

Allen, Pam, NMENV



From: Maestas, Ricardo, NMENV
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 4:23 PM
To: Allen, Pam, NMENV
Subject: FW: NMED Audit Approval Letter Comments in Word
Attachments: NMED_Audit_Approval_Comments_AMWTP_A-14-01.docx

Email and attachment for WIPP file.

From: Maestas, Ricardo, NMENV
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 2:12 PM
To: Mike Brown
Cc: Dennis Miehl - WIPPNet; Martin Navarrete - WIPPNet; Kliphuis, Trais, NMENV; Holmes, Steve, NMENV; Smith, Coleman, NMENV; Maestas, Ricardo, NMENV
Subject: RE: NMED Audit Approval Letter Comments in Word

Very well.

Attached is our NMED comments in Word for AMWTP A-14-01.

NMED sent out this audit approval earlier this week.

NMED will send CBFO QA a Word version of our comments for all future audit approvals.

Thank you.

Ricardo

From: Mike Brown [<mailto:mike.brown@cbfo.doe.gov>]
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 1:55 PM
To: Maestas, Ricardo, NMENV
Cc: Dennis Miehl - WIPPNet; Martin Navarrete - WIPPNet
Subject: RE: NMED Audit Approval Letter Comments in Word

I think it would be useful to get the comments in word. On the ATL's we will forward the approval. Thank you Mike B

From: Maestas, Ricardo, NMENV [<mailto:Ricardo.Maestas@state.nm.us>]
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 1:27 PM
To: Dennis Miehl - WIPPNet; Martin Navarrete - WIPPNet; Mike Brown - WIPPNet
Cc: Kliphuis, Trais, NMENV
Subject: NMED Audit Approval Letter Comments in Word

Good afternoon gentlemen,

We wondering if sending CBFO QA a separate email that contained our NMED comments in Word format for every NMED Audit Approval would be helpful.

Please just let us know and we can get our comments to you in a format that is easier to work with.

Since we are on this subject, I remember that we used to include the audit's team leader on the cc list when we send out an NMED Audit Approval letter.

Would you like us to include the ATL or are you fine with forwarding the approval?

Thank you very much.



NMED COMMENTS ON THE
ADVANCED MIXED WASTE TREATMENT PROJECT (AMWTP) FINAL AUDIT
REPORT A-14-01

NMED's review indicated that the body of the Audit Report and the C6 checklists generally appear to address the applicable elements. NMED provides the following comment for the Permittees consideration:

1. Questions 10, 27, 28, and 152 of the C6 Checklist indicate that the procedural citation given, MP-TRUW-8.13, Section 3.8, Notes 1-4 answers the question. MP-TRUW-8.13, Section 3.8 only has three Notes.
2. IN AK-19 of the Audit Report, in the Attachment of AKR-05-236R2, there is a misspelled brand name of a type of can. AK-19 has it spelled as "volrath can" (listed four times in the document) when the correct spelling should be "Vollrath can".
3. Questions 26, 34, 144, 234, 235, 236, 237,238, 239, 240, 241, 242,243, 245, 247, 265, 266, 267, and 278 of the C6 Checklist indicate that the procedural citation given, INST-OI-81 (All), answers the question. The procedure, INST-OI-81 was not included in the Audit Report in hardcopy or electronically.
4. Questions 296, 297, 298, 298a, and 300 of the C6 Checklist indicate that the procedure given, QP-VE-0001, answers the question. NMED understands that QP-VE-0001 is the AMWTP Qualification Package for documenting VE training and was submitted under VE-2 objective evidence of the final audit report. In the future it would be helpful to NMED if a statement was included in the checklist comment column that indicates that QP-VE-0001 is not included with the list of procedures but is instead included with the objective evidence.