



Laboratory Report Number: L12020399

Mark Lyon
Environmental Waste Solutions
2440 Louisiana Blvd
Albuquerque, NM 87110

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the samples you submitted to Microbac Laboratories. Review and compilation of your report was completed by Microbac's Ohio Valley Division (OVD). If you have any questions, comments, or require further assistance regarding this report, please contact your service representative listed below.

Laboratory Contact:
Stephanie Mossburg – Team Chemist/Data Specialist
(740) 373-4071
Stephanie.Mossburg@microbac.com

I certify that all test results meet all of the requirements of the DoD QSM and other applicable contract terms and conditions. Any exceptions are attached to this cover page or addressed in the method narratives presented in the report. All results for soil samples are reported on a 'dry-weight' basis unless specified otherwise. Analytical results for water and wastes are reported on a 'as received' basis unless specified otherwise. A statement of uncertainty for each analysis is available upon request. This laboratory report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Microbac Laboratories, DoD ELAP certification number 2936.01. The reported results are related only to the samples analyzed as received.

This report was certified on March 05 2012

David Vandenberg – Managing Director

State of Origin: NM
Accrediting Authority: N/A ID:N/A
QAPP: DOD Ver 4.1



Record of Sample Receipt and Inspection

Comments/Discrepancies

This is the record of the shipment conditions and the inspection records for the samples received and reported as a sample delivery group (SDG). All of the samples were inspected and observed to conform to our receipt policies, except as noted below.

There were no discrepancies.

Discrepancy	Resolution

Coolers

Cooler #	Temperature Gun	Temperature	COC #	Airbill #
0016407	G	0.0		1015923851960004575000795761726710
0010314		0.0		1015923851960004575000795761726709
0012240	G	0.0		1002239551960004575000874824307392

Inspection Checklist

#	Question	Result
1	Were shipping coolers sealed?	Yes
2	Were custody seals intact?	Yes
3	Were cooler temperatures in range of 0-6?	Yes
4	Was ice present?	Yes
5	Were COC's received/information complete/signed and dated?	Yes
6	Were sample containers intact and match COC?	Yes
7	Were sample labels intact and match COC?	Yes
8	Were the correct containers and volumes received?	Yes
9	Were samples received within EPA hold times?	Yes
10	Were correct preservatives used? (water only)	Yes
11	Were pH ranges acceptable? (voa's excluded)	Yes
12	Were VOA samples free of headspace (less than 6mm)?	NA

Samples Received

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Date Collected	Date Received
HTA19-0212-1	L12020399-01	02/13/2012 10:40	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA19-0212-1	L12020399-02	02/13/2012 10:40	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA19-0212-2	L12020399-03	02/13/2012 10:40	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA19-0212-2	L12020399-04	02/13/2012 10:40	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA16D-0212-1	L12020399-05	02/13/2012 12:00	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA16D-0212-1	L12020399-06	02/13/2012 12:00	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA16-0212-1	L12020399-07	02/13/2012 13:05	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA16-0212-1	L12020399-08	02/13/2012 13:05	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA17-0212-1	L12020399-09	02/13/2012 14:20	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA17-0212-1	L12020399-10	02/13/2012 14:20	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA15-0212-1	L12020399-11	02/13/2012 15:35	02/14/2012 12:27
HTA15-0212-1	L12020399-12	02/13/2012 15:35	02/14/2012 12:27



Login Number: L12020399
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: John W. Richards Jr.

METHOD

Analysis SW-846 6850

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: For all compounds that yielded a %RSD greater than 15%, linear or higher order equations were applied. All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration and Tune: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: The MS/MSD results were not associated with this sample delivery group.

SAMPLES

Samples: Samples 01, 03, 05, 07, 09 and 11 were analyzed at a dilution to be within calibration range.

Internal Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Manual Integration Reason Codes

Reason #1: Data System Fails to Select Correct Peak In some cases the chromatography system selects and integrates the 'wrong peak'. In this case the analyst must correct the selection and force the system to integrate the proper peak. Other times the system may miss the peak completely.

Reason #2: Data System Splits the Peak Incorrectly or Integrates a False Peak as a Rider Peak This phenomena is common at low concentrations where the signal:noise ratio is low. A single compound (peak) is incorrectly split into multiple peaks or integrated as a main peak with one or more rider peaks resulting in low area counts for the target compound.

Reason #3: Improperly Integrated Isomers and/or coeluting compounds. This system often fails to distinguish coeluting compounds and or isomers. The integration areas and concentrations are wrong, and they must be corrected by manual integration. Prime examples are benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(b)fluoranthene which are often unresolved and integrated improperly when both are present at low concentrations in standards or samples.

Reason #4: System Establishes Incorrect Baseline There are numerous situations in chromatography where the system establishes the baseline incorrectly. Some baseline errors will be obvious to the analyst and should be corrected via manual procedures.

Reason #5: Miscellaneous Other situations involving integration errors may require in-depth review and technical judgment. These cases should be brought to the attention of the laboratory management. If the form of manual integration is not clearly covered by these four cases, then review and approval by the Laboratory Director or the QA/QC Supervisor

will be required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42832

Approved By: Mike Cochran





Login Number: L12020399
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Dorothy Payne

METHOD

Analysis SW846 9040C,9045D/EPA 150.1/SM4500-H B (pH)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42406

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".



Login Number: L12020399
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: Eric Lawson

METHOD

Analysis SW-846 8330

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: For all compounds that yielded a %RSD greater than 15%, linear or higher order equations were applied. All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: The percent difference was out of range for the following analytes: Tetryl. Please see the applicable QC report for a detailed presentation of the failures.

Continuing Calibration and Tune: Recoveries out of range were observed for the following analytes: Tetryl. Please see the applicable QC report for a detailed presentation of the failures.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: There were no MS/MSD results associated with this sample delivery group, due to insufficient volume of sample. The laboratory included an LCS and LCS duplicate in the preparation batch in lieu of the NELAC prescribed MS/MSD. Microbac recommends site specific MS/MSD samples to avoid possible data qualification.

SAMPLES

Samples: Sample 09 was analyzed at a dilution to be within calibration range. All positive hits were confirmed by second column analysis.

Surrogates: All acceptance criteria were met.

Manual Integration Reason Codes

Reason #1: Data System Fails to Select Correct Peak In some cases the chromatography system selects and integrates the 'wrong peak'. In this case the analyst must correct the selection and force the system to integrate the proper peak. Other times the system may miss the peak completely.

Reason #2: Data System Splits the Peak Incorrectly or Integrates a False Peak as a Rider Peak This phenomena is common at low concentrations where the signal:noise ratio is low. A single compound (peak) is incorrectly split into multiple peaks or integrated as a main peak with one or more rider peaks resulting in low area counts for the target compound.

Reason #3: Improperly Integrated Isomers and/or coeluting compounds. This system often fails to distinguish coeluting compounds and or isomers. The integration areas and concentrations are wrong, and they must be corrected by manual integration. Prime examples are benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(b)fluoranthene which are often unresolved and integrated improperly when both are present at low concentrations in standards or samples.

Reason #4: System Establishes Incorrect Baseline There are numerous situations in chromatography where the

system establishes the baseline incorrectly. Some baseline errors will be obvious to the analyst and should be corrected via manual procedures.

Reason #5: Miscellaneous Other situations involving integration errors may require in-depth review and technical judgment. These cases should be brought to the attention of the laboratory management. If the form of manual integration is not clearly covered by these four cases, then review and approval by the Laboratory Director or the QA/QC Supervisor will be required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42802

Approved By: Mike Cochran





Login Number: L12020399
Department: Metals
Analyst: Kim Rhodes

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 3005

Analysis: SW-846 6010

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Verification: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG389817 - Tin was not added to the initial post digestion spike analyzed on 16-Feb-2012 at 14:54, therefore, it was reanalyzed for all analytes at 16:02.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: WG389817 - Client samples 02, 04, 06, 08, 10, and 12 were reanalyzed at dilutions in order to obtain results for calcium and magnesium within the calibration range.

Narrative ID: 42299

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheri L. Pfalzgraf".



Login Number: L12020399
Department: Metals
Analyst: Erin Long

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 3015

Analysis: SW-846 6020

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Low Level Check: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG389782 - All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Narrative ID: 42303

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheri L. Pfalzgraf".



Login Number: L12020399
Department: Metals - AA
Analyst: Pierce Morris

METHOD

Preparation: SW-846 7470

Analysis: SW-846 7470

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Interference Check Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Verification: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Serial Dilution/Post Digestion Spikes: WG389917 - All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Narrative ID: 42441

Approved By: Sheri Pfalzgraf

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheri L. Pfalzgraf".



Login Number: L12020399
Department: General Chromatography
Analyst: Jeremy Kinney

METHOD

Analysis SW-846 9056/300.0

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Preparation: All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

CALIBRATION

Initial Calibration: All acceptance criteria were met.

Alternate Source Standards: All acceptance criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration and Tune: All acceptance criteria were met.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

Surrogates: All acceptance criteria were met.

Manual Integration Reason Codes

Reason #1: Data System Fails to Select Correct Peak In some cases the chromatography system selects and integrates the 'wrong peak'. In this case the analyst must correct the selection and force the system to integrate the proper peak. Other times the system may miss the peak completely.

Reason #2: Data System Splits the Peak Incorrectly or Integrates a False Peak as a Rider Peak This phenomena is common at low concentrations where the signal:noise ratio is low. A single compound (peak) is incorrectly split into multiple peaks or integrated as a main peak with one or more rider peaks resulting in low area counts for the target compound.

Reason #3: Improperly Integrated Isomers and/or coeluting compounds. This system often fails to distinguish coeluting compounds and or isomers. The integration areas and concentrations are wrong, and they must be corrected by manual integration. Prime examples are benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(b)fluoranthene which are often unresolved and integrated improperly when both are present at low concentrations in standards or samples.

Reason #4: System Establishes Incorrect Baseline There are numerous situations in chromatography where the system establishes the baseline incorrectly. Some baseline errors will be obvious to the analyst and should be corrected via manual procedures.

Reason #5: Miscellaneous Other situations involving integration errors may require in-depth review and technical judgment. These cases should be brought to the attention of the laboratory management. If the form of manual integration is not clearly covered by these four cases, then review and approval by the Laboratory Director or the QA/QC Supervisor will be required.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42353

Approved By: Jeremy Kinney





Login Number: L12020399
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Deanna Hesson

METHOD

Analysis EPA 310.2 (Alkalinity)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: All holding times were met.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42405

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".



Login Number: L12020399
Department: Conventionals
Analyst: Jeremy Kinney

METHOD

Analysis EPA 353.2/SM4500-NO3 F (Nitrate)

HOLDING TIMES

Sample Analysis: Nitrate is reported as the difference of nitrate-nitrite (28 day hold) and nitrite (48 hour hold). Both analysis were analyzed within the appropriate hold time. The nitrate hold time is within compliance.

PREPARATION

Sample preparation proceeded normally.

BATCH QA/QC

Method Blank: All acceptance criteria were met.

Laboratory Control Sample: All acceptance criteria were met.

Matrix Spikes: All acceptance criteria were met.

Duplicates: All acceptance criteria were met.

SAMPLES

Samples: All acceptance criteria were met.

I certify that this data package is in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed to by the client and Microbac Laboratories Inc., both technically and for completeness, except for the conditions noted above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or designated person, as verified by the following signature.

Narrative ID: 42407

Approved By: Deanna Hesson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Deanna Hesson".

