



Laboratory Report Number: L12080213

Mark Lyon
Environmental Waste Solutions
2440 Louisiana Blvd
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the samples you submitted to Microbac Laboratories. Review and compilation of your report was completed by Microbac's Ohio Valley Division (OVD). If you have any questions, comments, or require further assistance regarding this report, please contact your service representative listed below.

Laboratory Contact:
Stephanie Mossburg – Team Chemist/Data Specialist
(740) 373-4071
Stephanie.Mossburg@microbac.com

I certify that all test results meet all of the requirements of the DoD QSM and other applicable contract terms and conditions. Any exceptions are attached to this cover page or addressed in the method narratives presented in the report. All results for soil samples are reported on a 'dry-weight' basis unless specified otherwise. Analytical results for water and wastes are reported on a 'as received' basis unless specified otherwise. A statement of uncertainty for each analysis is available upon request. This laboratory report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Microbac Laboratories, DoD ELAP certification number 2936.01. The reported results are related only to the samples analyzed as received.

This report was certified on August 22 2012

David Vandenberg – Managing Director

State of Origin: NM
Accrediting Authority: N/A ID:N/A
QAPP: DOD Ver 4.1



Record of Sample Receipt and Inspection

Comments/Discrepancies

This is the record of the shipment conditions and the inspection records for the samples received and reported as a sample delivery group (SDG). All of the samples were inspected and observed to conform to our receipt policies, except as noted below.

The following discrepancies were noted:

Discrepancy	Resolution
HTA 20-0812-1 the Anions and 1 total Metals bottles don't have ID's on labels. All others have labels so labeled per this ID. JKS No time sampled on this ID either, logged per the information on the chain of custody.	

Coolers

Cooler #	Temperature Gun	Temperature	COC #	Airbill #
0017689	H	1.0		b8730131666440215d
0017116	H	1.0		1015923830910004575000795764774607
0017321	H	3.0		1015923830910004575000795764774618

Inspection Checklist

#	Question	Result
1	Were shipping coolers sealed?	Yes
2	Were custody seals intact?	Yes
3	Were cooler temperatures in range of 0-6?	Yes
4	Was ice present?	Yes
5	Were COC's received/information complete/signed and dated?	Yes
6	Were sample containers intact and match COC?	Yes
7	Were sample labels intact and match COC?	No
8	Were the correct containers and volumes received?	Yes
9	Were samples received within EPA hold times?	Yes
10	Were correct preservatives used? (water only)	Yes
11	Were pH ranges acceptable? (voa's excluded)	Yes
12	Were VOA samples free of headspace (less than 6mm)?	NA

Samples Received

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Date Collected	Date Received
HTA 15-0812-1	L12080213-01	08/06/2012 10:23	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 15-0812-1	L12080213-02	08/06/2012 10:23	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 25-0812-1	L12080213-03	08/06/2012 11:32	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 25-0812-1	L12080213-04	08/06/2012 11:32	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 20-0812-1	L12080213-05	08/06/2012 12:22	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 20-0812-1	L12080213-06	08/06/2012 12:22	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 10A-0812-1	L12080213-07	08/06/2012 13:14	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 10A-0812-1	L12080213-08	08/06/2012 13:14	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 11-0812-1	L12080213-09	08/06/2012 14:12	08/07/2012 11:40
HTA 11-0812-1	L12080213-10	08/06/2012 14:12	08/07/2012 11:40

Microbac REPORT L12080213
PREPARED FOR Environmental Waste Solutions
WORK ID:

1.0 Summary Data	5
1.1 Narratives	6
1.2 Certificate of Analysis	18
2.0 Full Sample Data Package	73
2.1 General Chromatography Data	178
2.1.1 6850 LC/MS Data	75
2.1.1.1 Summary Data	76
2.1.1.2 QC Summary Data	81
2.1.1.3 Sample Data	129
2.1.1.4 Standards Data	140
2.1.1.5 Raw QC Data	173
2.1 Semivolatiles Data	178
2.1.2 Explosives (8330B)	179
2.1.2.1 Summary Data	180
2.1.2.2 QC Summary Data	200
2.1.2.3 Sample Data	238
2.1.2.4 Standards Data	279
2.1.2.5 Raw QC Data	368
2.2 Metals Data	385
2.2.1 Metals I C P Data	386
2.2.1.1 Summary Data	387
2.2.1.2 QC Summary Data	400
2.2.1.3 Raw Data	440
2.2.2 Metals ICP-MS Data	507
2.2.2.1 Summary Data	508
2.2.2.2 QC Summary Data	523
2.2.2.3 Raw Data	591
2.2.3 Metals CVAA Data (Mercury)	1261
2.2.3.1 Summary Data	1262
2.2.3.2 QC Summary	1270
2.2.3.3 Raw Data	1289
2.3 General Chemistry Data	1292
2.3.1 Method 9056	1293
2.3.1.1 Summary Data	1294
2.3.1.2 QC Summary Data	1298
2.3.1.3 Sample Data	1319
2.3.1.4 Standards Data	1325
2.3.1.5 Raw QC Data	1346
2.3.2 PH Data	1350
2.3.2.1 Summary Data	1351
2.3.2.2 QC Summary Data	1355
2.3.2.3 Raw Data	1358
2.3.3 Alkalinity Data	1360
2.3.3.1 Summary Data	1361
2.3.3.2 QC Summary Data	1369
2.3.3.3 Raw Data	1376
2.3.4 Nitrate Data	1392
2.3.4.1 Summary Data	1393
2.3.4.2 QC Summary Data	1397
2.3.4.3 Raw Data	1404
3.0 Attachments	1412

1.0 Summary Data

1.1 Narratives



Login Number: L12080213
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: John W. Richards Jr.

METHOD

Analysis SW-846 6850

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: For all compounds that yielded a %RSD greater than 15%, linear or higher order equations were applied. All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration and Tune: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: The MS/MSD results were not associated with this sample delivery group.

SAMPLES

Samples: Samples 01, 03, 05, 07 and 09 were analyzed at a dilution due to historical results.

Internal Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Manual Integration Reason Codes

Reason #1: Data System Fails to Select Correct Peak In some cases the chromatography system selects and integrates the 'wrong peak'. In this case the analyst must correct the selection and force the system to integrate the proper peak. Other times the system may miss the peak completely.

Reason #2: Data System Splits the Peak Incorrectly or Integrates a False Peak as a Rider Peak This phenomena is common at low concentrations where the signal:noise ratio is low. A single compound (peak) is incorrectly split into multiple peaks or integrated as a main peak with one or more rider peaks resulting in low area counts for the target compound.

Reason #3: Improperly Integrated Isomers and/or coeluting compounds. This system often fails to distinguish coeluting compounds and or isomers. The integration areas and concentrations are wrong, and they must be corrected by manual integration. Prime examples are benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(b)fluoranthene which are often unresolved and integrated improperly when both are present at low concentrations in standards or samples.

Reason #4: System Establishes Incorrect Baseline There are numerous situations in chromatography where the system establishes the baseline incorrectly. Some baseline errors will be obvious to the analyst and should be corrected via manual procedures.

Reason #5: Miscellaneous Other situations involving integration errors may require in-depth review and technical judgment. These cases should be brought to the attention of the laboratory management. If the form of manual integration is not clearly covered by these four cases, then review and approval by the Laboratory Director or the QA/QC Supervisor

will be required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51990

Approved By: Mike Cochran





Login Number: L12080213
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Justin Hesson

METHOD

Analysis SW846 9040C,9045D/EPA 150.1/SM4500-H B (pH)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51911

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".



Login Number: L12080213
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: Eric Lawson

METHOD

Analysis SW-846 8330

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: For all compounds that yielded a %RSD greater than 15%, linear or higher order equations were applied. All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: The percent difference was out of range for the following analytes: Tetryl. Please see the applicable QC report for a detailed presentation of the failures.

Continuing Calibration and Tune: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: The MS/MSD results were not associated with this sample delivery group.

SAMPLES

Samples: Samples 05, 07 and 09 were analyzed at a dilution to be within calibration range. All positive hits were confirmed by second column analysis.

Surrogates: All acceptance criteria were met.

Manual Integration Reason Codes

Reason #1: Data System Fails to Select Correct Peak In some cases the chromatography system selects and integrates the 'wrong peak'. In this case the analyst must correct the selection and force the system to integrate the proper peak. Other times the system may miss the peak completely.

Reason #2: Data System Splits the Peak Incorrectly or Integrates a False Peak as a Rider Peak This phenomena is common at low concentrations where the signal:noise ratio is low. A single compound (peak) is incorrectly split into multiple peaks or integrated as a main peak with one or more rider peaks resulting in low area counts for the target compound.

Reason #3: Improperly Integrated Isomers and/or coeluting compounds. This system often fails to distinguish coeluting compounds and or isomers. The integration areas and concentrations are wrong, and they must be corrected by manual integration. Prime examples are benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(b)fluoranthene which are often unresolved and integrated improperly when both are present at low concentrations in standards or samples.

Reason #4: System Establishes Incorrect Baseline There are numerous situations in chromatography where the system establishes the baseline incorrectly. Some baseline errors will be obvious to the analyst and should be corrected via manual procedures.

Reason #5: Miscellaneous Other situations involving integration errors may require in-depth review and technical

judgment. These cases should be brought to the attention of the laboratory management. If the form of manual integration is not clearly covered by these four cases, then review and approval by the Laboratory Director or the QA/QC Supervisor will be required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51789

Approved By: Mike Cochran





Login Number: L12080213
Department: Metals
Analyst: Kim Rhodes

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 3005

Analysis: SW-846 6010

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Verification: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG405828 - All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Narrative ID: 51492

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheri L. Pfalzgraf".



Login Number: L12080213
Department: Metals
Analyst: Ji Hu

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 3015

Analysis: SW-846 6020

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration: WG405807- Due to continuing calibration verification failure for silver on 08-Aug-2012 at 11:47, all client samples and batch QA/QC samples were reanalyzed on a later calibration which was compliant for silver. Due to continuing calibration verification failure for chromium, manganese and silver on 08-Aug-2012 at 12:19, client samples 02 through 09 were reanalyzed on a later calibration which was compliant for these analytes of concern.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Low Level Check: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG405807 - All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Narrative ID: 51458

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf



Login Number: L12080213
Department: Metals - AA
Analyst: Pierce Morris

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 7470

Analysis: SW-846 7470

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Verification: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG405842 - All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Narrative ID: 51486

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheri L. Pfalzgraf".



Login Number: L12080213
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: Jeremy Kinney

METHOD

Analysis EPA300.0/SW846 9056

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: Hold times for NO₂ and NO₃ are 48 hours and the hold times for F, Cl, Br, and SO₄ are 28 days. The hold time forms calculate the hold time based on 48 hours. All samples were analyzed in hold.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: The MS/MSD results were not associated with this sample delivery group.

SAMPLES

Samples: All fractions were analyzed at a dilution only due to concentrations of the target analyte(s) greater than the calibrations range.

MANUAL INTEGRATION: No manual integration was required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51509

Approved By: Mike Cochran

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Cochran".



Login Number: L12080213
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Deanna Hesson

METHOD

Analysis EPA 310.2 (Alkalinity)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51910

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".



Login Number: L12080213
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Deanna Hesson

METHOD

Analysis EPA 353.2/SM4500-NO3 F (Nitrate)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: All acceptance criteria were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: The LCS duplicate had a recovery of 89% which exceeds the advisory limits of 90-110%. The LCS had an acceptable recovery of 93%. The duplicate and MS were acceptable. The LCS duplicate is not a method requirement.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 51493

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".

