Cell: 575.770.4566
Fax: 309.413.0185

Mailing address:
P.O. Box 2556
Taos, NM 87571 USA

Shipping address:
304 Santistevan Lane,
Taos, NM 87571 USA

***ground delivery ONLY***