




Department of Energy
 Carlsbad Field Office
 P. O. Box 3090
 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221
JUL 28 2016



To: Distribution

Subject: CBFO Semi-Annual CAR Trend Analysis Report for January 1 through June 30, 2016

The Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) Corrective Action Report (CAR) Trend Analysis Report has been completed for the reporting period January 1 through June 30, 2016. Deficiencies identified during assessment activities conducted by CBFO of core participants subject to the CBFO Quality Assurance Program Document have been assigned trend codes and are presented for analysis in accordance with CBFO Management Procedure (MP) 3.2, Revision 1, Deficiency Trending and Reporting, and including Interim Change Notices 1 through 3.

The trend report is presented in a format that provides statistics and summarizes trend information. The first chart plots the average number of days to closure for CARs closed within the first six-month reporting period for 2016, and compares the new average to the averages of the previous three six-month periods.

Bar charts showing trend codes are provided incorporating data from both CARs and deficient conditions that were corrected during an assessment (CDA). The trend codes allow identification of issues under two categories, Activity and Deficiency. The Activity category identifies functional areas such as waste characterization, and project activities such as Acceptable Knowledge (AK), Data Validation (DV), definition of Work Processes (WP), and Software (SW). The Deficiency category addresses areas within an activity such as Training (03), Performance of Work (05), and Documentation of Work (06). A trend code key is included in this report.

Significant points of interest regarding trends, events, and issues from the attached charts are provided in the following table.

<p>Number of CARs Closed During the Reporting Period</p>	<p>One more CAR was closed during this reporting period than in the previous reporting period. Corrective Action Plans for the 30 CARs currently open indicate an average expected completion time of 196 days. The average expected time to complete CARs from the previous trend report was 51 days. The closure of CARs in a trend reporting period is impacted by the planned closure time frame.</p> <p>Ten of the CARs closed during this reporting period had been open longer than 170 days. This compares to 9 CARs closed during the previous reporting period that had been open longer than 170 days.</p>																											
<p>Number of Assessments Performed and Number of CARs Generated</p>	<p>Previous Report Period</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Assessments Performed</th> <th>CARs Generated</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Audits</td> <td>6</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surveillances</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>9</td> <td>19</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessments Performed	CARs Generated	Audits	6	14	Surveillances	3	5	Total	9	19	<p>This Report Period</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Assessments Performed</th> <th>CARs Generated</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Audits</td> <td>11</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Surveillances</td> <td>17</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>28</td> <td>27</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Assessments Performed	CARs Generated	Audits	11	18	Surveillances	17	9	Total	28	27
	Assessments Performed	CARs Generated																										
Audits	6	14																										
Surveillances	3	5																										
Total	9	19																										
	Assessments Performed	CARs Generated																										
Audits	11	18																										
Surveillances	17	9																										
Total	28	27																										
<p>Average Number of Days to CAR Closure</p>	<p>The average time to close CARs during this reporting period decreased by 1 day compared to the previous period.</p>																											

160721




JUL 28 2016

Comparison of Activity Trend codes with the last 6-month period	Activity Code	Jul-Dec 2015	This Period	Difference
	CD	0	2	+2
	DC	2	1	-1
	MT	0	2	+2
	RM	2	2	0
	TQ	3	5	+2
	WP	7	6	-1
CD – Characterization Data DC – Document Control MT – Control of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE) RM – Records Management TQ – Training and Qualifications WP – Work Processes NOTE: The increase in the number of CARs issued during the reporting periods (27 CARs issued this reporting period and 21 CARs issued last reporting period) accounts for the changes in the numbers of codes assigned. The assignment of codes to CARs generated during this reporting period that had not been used for assignment in the previous period is also a factor. The Activity codes above are the items with the highest number of codes identified during the 6-month reporting period of January through June 2016.				
Comparison of Deficiency Trend codes with the last 6-month period	Deficiency Code	Jan-Jun 2015	This Period	Difference
	01	3	3	0
	04	1	4	+3
	05	13	16	+3
	06	7	7	0
01 – Definition of Work Process and Proceduralization 04 – Untrained Personnel 05 – Performance of Work 06 – Documentation of Work NOTE: The increase in the number of CARs issued accounts for the changes in the numbers of codes assigned. The Deficiency codes above are the items with the highest number of codes identified during the 6-month reporting period of January through June 2016.				

Managers are requested to review the trends on the attached chart with appropriate personnel within their organizations.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at (575) 234-7476.


 Michael R. Brown, Director
 CBFO, Office of Quality Assurance

Enclosure

JUL 28 2016

Distribution: w/enclosure

T. Shrader, CBFO	*ED	P. Shoemaker, SNL-CPG	ED
D.C. Gadbury, CBFO	ED	E. Safley, SNL-CPG	ED
W. Mouser, CBFO	ED	S. Davis, SNL-CPG	ED
J.R. Stroble, CBFO	ED	S. Casey, SNL-CPG	ED
E. Garza, CBFO	ED	N. Elkins, LANL-CO	ED
G. Basabilvazo, CBFO	ED	S. Campbell, LANL-CO	ED
B. Mackie, CBFO	ED	L. Smith, LANL/CO	ED
R. Nelson, CBFO	ED	D. Nickless, LAFO	ED
D. Miehl, CBFO	ED	K. Peebles, CAST	ED
M. Navarrete, CBFO	ED	R. DeFeyter, CAST	ED
B. Roberts, DOE-ID	ED	D. Markelonis, VS	ED
J. Floerke, AMWTP	ED	R. Mills, VS	ED
T. Clements, AMWTP	ED	T. Peake, EPA	ED
D. Ferguson, DOE-SR	ED	E. Feltcorn, EPA	ED
J. Craig, DOE-SR	ED	R. Joglekar, EPA	ED
L. Wilkerson, DOE-OR	ED	J. Kieling, NMED	ED
D. Dietzel, DOE-CH	ED	R. Maestas, NMED	ED
R. Purucker, DOE-CH	ED	C. Smith, NMED	ED
K. Joshi, DOE-CH	ED	V. Daub, CTAC	ED
M. Spoerner, DOE-SNL	ED	P. Martinez, CTAC	ED
P. Breidenbach, NWP	ED	C. Castillo, CTAC	ED
J. Blankenhorn, NWP	ED	R. Boyko, CTAC	ED
J. Britain, NWP	ED	J. Schuetz, CTAC	ED
F. Sharif, NWP	ED	P. Hinojos, CTAC	ED
E. Gulbransen, NWP	ED	G. White, CTAC	ED
V. Cannon, NWP	ED	CBFO M&RC	
B. Allen, NWP	ED	CBFO QA File	
S. Punchios, NWP	ED		

*ED denotes electronic distribution.

Carlsbad Field Office Corrective Action 6-Month Trend Report

Report Period:
1/1/2016 to 6/30/2016

Prepared By: Jan R. DeLong 7127116


Approved By: _____

CBFO QA DIRECTOR


Descriptive Statistics for those CARs Closed During the Report Period (Current 6-Month Period)

Number of CARs Closed: 27	Average Days to Closure: 168
Maximum Days to Closure: 524	Minimum Days to Closure: 38


Average Number of Days to CAR Closure (Over Four 6-Month Periods)



Activity Trend Codes (Current 6-Month Period)



Deficiency Trend Codes (Current 6-Month Period)



Assumptions:

Days to Closure: Number of days between date of CAR notification letter to date CBFO Quality Assurance Director signs CAR with closure.

6-month Periods: Only CARs that are actually CLOSED are considered in a given 6-month period.

The final value shown in the Average Number of Days to CAR Closure chart is the average of the four 6-month periods.

Activity and Deficiency Trend Code charts cover CARs that were either opened or closed during the current 6-month period.

Carlsbad Field Office Corrective Action 6-Month Trend Report
Trend Code Description

Code	Activity Description	Code	Activity Description
AK	Acceptable Knowledge	01	Definition of Work Process and Proceduralization
AA	Audits and Assessments	02	Identification of Work Steps
CA	Corrective Action Program (CARs and NCRs)	03	Training Materials and/or Training Presentations
CD	Characterization Data	04	Untrained Personnel
DC	Document Control	05	Performance of Work
DE	Design Control and Engineering	06	Documentation of Work
DV	Data Validation	07	Records Processing
GG	Gas Generation Testing (GGT)		
HG	Headspace Gas Sampling and Analysis (HGAS)		
MA	Management		
MT	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE)		
ND	Non-Destructive Assay (NDA)		
OR	Organization and Resources		
PD	Performance Demonstration Program (PDP)		
PS	Procurement		
QA	QA Program and Implementation		
RI	Receiving/Receipt Inspection		
RM	Records Management		
RT	Real-Time Radiography (RTR)		
SA	Safety/Operations		
ST	Sampling Techniques		
SW	Software		
TL	TRUPACT-II Leak Testing		
TQ	Training and Qualifications		
TR	Transportation		
VE	Visual Examination (VE)		
WH	Waste Handling Operations		
WP	Work Processes		