

Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office P. O. Box 3090 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

NOV - 4 2014



Mr. John E. Kieling, Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Bldg. 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6303

Subject: Transmittal of CBFO Responses to NMED Comments on the Final Audit Report for Recertification Audit A-14-29 of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Central Characterization Program

Dear Mr. Kieling:

This letter transmits Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) responses to New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) comments on the Final Audit Report for Recertification Audit A-14-29 of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Central Characterization Program. CBFO's responses include revisions to the C6-1 Checklist, where appropriate.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Please contact Mr. Michael R. Brown, Director, CBFO Quality Assurance Division, at (575) 234-7476 should you have any questions concerning the responses to NMED's comments.

Sincerely,

Jose R. Franco, Manager Carlsbad Field Office

Enclosure

cc: w/Report Narrative			
D. Bryson, CBFO	* ED	P. Martinez, CTAC	ED
M. Brown, CBFO	ED	B. Pace, CTAC	ED
J.R. Stroble, CBFO	ED	R. Castillo, CTAC	ED
G. Basabilvazo, CBFO	ED	D. Harvill, CTAC	ED
M. Navarrete, CBFO	ED	G. White, CTAC	ED
D. Miehls, CBFO	ED	A. Urquidez, RES	ED
M. Pinzel, CBFO	ED	*ED denotes electronic distribution	
N. Castaneda, CBFO	ED		
R. Maestas, NMED	ED	cc: w/enclosure	
S. Holmes, NMED	ED	WIPP Operating Record	** EF
C. Smith, NMED	ED	CTAC QA File	EF
V. Daub, CTAC	ED	CBFO M&RC	EF
R. Allen, CTAC	ED	**EF denotes electronic format	



RESPONSES TO NMED COMMENTS ON THE OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY/CENTRAL CHARACTERIZATION PROGRAM (ORNL/CCP) FINAL AUDIT REPORT A-14-29

NMED's review indicated that the body of the Audit Report and the C6 Checklists generally appear to address the applicable elements. NMED provides the following comment for the Permittees' consideration:

t * 1

- 1. Question 30 of the C6 Checklist has no responses in the fields to answer the question.
 - <u>Response</u>: Attached is a revised C6-1 Checklist adding responses in the fields for question #30.

Waste Isolation Pliot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 2014

<u>REVISED</u> Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ORNL/CCP Recertification Audit A-14-29 July 29 – 30, 2014

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 1 of 18

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 2014 •

(This page intentionally blank)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 2 of 18

2

ć † ,

Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) General Checklist for use at DOE's Generator/Storage Sites

	WAP Requirement ¹ ORNL/CCP Recertification Audit A-14-29 Table C8-1 Wasts Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)
	WAS	TE STREAM IDEN	TIFICATION			
1	Does the generator/storage site define "waste stream" as waste materials that have common physical form, that contain similar hazardous constituents, and that are generated from a single process or activity? (Attachment C Section C-0a)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
2	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns one of the Summary Category Groups (S3000-homogeneous solids, S4000-solis/gravel, S5000-debris waste) to each waste stream? (Section C-1b)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
3	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns Waste Matrix Code Groups (e.g., solidified inorganics, solidified organics, salt waste, soils, combustible waste, filters, graphite, heterogeneous debris waste, inorganic nonmetal waste, lead/cadmium metal, uncategorized metal) to each waste stream? (Section C-0a)	N/A	NVA	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
4	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns a Waste Stream WIPP Identifier (ID) to each waste stream? (Section C3-6b(1))	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03

.

-

ſ		WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Do	cumented	Example of Implementation Evidence, as appli	on/Objective cable	Comment
		Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
	4 8	Are procedures in place for generator/storage sites to submit an AK Sufficiency Determination (Determination Request) to the Permittees to meet all or part of the waste characterization regulizements including:	NVA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
		All Information specified in Permit Attachment C4, Section C4-3d					
		 Identification of relevant hazardous constituents, and correctly identifies all toxicity characteristic and listed hazardous waste numbers 					
		 All hazardous waste number assignments must be substantiated by supporting data and, if not, whether this lack of substantiation compromises the interpretation 					
		 Resolution of data discrepancies between different AK sources must be technically correct and documented 					
		 The AK Summary includes all the identification of waste material parameter weights by percentage of the material in the waste stream, and determinations are technically correct 					
		 All prohibited items specified in the TSDF-WAC should be addressed, and conclusions drawn are technically adequate and substantiated by supporting information 					
		 If the AK record includes process control information specified in Permit Attachment C4, Section C4-3b, the information should include procedures, waste manifests, or other documentation demonstrating that the controls were adequate and sufficient. 					
		 The site must provide the supporting information necessary to substantiate technical conclusions within the Determination Request, and this information must be correctly interpreted. 					
		(Section C-0b, Section C4-3d)					
	쇞	If a generator/storage site does not submit a Determination Request or if the Determination Request is not approved, are procedures in place for the generator/storage site to perform radiography or VE on 100% of the containers in a waste stream as specified in Permit Attachment C1?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
		(Section C-0b)					

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 2014

۔ ب

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Occumented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
4 C	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage sites complete a Waste Stream Profile Form (WSPF) and Characterization Information Summary (CIS) as specified in Permit Attachment C3, Sections C3-6b(1) and C3-6b(2)? (Section C-0c)	NVA	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
6	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns EPA hazardous waste numbers associated with the waste? If so, do these assigned EPA hazardous waste numbers correspond to the permitted EPA hazardous waste numbers in Table C-5? Are there any assigned EPA hazardous waste numbers that are not permitted EPA hazardous waste numbers on the Table C-5? If so, did the generator/storage site reject the waste for shipment to and disposal at WIPP? Did the generator assign a state hazardous waste codes or numbers? If so, is it assigned to waste that is permitted at WIPP? (Section C-1b)	NA	NA	N¥A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
Z	Are procedures in place to ensure that Summary Category Groups are defined as follows: S3000- Homogeneous solids are solid material, inorganic process residues, inorganic siudges, salt waste, and pyrochemical salt waste excluding soils, that do not meet NMED criteria for classification as debris and are at least 50 percent by volume homogeneous solids or comprise the majority of the waste stream S4000- Waste streams that are at least 50 percent by volume soll/gravel, or comprise the majority of the waste stream S5000- Waste streams that are at least 50 percent volume materials that meet the NMED criteria for debris, or comprise the majority matrix of materials. The criteria for debris, or comprise the majority matrix of materials. The criteria for debris are solid materials intended for disposal that exceed 2.36 inch particle size and is a manufactured object, plant or animal matter, or natural geologic material. Particles smaller than 2.36 inches in size may be considered debris if the debris is a manufactured object and if it is not a particle of S3000 or S4000 material. (Section C-0a)	NA	N/A	NVA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment	
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)	
8	Does the generator/storage facility have procedures in place to ensure that the following waste characterization parameters will be obtained:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	 Determination whether TRU mixed waste streams comply with the applicable provisions of the TSDF-WAC 						
	Determination whether TRU mixed wastes exhibit a hazardous characteristic per 20.4.1.200 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 281 Subpart C)						
	Determination whether TRU mixed wastes are listed per 20.4.1.200 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 261 Subpart D)						
	 Estimation of waste material parameter weights 						
	(Section C-2)						
2	Are procedures in place to ensure that waste streams identified to contain incompatible materials or materials incompatible with waste containers cannot be shipped unless treated to remove the incompatibility?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	(Section C-1c)						
10	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site uses acceptable knowledge and, as necessary, radiography and visuat examination analysis as specified in Table C-1?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	(Section C-3)						
		JNACCEPTABLE	WASTE				
12	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site ensures, through administrative and operational procedures and characterization techniques, that waste containers do not include the following unacceptable waste:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	 liquid waste is not acceptable at WIPP. Liquid in the quantities delineated below is acceptable 						
	 Observable liquid shall be no more than 1 percent by volume of the outermost container at the time of radiography or visual examination 						
	 Internal containers with more than 60 milliliters or 3 percent by volume observable liquid, whichever is greater, are prohibited 						
	 Containers with Hazardous Waste number U134 assigned shall have no observable liquid 						
	 Overpacking the outermost container that was examined during radiography or visual examination or redistributing untreated liquid within the container shall not be used to meet the liquid volume limits 						

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 6 of 18

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment	
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)	
	non-radionuclide pyrophoric materials						
	 hazardous wastes not occurring as co-contaminants with TRU wastes (non-mixed hazardous wastes) 						
	 wastes incompatible with backfill, seal and panel closures materials, container and packaging materials, shipping container materials, or other wastes 						
	 wastes containing explosives or compressed gases (continued below) 						
12a	 wastes with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) not authorized under an EPA PCB waste disposal authorization 	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	 wastes exhibiting the characteristic of ignitability, corrosivity, or reactivity (EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers of D001, D002, or D003) 						
	 waste that has ever been managed as high-level waste and waste from tanks specified in Table C-4, unless specifically approved through a Class 3 permit modification 						
	 any waste container from a waste stream (or waste stream lot) which has not undergone either radiographic or visual examination of a statistically representative subpopulation of the wastes stream in each shipment pursuant to Permit Attachment C7 						
	 any waste container from a waste stream which has not been preceded by an appropriate, certified Waste Stream Profile Form (see Section C-1d) 						
	(Section C-1c)						
	WAS	TE ACCEPTANCE	CONTROL				
14	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site uses a Waste Stream Profile Form (WSPF) which includes, at a minimum, the information indicated on the attached WSPF found in Figure C-1 and a Characterization Information Summary (CIS) prior to waste disposal at the WIPP?	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	(Section C-1d)						
16	Are procedures in place to ensure that additional WSPFs are provided to WIPP and NMED for waste streams or portions of waste streams that are reclassified based upon waste characterization information? (Section C-10)	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
<u>16a</u>	Are criteria in place to determine the specific circumstances under which a WSPF is revised versus when a new WSPF is required? (Section C-1d)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	

	WAP Requirement ¹ ORNL/CCP Recertification Audit A-14-29	Procedure Do	cumented	Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist '	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)
	GENERAL C	HARACTERIZATIO	N REQUIREN	AENTS		
25	Are procedures in place to ensure that Acceptable Knowledge is used in waste characterization activities to defineate TRU mixed waste streams, to assess whether TRU mixed wastes comply with the TSDF-WAC, to assess whether TRU mixed waste exhibits a hazerdous characteristic (20.4, 1.200 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR 261 Subpart C), and to assess whether TRU wastes are listed (20.4, 1.200 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR 261 Subpart D), and to estimate waste material parameter weights? (Section C-3a)	NVA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
25	 Are procedures in place to ensure that radiography and/or visual examination are used as necessary to: Examine a waste container to determine the physical form Identify observable liquid in excess of TSDF-WAC limits and containerized gases Verify the physical form matches the waste stream description (Section C-3b) 	CCP-TP-113 S. 1.0, Table 1 & Att. 1 & 2	Y	BDRs: ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	
<u>28</u>	 Are procedures in place to ensure that the following characterization activities shall occur: Acceptable Knowledge for all wastes, with testing as necessary to augment AK including; Visual examination or radiography for all waste containers 	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03

-

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Do	cumented	Example of Implementation Evidence, as applied	Example of Implementation/Objective Comm Evidence, as applicable (e.g. applicable Comm	
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	llem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
	DATA GENERATION, VERIFICATION,	VALIDATION, DOC	UMENTATIO	N, AND QUALITY ASSURA	NCE	
30	Are procedures in place to ensure that the following Data Quality Objectives are met:	<u>N/A</u>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	 Use Acceptable Knowledge to delineate TRU mixed waste streams, assess whether TRU mixed wastes comply with the applicable requirements of the TSDF-WAC, assess whether TRU mixed wastes exhibit a hazardous characteristic, assess whether TRU mixed wastes are listed and to estimate waste material parameter weights 					
	 Use radiography or visual examination to verify the physical form of the waste matches its wasta stream description as determined by AK and to verify the absence of prohibited items 					
	(Section C-4a(1))					
31	 Are procedures in place to ensure that the following Quality Assurance Objectives are adequately defined and assessed for each characterization method: Precision as a measure of the mutual agreement among multiple measurements. Accuracy as the degree of agreement between a measurement result and a lrue or known value. Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data obtained from a method compared to the total amount of data obtained that is expressed as a percentage. Comparability is the degree to which one data set can be compared to another data set. 	CCP-TP-113 Att. 3	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	
	 Representativeness as an expression of the degree to which data represent characteristics of a population. 					
	(Section C-4a(2))					
32	 With respect to data generation, are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site's waste characterization program meets the following general requirements: Testing data packages and batch data reports must be reported accurately in a pre-approved format, must be maintained in permanent files, and must be traceable? All data must receive a technical review by another qualified operator? 	CCP-TP-113 S. 5.0 & Att. 1 & 2	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	
	(Section C3-4a)	1				

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
33	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site performs validation of waste characterization data for each waste container? (Section C-4)	CCP-TP-113, S. 4.7 & Att. 3	Ŷ	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	
34	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site has a pre- approved format for reporting waste characterization data? (Section C-4a(3))	CCP-TP-113 Att. 1 & 2	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	
35	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site prepares testing batch data reports to meet the requirements of their own site-specific QAPJP and/or SOPs? (Section C-4a(3))	CCP-TP-113 S. 4.6	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y	

-

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit February 2014

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Do	cumented	Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable			
	Table C6-1 Waske Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adaquate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)	
36	Are procedures in place to ensure that all raw data is collected and managed at the data generation level in accordance with the following criteria:	CCP-TP-113 S. 5.0 & Att. 3	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101	Y		
	 All raw data shall be signed and dated in reproducible ink by the individual collecting the data, or signed and dated using electronic signatures 			ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104			
	 All data shall be recorded clearly, legibly, and accurately in field records 			ORNLCHVE0108			
	 All changes to original data shall be lined out, initialed, and dated by the individual making the change. Original data may not be obliterated or otherwise be made unreadable 			ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)			
	 All data shall be transferred and reduced from field records completely and accurately 					·	
	 All field records shall be maintained as specified in Table C-2 of Attachment C 						
	 Data shall be organized into standard reporting formats for reporting purposes 						
	All electronic and video data must be stored to ensure that waste container and QC data are readily retrievable						
1	(Section C3-4a)						
37	Are procedures in place to ensure that 100 % of batch data reports are subject to independent technical review by an individual qualified to review the data who was not involved in the generation or recording of the data under review. The reviewer shall release the data through signature with an associated review checklist prior to characterization of the associated waste and shipment to the WIPP. The review shall ensure the following, as applicable: • Data generation and reduction were conducted according to the methods used and reported in the proper units and significant figures	CCP-TP-113 S. 4.7 & Att. 3	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 (VE-1)	Y		
	 Calculations have been verified by a valid calculation program, a spot check of verified calculation programs, and/or a 100 percent check of all hand calculations 						
	 The data have been reviewed for transcription errors 						
	 The testing QA documentation for BDRs is complete and includes, as applicable, raw data, calculation records, calibration records 						
	 Radiography tapes are reviewed on a waste container basis at a minimum of once per testing batch or once per day of operation, whichever is less frequent. The radiography tape will be reviewed against the data on the radiography form to ensure that data are complete and correct 						
	QAOs have been met						
	(Section C3-4a(1))						

.

.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 11 of 18

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Do	cumented	Example of implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
4 9	Are procedures in place to ensure that 100 percent of all batch data reports receive a Site Project Manager signature release with an associated review checklist prior to characterization of the associated waste and shipment to the WIPP. This release shall ensure the following:	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	 Testing batch QC checks were properly performed. Radiography data are complete and acceptable based on evidence of videotape review of one waste container per day or once per testing batch, whichever is less frequent 					
	 Data generation level independent technical review, validation, and verification have been performed as evidenced by the completed review checklists and appropriate signature releases. 					
	 Independent technical reviewers were not involved in the generation or recording of the data under review. 					
	Batch Data review checklists are complete					
	 Batch Data Reports are complete and data properly reported 					
	 Verify that data are within established data assessment criteria and meet all applicable QAOs 					
	(Section C3-4b(1))					
42	Are procedures in place to ensure that a repeat of the data review process at the data generation level will be performed on a minimum of one randomly chosen waste container every quarter to determine if the verification and validation is performed according to documented procedures? (Section C3-4b)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
43	Are procedures in place and checklists are available to prepare a Site Project Manager (SPM) Summary and a Data Validation Summary (the summaries may be in the same document)? The SPM Summary includes a validation checklist for each batch that is of sufficient detail to document all aspects of a batch data report that could affect data quality. The Data Validation Summary must identify each Batch Data Report reviewed, describe how the validation was performed, identify all problems, and identify all acceptable and unacceptable data. Summaries must include release signatures. (Section C3-4h(2))	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
44	Are procedures in place to ensure that non-administrative, WAP-related nonconformances first identified at the site project manager level are reported to the Permittees within seven calendar days of identification, that nonconformance reports are prepared within 30 calendar days, and that corrective action is implemented prior to waste shipment?	CCP-QP-005, S. 4.4.1 [D.3 & D.4] & Att. 4	Y	N/A	N/A	There were no reportable CH VE NCRs since the previous recertification audit.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 12 of 18

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment	
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Revlewed	Adequate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)	
	(Section C3-7)						
45	Are procedures in place to ensure that any waste container for which a nonconformance report (NCR) has been written will not be shipped to the WIPP facility unless the condition that led to the NCR for that container is appropriately identified, reconciled, corrected, and documented? Are nonconformance reports prepared for nonconformances identified? Are nonconformances identified and tracked, and does the Site Project Manager oversee the nonconformance report process? (Section C3-7)	CCP-QP-005, (A11)	Y	NCRs evaluated (none of these were reportable): NCR-ORNL-0702-14, R.0 NCR-ORNL-0162-14, R.0 NCR-ORNL-0159-14, R.0 (GEN-1) Contact-Handled (CH) Visual Examination (VE) NCR Log (GEN-2)	Y		
······	DATA TRANSMITTAL						
48	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site transmits data by hard copy or electronic copy from the data generation level to the site project level? If electronic, does the generator/site have a hard copy available on demand? (Section C-4a(5))	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
<u>50</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site inputs the data into the WWIS manually or electronically? (Section C-4a(5))	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
51	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site enters the data into the WWIS in the exact format required by the database? (Section C-4a(5))	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
<u>52</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure all of the data presented on Table C-3 of the Permit is transmitted to the WWIS? (Table C-3)	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
	. RECOR	DS AND RECORD	MANAGEME	NT			
55	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site's hard copy and/or electronic data reports follow the Permittees' format requirements? (Section C-4a(3))	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	
56	Are procedures in place to ensure that hard copy or electronic Waste Stream Profile Form will include the following: Generator/storage site name	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03	

.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 13 of 18

		WAP Requirement ¹ ORNL/CCP Recertification Audit A-14-29 Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
			Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
	•	Generator/storage site EPA ID	1				
	•	Date of audit report approval by NMED (If obtained)					
	-	Original generator of waste stream					
	•	Whether waste is Contact-Handled or Remote-Handled					
	•	Waste Stream WIPP Identification Number					
	•	Summary Category Group					
	•	Waste Matrix Code Group					
	•	Waste Material Parameter Weight Estimates per unit of waste					
	•	Waste stream name					
	•	A description of the waste stream					
	•	Applicable EPA hazardous waste codes numbers					
	•	Applicable TRUCON codes					
	•	A listing of acceptable knowledge documentation used to identify the waste stream					
	•	The waste characterization procedures used and the reference and date of the procedure					
	•	Certification signature of Site Project Manager, name, title, and date signed					
1	(Se	ction C3-6b(1))					
56 a	Are Info	procedures in place to ensure that hard copy or electronic Characterization mation Summary will include the following:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
		Data reconciliation with DQOs					
		 Radiography and visual examination summary to document that all prohibited items are absent in the waste and to verify that the physical form of the waste matches its waste stream description as determined by AK (if applicable) 					
		 A complete listing of all container identification numbers used to generate the Waste Stream Profile Form, cross-referenced to each Batch Data Report 					
		 Complete AK summary, including stream name and number, point of generation, waste stream volume (current and projected), generation dates, TRUCON codes, Summary Category Group, Waste Matrix Code(s) and Waste Matrix Code Group, other TWBIR information, waste stream description, areas of operation, generating processes, RCRA determinations, radionuclide information, all references used to generate the AK summary, and any other information, required by Permit Attachment 					

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 14 of 18

•

	WAP Requirement ¹ ORNL/CCP Recetification Audit A-14-29	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
	C4, Section C4-2b.					
	 Method for determining Waste Material Parameter Weights per unit of waste. 					
	List of any AK Sufficiency Determinations requested for the waste stream					
	 Certification through acceptable knowledge or testing that any waste assigned the hazardous waste number of U134 (hydrofluoric acid) no longer exhibits the characteristic of corrosivity. This is verified by ensuring that no liquid is present in U134 waste. 					
	 A justification for the selection of radiography and/or VE as an appropriate method of characterizing the waste. 					
	(Section C3-6b(2))					
<u>56b</u>	Are procedures in place to assure that ongoing container characterization results are cross referenced to Batch Data Reports?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	(Section C3-6b)					
<u>58</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that project level reports are compiled into Characterization Information Summaries?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	(Section C3-6b)					
<u>59</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site uses forms for data reporting that are pre-approved forms in site-specific documentation? (Section C3-6)	CCP-TP-113 Att. 1, 2, & 3	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 VE-1	Y	
<u>60</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site's site project manager submits to the WIPP facility a summary of the waste stream information and reconciliation with data quality objectives (DQOs) once a waste stream is characterized?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	(Section C-4a(5))					
§1	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site project office completes a WSPF based on the Batch Data Reports? (C3-6b)	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
<u>62</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage Site Project Manager submits the WSPF to the Permittees for DOE's approval along with the	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03

۰,

.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 15 of 18

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
	accompanying Characterization Information Summary for that waste stream? (Section C-4a(5))					
<u>63</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site maintains records related to waste characterization testing activities in the testing facility files, or site project files for those facilities located on-site? (Section C-4a(6))	CCP-QP-008, (AII) CCP-QP-028, (AII)	Y	CH Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule (RIDS) dated 08/01/2013 (GEN-3)	Y	
64	Are procedures in place to ensure that the appropriate documented training and indoctrination is performed for all individuals and that procedures are documented in site specific QAPjPs and procedures? (Section C3-8)	CCP-PO-001, (AII) CCP-QP-002, S. 4.0	Y	Qualification records for selected CCP personnel (GEN-4) CCP – ORNL List of Qualified Individuals dated 07/24/2014 (GEN-5)	Y	
<u>66</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site has an appropriate records inventory and disposition schedule (RIDS) or equivalent that was prepared and approved by appropriate site personnel? (Section C-4a(6))	CCP-QP-008, S. 3.1.2 CCP-QP-028, S. 3.1.1	Y	CH Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule (RIDS) dated 08/01/2013 (GEN-3)	Y	
<u>\$</u> 7	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site maintains all records relevant to an enforcement action, regardless of disposition, until they are no longer needed for enforcement action, and then dispositioned per the approved RIDS? (Section C-4a(6))	CCP-QP-008, S. 4.15.1 (NOTE) CCP-QP-028, (All)	Y	CH Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule (RIDS) dated 08/01/2013 (GEN-3)	Y	
<u>68</u>	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site maintains records that are designated as Lifetime Records for the life of the waste characterization program plus six years or that the records have been transferred to the WIPP Records Archive facility? Lifetime Records include: • Test facility Batch Data Reports, • Waste Stream Characterization Package, • Data reduction, validation, and reporting documentation, • Acceptable knowledge documentation, • WSPF and Characterization Information Summary (Section C-4a(6), Table C-2)	CCP-PO-001, S. C-4a(6) TABLE C-2 CCP-QP-008, S. 2.3.11 & 4.10.1 (2 rd NOTE) CCP-QP-028, (All)	Y	CH Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule (RIDS) dated 08/01/2013 (GEN-3)	Y	
69	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site maintains records that are designated as Non-Permanent Records for ten years from the date of	CCP-PO-001. S. C-48(6),	Y	CH Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule	Y	

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 16 of 18

ı.

	WAP Requirement ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment		
	Table C6-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist 1	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)		
	record generation, and then dispositioned according per the approved RIDS or transferred to the WIPP Records Archive facility? Non-Permanent Records include: • Nonconformance documentation, • Variance documentation, • Calculations and related software documentation, • Calculations and related software documentation, • Training/qualification documentation, • QAPjP documentation (all revisions), • Calibration documentation, • Procurement documentation, • QA procedures (all revisions), • Technical Implementing procedures (all revisions), and • Audio/video recording (radiography, visual, etc.).	TABLE C-2 CCP-QP-008, S. 2.3.17 & 4.10.1 (2 rd NOTE) CCP-QP-028, (All)		(RIDS) dated 08/01/2013 (GEN-3)				
79	(Section C-4a(6), Table C-2) Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site has raw data that is identifiable and legible, and provides documentary evidence of quality? (Section C-4a(6))	CCP-TP-113 Att. 1, 2 & 3	Y	BDRs ORNLCHVE0101 ORNLCHVE0102 ORNLCHVE0103 ORNLCHVE0104 ORNLCHVE0108 ORNLCHVE0109 ORNLCHVE0110 VVE-11	Y			
Z1	Are procedures in place to ensure that if the generator/storage site ceases to operate, that all records be transferred before closeout? (Section C-4a(6))	CCP-QP-008, S. 4.10.2	Y	N/A	N/A	This site has not ceased operations.		
	SHIPMENT							
72	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site accurately completes an EPA Hazardous Waste Manifest prior to shipping the waste to WIPP that contains the following information: • Generator/storage site name and EPA ID • Generator/storage site contact name and phone number • Quantity of waste	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03		
l	List of up to six state and/or receral nazarcous waste numbers in each line	L	l	L				

4

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 17 of 18

	WAP Requirement ¹ ORNL/CCP Recertification Audit A-14-29 Table C8-1 Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) Checklist ¹	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	since last audit, etc.)
	item					
	Listing of all container IDS					
	 Signature of authorized generator representative 					
	(Section C-5b)					
73	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site accurately completes the following container specific information:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluated during A-14-03
	Waste stream identification number					
	 List of hazardous waste numbers per container 					
	Certification data					
	Shipping data					
	(Section C-5b)					

 The WAP requirements should be presented in documents, such as procedures. Each of the questions posed under WAP requirements is meant to ask whether procedures are in place or whether documents are evident which demonstrate that the specific WAP requirement is or can be met.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page 18 of 18

٠