

## **White Sands Missile Range- LC-38 Diesel Spill**

### **Data Review**

WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NEW MEXICO

DRO, Metals and Miscellaneous Analyses

SDG #1109094

Analyses Performed By:  
DHL Analytical, Inc.  
Round Rock, Texas

Report #14871R  
Review Level: Tier II  
Project: GP08WSMR.OMON.2011F

## SUMMARY

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) #1109094 for samples collected in association with the LC-38 Diesel Spill Site. The review was conducted as a Tier II evaluation and included review of data package completeness. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Sample Collection Date	Parent Sample	Analysis				
					VOC	SVOC	MET	DRO	MISC
LC38-DSPL-MW-001-0911	1109094-01	Water	9/14/2011				X	X	X
LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911	1109094-02	Water	9/14/2011				X	X	X
LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911	1109094-03	Water	9/14/2011	LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911			X	X	X

**Note:**

1. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) was performed on sample location LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911 for Hexavalent Chromium only.
2. Miscellaneous analyses include Hexavalent chromium.

## ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

Items Reviewed	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample receipt condition		X		X	
2. Requested analyses and sample results		X		X	
3. Master tracking list		X		X	
4. Methods of analysis		X		X	
5. Reporting limits		X		X	
6. Sample collection date		X		X	
7. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
8. Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		X		X	
9. Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		X		X	
10. Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		X		X	
11. Narrative summary of QA or sample problems provided		X		X	
12. Data Package Completeness and Compliance		X		X	

QA - Quality Assurance

## ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8015. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines of October 1999.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
  - UJ The compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation.
  - UB Compound considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

# DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS (DRO) ANALYSES

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8015	Soil	14 days from collection to extraction and 40 days from extraction to analysis	Cool to 4°C±2°C
	Water	7 days from collection to extraction and 40 days from extraction to analysis	Cool to 4°C±2°C

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding times.

## 2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e., method and rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

A blank action level (BAL) of five times the concentration of a detected compound in an associated blank (common laboratory contaminant compounds are calculated at ten times) is calculated for QA blanks containing concentrations greater than the reporting limit (RL). The BAL is compared to the associated sample results to determine the appropriate qualification of the sample results, if needed.

Compounds were not detected above the MDL in the associated blanks; therefore detected sample results were not associated with blank contamination.

## 3. Surrogates/System Monitoring Compounds

All samples to be analyzed for organic compounds are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. The analysis requires surrogate compounds exhibit recoveries within the laboratory-established acceptance limits.

All surrogate recoveries were within control limits.

## 4. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Analysis

MS/MSD data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method. The compounds used to perform the MS/MSD analysis must exhibit a percent recovery within the laboratory-established acceptance limits. The relative percent difference (RPD) between the MS/MSD recoveries must exhibit an RPD within the laboratory-established acceptance limits.

Note: The MS/MSD recovery control limits do not apply for MS/MSD performed on sample locations where the compound concentration detected in the parent sample exceeds the MS/MSD concentration by a factor of four or greater.

A MS/MSD analysis was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

## 5. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Analysis

The LCS/LCSD analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences. The compounds associated with the LCS/LCSD analysis must exhibit a percent recovery within the laboratory-established acceptance limits.

All compounds associated with the LCS analysis exhibited recoveries within the control limits.

## 6. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 40% for water matrices and 70% for soil matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices or three times the RL is applied for soil matrices.

Results for duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID/Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911/ LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911	DRO	0.0752 J	0.0580 J	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

## 7. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC by laboratory personnel using the analytes relative retention time. These identifications were not reviewed by the data validator.

## 8. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR DRO

DRO; SW-846 8015	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY (GC/FID)					
<b>Tier II Validation</b>					
Holding times		X		X	
Reporting limits (units)		X		X	
Blanks					
A. Method blanks		X		X	
B. Equipment blanks					X
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) %R		X		X	
Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate(LCSD) %R					X
LCS/LCSD Precision (RPD)					X
Matrix Spike (MS) %R					X
Matrix Spike Duplicate(MSD) %R					X
MS/MSD Precision (RPD)					X
Field/Lab Duplicate (RPD)		X		X	
Surrogate Spike Recoveries		X		X	
Dilution Factor		X		X	
Moisture Content					X

%RSD – relative standard deviation, %R - percent recovery, RPD - relative percent difference, %D – difference

## INORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Methods 6020 and Standard Methods M3500-CR D. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review of July 2002.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and that it was already subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with the USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the analyte instrument detection limit.
  - B The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract-required detection limit (CRDL), but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit (IDL).
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The reported value is estimated due to the presence of interference.
  - N Spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.
  - \* Duplicate analysis is not within control limits.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
  - UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample detection limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of detection.
  - UB Analyte considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

# METALS ANALYSES

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 6020	Soil	180 days from collection to analysis	Cooled @ 4 °C.

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding times.

## 2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e., method and rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

A blank action level (BAL) of five times the concentration of a detected compound in an associated blank (common laboratory contaminant compounds are calculated at ten times) is calculated for QA blanks containing concentrations greater than the method detection limit (MDL). The BAL is compared to the associated sample results to determine the appropriate qualification of the sample results, if needed.

Analytes were not detected above the IDL in the associated blanks; therefore detected sample results were not associated with blank contamination.

## 3. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)/Laboratory Duplicate Analysis

MS/MSD and laboratory duplicate data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method.

### 3.1 MS/MSD Analysis

All metal analytes must exhibit a percent recovery within the established acceptance limits of 75% to 125%. The MS/MSD recovery control limits do not apply for MS/MSD performed on sample locations where the analyte's concentration detected in the parent sample exceeds the MS/MSD concentration by a factor of four or greater. In instance where this is true, the data will not be qualified even if the percent recovery does not meet the control limits and the laboratory qualifier "N" will be removed.

A MS/MSD analysis was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

### 3.2 Laboratory Duplicate Analysis

The laboratory duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) criterion is applied when parent and duplicate sample concentrations are greater than or equal to 5 times the CRDL. A control limit of 20% for water matrices and 35% for soil matrices is applied when the criteria above is true. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the CRDL, a control limit of one times the CRDL is applied for water matrices and two times the CRDL for soil matrices.

A Laboratory duplicate analysis was not performed on a sample location within this SDG.

#### 4. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 40% for water matrices and 70% for soil matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices or three times the RL is applied for soil matrices.

Results for duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID/Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911/ LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911	Chromium	0.0436 J	0.0428 J	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 5. Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCS/LCSD) Analysis

The LCS/LCSD analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences. The analytes associated with the LCS/LCSD analysis must exhibit a percent recovery between the control limits of 80% and 120%.

The LCS/LCSD analysis exhibited recoveries within and RPD between the control limits.

#### 6. Furnace Analysis QC

No furnace analyses were performed on the samples.

#### 7. Method of Standard Additions (MSA)

No samples were analyzed following the method of standard additions.

#### 8. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR METALS

METALS; SW-846 6020	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP) Atomic Absorption – Manual Cold Vapor (CV)					
<b>Tier II Validation</b>					
Holding Times		X		X	
Reporting limits (units)		X		X	
Blanks					
A. Instrument Blanks					X
B. Method Blanks		X		X	
C. Equipment/Field Blanks					X
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)		X		X	
Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)		X		X	
LCS/LCSD RPD		X		X	
Matrix Spike (MS) %R					X
Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) %R					X
MS/MSD Precision (RPD)					X
Field/Lab Duplicate (RPD)		X		X	
Reporting Limit Verification		X		X	
Moisture Content					X

%R    Percent recovery

RPD    Relative percent difference

# GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSES

## 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
Hexavalent Chromium by M3500-Cr D	Water	24 hours from collection to analysis	Cool to 4°C±2°C.

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding times.

## 2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e., method and rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

A blank action level (BAL) of five times the concentration of a detected compound in an associated blank (common laboratory contaminant compounds are calculated at ten times) is calculated for QA blanks containing concentrations greater than the method detection limit (MDL). The BAL is compared to the associated sample results to determine the appropriate qualification of the sample results, if needed.

Analytes were not detected above the MDL in the associated blanks; therefore detected sample results were not associated with blank contamination.

## 3. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)/Laboratory Duplicate Analysis

MS/MSD and laboratory duplicate data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method.

### 3.1 MS/MSD Analysis

All metal analytes must exhibit a percent recovery within the established acceptance limits of 75% to 125%. The MS/MSD recovery control limits do not apply for MS/MSD performed on sample locations where the analyte's concentration detected in the parent sample exceeds the MS/MSD concentration by a factor of four or greater. In instance where this is true, the data will not be qualified even if the percent recovery does not meet the control limits and the laboratory qualifier "N" will be removed.

The MS/MSD analyses exhibited recoveries within the control limits.

### 3.2 Laboratory Duplicate Analysis

The laboratory duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) criterion is applied when parent and duplicate sample concentrations are greater than or equal to 5 times the CRDL. A control limit of 20% for water matrices and 35% for soil matrices is applied when the criteria above is true. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the CRDL, a control limit of one times the CRDL is applied for water matrices and two times the CRDL for soil matrices.

MS/MSD analysis was performed in replacement of the laboratory duplicate analysis. The MS/MSD recoveries exhibited acceptable RPD.

#### 4. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 50% for water matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices.

Results for duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

Sample ID/Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911/ LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911	Hexavalent Chromium	0.0080 U	0.0080 U	AC

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 5. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Analysis

The LCS analysis is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences. The analytes associated with the LCS analysis must exhibit a percent recovery between the control limits of 80% and 120%.

The LCS analysis exhibited recoveries within the control limits.

#### 6. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

## DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR GENERAL CHEMISTRY

General Chemistry: M3500-Cr D	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Miscellaneous Instrumentation					
<b>Tier II Validation</b>					
Holding times		X		X	
Reporting limits (units)		X		X	
Blanks					
A. Method blanks		X		X	
B. Equipment blanks					X
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) %R		X		X	
Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate(LCSD) %R		X		X	
LCS/LCSD Precision (RPD)		X		X	
Matrix Spike (MS) %R		X		X	
Matrix Spike Duplicate(MSD) %R		X		X	
MS/MSD Precision (RPD)		X		X	
Field/Lab Duplicate (RPD)		X		X	
Dilution Factor		X		X	
Moisture Content					X

%RSD – relative standard deviation, %R - percent recovery, RPD - relative percent difference, %D – difference

VALIDATION PERFORMED

BY: Jeffrey L. Davin

SIGNATURE:



---

DATE: October 12, 2011

PEER REVIEW: Dennis Capria

DATE: October 30, 2011

**CHAIN OF CUSTODY/  
CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS**

DHL Analytical

Date: 09/27/11

CLIENT: Zia Engineering & Environmental  
 Project: LC-38  
 Project No:  
 Lab Order: 1109094

Client Sample ID: LC38-DSPL-MW-001-0911  
 Lab ID: 1109094-01  
 Collection Date: 09/14/11 01:02 PM  
 Matrix: Aqueous

Analyses	Result	MDL	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
<b>TPH Extractable by GC - Water</b>		<b>M8015D</b>					<b>Analyst: DEW</b>
TPH-DRO C10-C28	0.0731	0.0500	0.100	J	mg/L	1	09/21/11 01:36 PM
Surr: Isopropylbenzene	70.5	0	47 - 142		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:36 PM
Surr: Octacosane	84.9	0	51 - 124		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:36 PM
<b>Trace Metals: ICP-MS - Water</b>		<b>SW6020</b>					<b>Analyst: AJR</b>
Chromium	0.0899	0.00200	0.00600		mg/L	1	09/20/11 02:23 PM
<b>Hexavalent Chromium-Water</b>		<b>M3500-Cr D</b>					<b>Analyst: LM</b>
Hexavalent Chromium	<0.00800	0.00800	0.0100		mg/L	1	09/15/11 11:19 AM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds TCLP Maximum Concentration Level	J	Analyte detected between MDL and RL
	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	MDL	Method Detection Limit
	C	Sample Result or QC discussed in the Case Narrative	N	Parameter not NELAC certified
	DF	Dilution Factor	ND	Not Detected at the Method Detection Limit
	E	TPH pattern not Gas or Diesel Range Pattern	RL	Reporting Limit
			S	Spike Recovery outside control limits

CLIENT: Zia Engineering & Environmental  
 Project: LC-38  
 Project No:  
 Lab Order: 1109094

Client Sample ID: LC38-DSPL-MW-002-0911  
 Lab ID: 1109094-02  
 Collection Date: 09/14/11 02:30 PM  
 Matrix: Aqueous

Analyses	Result	MDL	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
<b>TPH Extractable by GC - Water</b>		<b>M8015D</b>					<b>Analyst: DEW</b>
TPH-DRO C10-C28	0.0752	0.0500	0.100	J	mg/L	1	09/21/11 01:45 PM
Surr: Isopropylbenzene	53.2	0	47 - 142		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:45 PM
Surr: Octacosane	84.8	0	51 - 124		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:45 PM
<b>Trace Metals: ICP-MS - Water</b>		<b>SW6020</b>					<b>Analyst: AJR</b>
Chromium	0.00436	0.00200	0.00600	J	mg/L	1	09/20/11 01:55 PM
<b>Hexavalent Chromium-Water</b>		<b>M3500-Cr D</b>					<b>Analyst: LM</b>
Hexavalent Chromium	<0.00800	0.00800	0.0100		mg/L	1	09/15/11 11:19 AM

Qualifiers: \* Value exceeds TCLP Maximum Concentration Level  
 B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank  
 C Sample Result or QC discussed in the Case Narrative  
 DF Dilution Factor  
 E TPH pattern not Gas or Diesel Range Pattern

J Analyte detected between MDL and RL  
 MDL Method Detection Limit  
 N Parameter not NELAC certified  
 ND Not Detected at the Method Detection Limit  
 RL Reporting Limit  
 S Spike Recovery outside control limits

CLIENT: Zia Engineering & Environmental  
 Project: LC-38  
 Project No:  
 Lab Order: 1109094

Client Sample ID: LC38-DSPL-MW-102-0911  
 Lab ID: 1109094-03  
 Collection Date: 09/14/11 02:30 PM  
 Matrix: Aqueous

Analyses	Result	MDL	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
<b>TPH Extractable by GC - Water</b>		<b>M8015D</b>					<b>Analyst: DEW</b>
TPH-DRO C10-C28	0.0580	0.0500	0.100	J	mg/L	1	09/21/11 01:54 PM
Surr: Isopropylbenzene	53.7	0	47 - 142		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:54 PM
Surr: Octacosane	84.2	0	51 - 124		%REC	1	09/21/11 01:54 PM
<b>Trace Metals: ICP-MS - Water</b>		<b>SW6020</b>					<b>Analyst: AJR</b>
Chromium	0.00428	0.00200	0.00600	J	mg/L	1	09/20/11 02:01 PM
<b>Hexavalent Chromium-Water</b>		<b>M3500-Cr D</b>					<b>Analyst: LM</b>
Hexavalent Chromium	<0.00800	0.00800	0.0100		mg/L	1	09/15/11 11:19 AM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds TCLP Maximum Concentration Level	J	Analyte detected between MDL and RL
	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	MDL	Method Detection Limit
	C	Sample Result or QC discussed in the Case Narrative	N	Parameter not NELAC certified
	DF	Dilution Factor	ND	Not Detected at the Method Detection Limit
	E	TPH pattern not Gas or Diesel Range Pattern	RL	Reporting Limit
			S	Spike Recovery outside control limits



2300 Double-Creek Dr. ■ Round Rock, TX 78664  
 Phone (512) 388-8222 ■ FAX (512) 388-8229  
 Web: www.dhlanalytical.com  
 E-Mail: login@dhlanalytical.com



No 51596  
**CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY**

CLIENT: BIA ENGINEERING  
 ADDRESS: 755 S. TELSAUR BLVD SUITE 60201 (OS CIRCLE) UM  
 PHONE: 512 532-1526 FAX/E-MAIL: 58011  
 DATA REPORTED TO: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ADDITIONAL REPORT COPIES TO: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ PAGE 1 OF 1  
 PO #: \_\_\_\_\_ DHL WORK ORDER #: 1107094  
 PROJECT LOCATION OR NAME: \_\_\_\_\_  
 CLIENT PROJECT #: \_\_\_\_\_ COLLECTOR: \_\_\_\_\_

Field Sample I.D.	DHL Lab #	Date	Time	Matrix	Container Type	# of Containers	PRESERVATION				ANALYSIS	FIELD NOTES			
							HCl	HNO <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> □ NaOH □	UNPRESERVED					
LC3A-DSZL-MW-001-0911	01	9-14-11	1300	W		4					X	X	X		
LC3A-DSZL-MW-002-0911	02	9-14-11	1430	W		4					X	X	X		
LC3A-DSZL-MW-100-0911	03	9-14-11	1430	W		4					X	X	X		
TOTAL															

RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>	DATE/TIME <u>9-14-11 5:20</u>	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>	<b>TURN AROUND TIME</b> RUSH <input type="checkbox"/> CALL FIRST 1 DAY <input type="checkbox"/> CALL FIRST 2 DAY <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>LABORATORY USE ONLY:</b> RECEIVING TEMP: <u>3.1</u> THERM #: <u>57</u> CUSTODY SEALS: <input type="checkbox"/> BROKEN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTACT <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED CARRIER BILL #: <u>[Signature]</u> <input type="checkbox"/> APC DELIVERY <input type="checkbox"/> HAND DELIVERED
RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>	DATE/TIME <u>9/15/11</u>	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>		
RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) _____	DATE/TIME _____	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) _____		

DHL DISPOSAL @ \$5.00 each     Return